Management's report on internal control over financial reporting

Management of JPMorgan Chase & Co. ("JPMorgan Chase" or the "Firm") is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Firm's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by JPMorgan Chase's Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

JPMorgan Chase's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records, that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the Firm's assets; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Firm are being made only in accordance with authorizations of JPMorgan Chase's management and directors; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Firm's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Management has completed an assessment of the effectiveness of the Firm's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016. In making the assessment, management used the "Internal Control – Integrated Framework" ("COSO 2013") promulgated by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). Based upon the assessment performed, management concluded that as of December 31, 2016, JPMorgan Chase's internal control over financial reporting was effective based upon the COSO 2013 framework. Additionally, based upon management's assessment, the Firm determined that there were no material weaknesses in its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016.

The effectiveness of the Firm's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

James Dimon Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Marianne Lake Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

February 28, 2017



To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of JPMorgan Chase & Co.:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of JPMorgan Chase & Co. and its subsidiaries (the "Firm") at December 31, 2016 and 2015 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Firm maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016 based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Firm's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying "Management's report on internal control over financial reporting". Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Firm's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal

control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

newsterhouse Ceopers LLP

February 28, 2017

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP • 300 Madison Avenue • New York, NY 10017

Consolidated statements of income

Year ended December 31, (in millions, except per share data)	2016	2015	2014
Revenue			
Investment banking fees	\$ 6,448	\$ 6,751	\$ 6,542
Principal transactions	11,566	10,408	10,531
Lending- and deposit-related fees	5,774	5,694	5,801
Asset management, administration and commissions	14,591	15,509	15,931
Securities gains	141	202	77
Mortgage fees and related income	2,491	2,513	3,563
Card income	4,779	5,924	6,020
Other income	3,795	3,032	3,013
Noninterest revenue	49,585	50,033	51,478
Interest income	55,901	50,973	51,531
Interest expense	9,818	7,463	7,897
Net interest income	46,083	43,510	43,634
Total net revenue	95,668	93,543	95,112
Provision for credit losses	5,361	3,827	3,139
Noninterest expense			
Compensation expense	29,979	29,750	30,160
Occupancy expense	3,638	3,768	3,909
Technology, communications and equipment expense	6,846	6,193	5,804
Professional and outside services	6,655	7,002	7,705
Marketing	2,897	2,708	2,550
Other expense	5,756	9,593	11,146
Total noninterest expense	55,771	59,014	61,274
Income before income tax expense	34,536	30,702	30,699
Income tax expense	9,803	6,260	8,954
Net income	\$ 24,733	\$ 24,442	\$ 21,745
Net income applicable to common stockholders	\$ 22,583	\$ 22,406	\$ 20,077
Net income per common share data			
Basic earnings per share	\$ 6.24	\$ 6.05	\$ 5.33
Diluted earnings per share	6.19	6.00	5.29
Weighted-average basic shares	3,618.5	3,700.4	3,763.5
Weighted-average diluted shares	3,649.8	3,732.8	3,797.5
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$ 1.88	\$ 1.72	\$ 1.58

Consolidated statements of comprehensive income

Year ended December 31, (in millions)		2016	2015	2014
Net income	\$ 2	4,733	\$ 24,442	\$ 21,745
Other comprehensive income/(loss), after-tax				
Unrealized gains/(losses) on investment securities	((1,105)	(2,144)	1,975
Translation adjustments, net of hedges		(2)	(15)	(11)
Cash flow hedges		(56)	51	44
Defined benefit pension and OPEB plans		(28)	111	(1,018)
DVA on fair value option elected liabilities		(330)	-	-
Total other comprehensive income/(loss), after-tax		(1,521)	(1,997)	990
Comprehensive income	\$ 2	3,212	\$ 22,445	\$ 22,735

Consolidated balance sheets

December 31, (in millions, except share data)		2016		2015
Assets				
Cash and due from banks	\$	23,873	\$	20,490
Deposits with banks		365,762		340,015
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements (included \$21,506 and \$23,141 at fair value)		229,967		212,575
Securities borrowed (included \$0 and \$395 at fair value)		96,409		98,721
Trading assets (included assets pledged of \$115,847 and \$115,284)		372,130		343,839
Securities (included \$238,891 and \$241,754 at fair value and assets pledged of \$16,115 and \$14,883)		289,059		290,827
Loans (included \$2,230 and \$2,861 at fair value)		894,765		837,299
Allowance for Ioan Iosses		(13,776)		(13,555
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses		880,989		823,744
Accrued interest and accounts receivable		52,330		46,605
Premises and equipment		14,131		14,362
Goodwill		47,288		47,325
Mortgage servicing rights		6,096		6,608
Other intangible assets		862		1,015
Other assets (included \$7,557 and \$7,604 at fair value and assets pledged of \$1,603 and \$1,286)		112,076		105,572
Total assets ^(a)	\$	2,490,972	\$	2,351,698
Liabilities				
Deposits (included \$13,912 and \$12,516 at fair value)	\$	1,375,179	\$	1,279,715
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements (included \$687 and \$3,526 at fair	•			
value)		165,666		152,678
Commercial paper		11,738		15,562
Other borrowed funds (included \$9,105 and \$9,911 at fair value)		22,705		21,105
Trading liabilities		136,659		126,897
Accounts payable and other liabilities (included \$9,120 and \$4,401 at fair value)		190,543		177,638
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs (included \$120 and \$787 at fair value)		39,047		41,879
Long-term debt (included \$37,686 and \$33,065 at fair value)		295,245		288,651
Total liabilities ^(a)		2,236,782		2,104,125
Commitments and contingencies (see Notes 29, 30 and 31)				
Stockholders' equity				
Preferred stock (\$1 par value; authorized 200,000,000 shares: issued 2,606,750 shares)		26,068		26,068
Common stock (\$1 par value; authorized 9,000,000,000 shares; issued 4,104,933,895 shares)		4,105		4,105
Additional paid-in capital		91,627		92,500
Retained earnings		162,440		146,420
Accumulated other comprehensive income		(1,175)		192
Shares held in restricted stock units ("RSU") trust, at cost (472,953 shares)		(21)		(21
Treasury stock, at cost (543,744,003 and 441,459,392 shares)		(28,854)		(21,691
Total stockholders' equity		254,190		247,573
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	2,490,972	\$	2,351,698
			-	
(a) The following table presents information on assets and liabilities related to VIEs that are consolidated by the Firm at December 31, 20. VIE assets and liabilities represents the Firm's interests in those entities, which were eliminated in consolidation.	o an	u 2015. The diff	ereno	.e between tot
December 31. (in millions)		2016		2015

December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015
Assets		
Trading assets	\$ 3,185	\$ 3,736
Loans	75,614	75,104
All other assets	3,321	2,765
Total assets	\$ 82,120	\$ 81,605
Liabilities		
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs	\$ 39,047	\$ 41,879
All other liabilities	490	809
Total liabilities	\$ 39,537	\$ 42,688

The assets of the consolidated VIEs are used to settle the liabilities of those entities. The holders of the beneficial interests do not have recourse to the general credit of JPMorgan Chase. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Firm provided limited program-wide credit enhancement of \$2.4 billion and \$2.0 billion, respectively, related to its Firm-administered multi-seller conduits, which are eliminated in consolidation. For further discussion, see Note 16.

Consolidated statements of changes in stockholders' equity

Year ended December 31, (in millions, except per share data)	2	2016	2015	2014
Preferred stock				
Balance at January 1	\$	26,068	\$ 20,063	\$ 11,158
Issuance of preferred stock		-	6,005	8,905
Balance at December 31		26,068	26,068	20,063
Common stock				
Balance at January 1 and December 31		4,105	4,105	4,105
Additional paid-in capital				
Balance at January 1		92,500	93,270	93,828
Shares issued and commitments to issue common stock for employee stock-based compensation awards, and related tax effects		(334)	(436)	(508)
Other		(539)	(334)	(50)
Balance at December 31		91,627	92,500	93,270
Retained earnings				
Balance at January 1	1	46,420	129,977	115,435
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		(154)	_	_
Net income		24,733	24,442	21,745
Dividends declared:				
Preferred stock		(1,647)	(1,515)	(1,125)
Common stock (\$1.88 , \$1.72 and \$1.58 per share for 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively)		(6,912)	(6,484)	(6,078)
Balance at December 31	1	62,440	146,420	129,977
Accumulated other comprehensive income				
Balance at January 1		192	2,189	1,199
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		154	_	_
Other comprehensive income/(loss)		(1,521)	(1,997)	990
Balance at December 31		(1,175)	192	2,189
Shares held in RSU Trust, at cost				
Balance at January 1 and December 31		(21)	(21)	(21)
Treasury stock, at cost				
Balance at January 1	((21,691)	(17,856)	(14,847)
Purchase of treasury stock		(9,082)	(5,616)	(4,760)
Reissuance from treasury stock		1,919	1,781	1,751
Balance at December 31	((28,854)	(21,691)	(17,856)
Total stockholders' equity	\$ 2	254,190	\$ 247,573	\$ 231,727

Consolidated statements of cash flows

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Operating activities			
Net income	\$ 24,733	\$ 24,442	\$ 21,745
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by/(used in) operating activities:			
Provision for credit losses	5,361	3,827	3,139
Depreciation and amortization	5,478	4,940	4,759
Deferred tax expense	4,651	1,333	4,362
Other	1,799	1,785	2,113
Originations and purchases of loans held-for-sale	(61,107)	(48,109)	(67,525)
Proceeds from sales, securitizations and paydowns of loans held-for-sale	60,196	49,363	71,407
Net change in:			
Trading assets	(20,007)	62,212	(24,814)
Securities borrowed	2,313	12,165	1,020
Accrued interest and accounts receivable	(5,815)	22,664	(3,637)
Other assets	(4,517)	(3,701)	(9,166)
Trading liabilities	5,198	(28,972)	26,818
Accounts payable and other liabilities	3,740	(23,361)	6,058
Other operating adjustments	(1,827)	(5,122)	314
Net cash provided by operating activities	20,196	73,466	36,593
Investing activities			
Net change in:			
Deposits with banks	(25,747)	144,462	(168,426)
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	(17,468)	3,190	30,848
Held-to-maturity securities:			
Proceeds from paydowns and maturities	6,218	6,099	4,169
Purchases	(143)	(6,204)	(10,345)
Available-for-sale securities:		., .	
Proceeds from paydowns and maturities	65,950	76,448	90,664
Proceeds from sales	48,592	40,444	38,411
Purchases	(123,959)	(70,804)	(121,504)
Proceeds from sales and securitizations of loans held-for-investment	15,429	18,604	20,115
Other changes in loans, net	(80,996)	(108,962)	(51,749)
All other investing activities, net	(2,825)	3,703	2,181
Net cash provided by/(used in) investing activities	(114,949)	106,980	(165,636)
Financing activities	(11-1,7-7)	100,700	(105,050)
Net change in:			
Deposits	97,336	(88,678)	89,346
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements	13,007	(39,415)	10,905
Commercial paper and other borrowed funds	(2,461)	(57,828)	9,242
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs	(5,707)	(5,632)	(834)
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	83,070	79,611	78,515
	(68,949)		
Payments of long-term borrowings Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock	(08,949)	(67,247)	(65,275)
Treasury stock and warrants repurchased		5,893	8,847
	(9,082)	(5,616)	(4,760)
Dividends paid	(8,476)	(7,873)	(6,990)
All other financing activities, net	(467)	(726)	(768)
Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities	98,271	(187,511)	118,228
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and due from banks	(135)	(276)	(1,125)
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and due from banks	3,383	(7,341)	(11,940)
Cash and due from banks at the beginning of the period	20,490	27,831	39,771
Cash and due from banks at the end of the period	\$ 23,873	\$ 20,490	\$ 27,831
Cash interest paid	\$ 9,508	\$ 7,220	\$ 8,194
Cash income taxes paid, net	2,405	9,423	1,392

Note 1 - Basis of presentation

JPMorgan Chase & Co. ("JPMorgan Chase" or the "Firm"), a financial holding company incorporated under Delaware law in 1968, is a leading global financial services firm and one of the largest banking institutions in the U.S., with operations worldwide. The Firm is a leader in investment banking, financial services for consumers and small business, commercial banking, financial transaction processing and asset management. For a discussion of the Firm's business segments, see Note 33.

The accounting and financial reporting policies of JPMorgan Chase and its subsidiaries conform to U.S. GAAP. Additionally, where applicable, the policies conform to the accounting and reporting guidelines prescribed by regulatory authorities.

Certain amounts reported in prior periods have been reclassified to conform with the current presentation.

Consolidation

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of JPMorgan Chase and other entities in which the Firm has a controlling financial interest. All material intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Assets held for clients in an agency or fiduciary capacity by the Firm are not assets of JPMorgan Chase and are not included on the Consolidated balance sheets.

The Firm determines whether it has a controlling financial interest in an entity by first evaluating whether the entity is a voting interest entity or a variable interest entity.

Effective January 1, 2016, the Firm adopted new accounting guidance related to the consolidation of legal entities such as limited partnerships, limited liability corporations, and securitization structures. The guidance eliminated the deferral issued by the FASB in February 2010 of the accounting guidance for VIEs for certain investment funds, including mutual funds, private equity funds and hedge funds. In addition, the guidance amends the evaluation of fees paid to a decision-maker or a service provider, and exempts certain money market funds from consolidation. Furthermore, asset management funds structured as limited partnerships or certain limited liability companies are now evaluated for consolidation as voting interest entities if the non-managing partners or members have the ability to remove the Firm as the general partner or managing member without cause (i.e., kick-out rights) based on a simple majority vote, or the non-affiliated partners or members have rights to participate in important decisions. Accordingly, the Firm does not consolidate these voting interest entities. However, in the limited cases where the non-managing partners or members do not have substantive kick-out or participating rights, the Firm evaluates the funds as VIEs and consolidates if it is the general partner or managing member and has a potentially significant variable interest. There was no material impact on the Firm's Consolidated

Financial Statements upon adoption of this accounting guidance.

Voting Interest Entities

Voting interest entities are entities that have sufficient equity and provide the equity investors voting rights that enable them to make significant decisions relating to the entity's operations. For these types of entities, the Firm's determination of whether it has a controlling interest is primarily based on the amount of voting equity interests held. Entities in which the Firm has a controlling financial interest, through ownership of the majority of the entities' voting equity interests, or through other contractual rights that give the Firm control, are consolidated by the Firm.

Investments in companies in which the Firm has significant influence over operating and financing decisions (but does not own a majority of the voting equity interests) are accounted for (i) in accordance with the equity method of accounting (which requires the Firm to recognize its proportionate share of the entity's net earnings), or (ii) at fair value if the fair value option was elected. These investments are generally included in other assets, with income or loss included in other income.

Certain Firm-sponsored asset management funds are structured as limited partnerships or limited liability companies. For many of these entities, the Firm is the general partner or managing member, but the non-affiliated partners or members have the ability to remove the Firm as the general partner or managing member without cause (i.e., kick-out rights), based on a simple majority vote, or the non-affiliated partners or members have rights to participate in important decisions. Accordingly, the Firm does not consolidate these funds. In the limited cases where the nonaffiliated partners or members do not have substantive kick-out or participating rights, the Firm consolidates the funds.

The Firm's investment companies have investments in both publicly-held and privately-held entities, including investments in buyouts, growth equity and venture opportunities. These investments are accounted for under investment company guidelines and accordingly, irrespective of the percentage of equity ownership interests held, are carried on the Consolidated balance sheets at fair value, and are recorded in other assets.

Variable Interest Entities

VIEs are entities that, by design, either (1) lack sufficient equity to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties, or (2) have equity investors that do not have the ability to make significant decisions relating to the entity's operations through voting rights, or do not have the obligation to absorb the expected losses, or do not have the right to receive the residual returns of the entity. The most common type of VIE is an SPE. SPEs are commonly used in securitization transactions in order to isolate certain assets and distribute the cash flows from those assets to investors. The basic SPE structure involves a company selling assets to the SPE; the SPE funds the purchase of those assets by issuing securities to investors. The legal documents that govern the transaction specify how the cash earned on the assets must be allocated to the SPE's investors and other parties that have rights to those cash flows. SPEs are generally structured to insulate investors from claims on the SPE's assets by creditors of other entities, including the creditors of the seller of the assets.

The primary beneficiary of a VIE (i.e., the party that has a controlling financial interest) is required to consolidate the assets and liabilities of the VIE. The primary beneficiary is the party that has both (1) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance; and (2) through its interests in the VIE, the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

To assess whether the Firm has the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance, the Firm considers all the facts and circumstances, including its role in establishing the VIE and its ongoing rights and responsibilities. This assessment includes, first, identifying the activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance; and second, identifying which party, if any, has power over those activities. In general, the parties that make the most significant decisions affecting the VIE (such as asset managers, collateral managers, servicers, or owners of call options or liquidation rights over the VIE's assets) or have the right to unilaterally remove those decision-makers are deemed to have the power to direct the activities of a VIE.

To assess whether the Firm has the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE, the Firm considers all of its economic interests, including debt and equity investments, servicing fees, and derivatives or other arrangements deemed to be variable interests in the VIE. This assessment requires that the Firm apply judgment in determining whether these interests, in the aggregate, are considered potentially significant to the VIE. Factors considered in assessing significance include: the design of the VIE, including its capitalization structure; subordination of interests; payment priority; relative share of interests held across various classes within the VIE's capital structure; and the reasons why the interests are held by the Firm.

The Firm performs on-going reassessments of: (1) whether entities previously evaluated under the majority votinginterest framework have become VIEs, based on certain events, and therefore subject to the VIE consolidation framework; and (2) whether changes in the facts and circumstances regarding the Firm's involvement with a VIE cause the Firm's consolidation conclusion to change.

Use of estimates in the preparation of consolidated financial statements

The preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenue and expense, and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results could be different from these estimates.

Foreign currency translation

JPMorgan Chase revalues assets, liabilities, revenue and expense denominated in non-U.S. currencies into U.S. dollars using applicable exchange rates.

Gains and losses relating to translating functional currency financial statements for U.S. reporting are included in OCI within stockholders' equity. Gains and losses relating to nonfunctional currency transactions, including non-U.S. operations where the functional currency is the U.S. dollar, are reported in the Consolidated statements of income.

Offsetting assets and liabilities

U.S. GAAP permits entities to present derivative receivables and derivative payables with the same counterparty and the related cash collateral receivables and payables on a net basis on the Consolidated balance sheets when a legally enforceable master netting agreement exists. U.S. GAAP also permits securities sold and purchased under repurchase agreements to be presented net when specified conditions are met, including the existence of a legally enforceable master netting agreement. The Firm has elected to net such balances when the specified conditions are met.

The Firm uses master netting agreements to mitigate counterparty credit risk in certain transactions, including derivatives transactions, repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowed and loaned agreements. A master netting agreement is a single contract with a counterparty that permits multiple transactions governed by that contract to be terminated and settled through a single payment in a single currency in the event of a default (e.g., bankruptcy, failure to make a required payment or securities transfer or deliver collateral or margin when due after expiration of any grace period). Upon the exercise of termination rights by the nondefaulting party (i) all transactions are terminated, (ii) all transactions are valued and the positive value or "in the money" transactions are netted against the negative value or "out of the money" transactions and (iii) the only remaining payment obligation is of one of the parties to pay the netted termination amount. Upon exercise of repurchase agreement and securities loan default rights in general (i) all transactions are terminated and accelerated, (ii) all values of securities or cash held or to be delivered are calculated, and all such sums are netted against each other and (iii) the only remaining payment obligation is of one of the parties to pay the netted termination amount.

Typical master netting agreements for these types of transactions also often contain a collateral/margin agreement that provides for a security interest in, or title transfer of, securities or cash collateral/margin to the party that has the right to demand margin (the "demanding party"). The collateral/margin agreement typically requires a party to transfer collateral/margin to the demanding party with a value equal to the amount of the margin deficit on a net basis across all transactions governed by the master netting agreement, less any threshold. The collateral/margin agreement grants to the demanding party, upon default by the counterparty, the right to set-off any amounts payable by the counterparty against any posted collateral or the cash equivalent of any posted collateral/margin. It also grants to the demanding party the right to liquidate collateral/margin and to apply the proceeds to an amount payable by the counterparty.

For further discussion of the Firm's derivative instruments, see Note 6. For further discussion of the Firm's repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and lending agreements, see Note 13.

Statements of cash flows

For JPMorgan Chase's Consolidated statements of cash flows, cash is defined as those amounts included in cash and due from banks.

Significant accounting policies

The following table identifies JPMorgan Chase's other significant accounting policies and the Note and page where a detailed description of each policy can be found.

Fair value measurement	Note 3	Page 149
Fair value option	Note 4	Page 168
Derivative instruments	Note 6	Page 174
Noninterest revenue	Note 7	Page 187
Interest income and interest expense	Note 8	Page 189
Pension and other postretirement	Note o	1 466 107
employee benefit plans	Note 9	Page 189
Employee stock-based incentives	Note 10	Page 197
Securities	Note 12	Page 199
Securities financing activities	Note 13	Page 205
Loans	Note 14	Page 208
Allowance for credit losses	Note 15	Page 227
Variable interest entities	Note 16	Page 232
Goodwill and Mortgage servicing rights	Note 17	Page 240
Premises and equipment	Note 18	Page 244
Long-term debt	Note 21	Page 245
Income taxes	Note 26	Page 250
Off-balance sheet lending-related		
financial instruments, guarantees and other commitments	Noto 20	
	Note 29	Page 255
Litigation	Note 31	Page 262

Note 2 - Business changes and developments None

Note 3 - Fair value measurement

JPMorgan Chase carries a portion of its assets and liabilities at fair value. These assets and liabilities are predominantly carried at fair value on a recurring basis (i.e., assets and liabilities that are measured and reported at fair value on the Firm's Consolidated balance sheets). Certain assets (e.g., certain mortgage, home equity and other loans where the carrying value is based on the fair value of the underlying collateral), liabilities and unfunded lendingrelated commitments are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis; that is, they are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments only in certain circumstances (for example, when there is evidence of impairment).

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value is based on quoted market prices or inputs, where available. If prices or quotes are not available, fair value is based on models that consider relevant transaction characteristics (such as maturity) and use as inputs observable or unobservable market parameters, including but not limited to yield curves, interest rates, volatilities, equity or debt prices, foreign exchange rates and credit curves. Valuation adjustments may be made to ensure that financial instruments are recorded at fair value, as described below.

The level of precision in estimating unobservable market inputs or other factors can affect the amount of gain or loss recorded for a particular position. Furthermore, while the Firm believes its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with those of other market participants, the methods and assumptions used reflect management judgment and may vary across the Firm's businesses and portfolios.

The Firm uses various methodologies and assumptions in the determination of fair value. The use of different methodologies or assumptions by other market participants compared with those used by the Firm could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date.

Valuation process

Risk-taking functions are responsible for providing fair value estimates for assets and liabilities carried on the Consolidated balance sheets at fair value. The Firm's VCG, which is part of the Firm's Finance function and independent of the risk-taking functions, is responsible for verifying these estimates and determining any fair value adjustments that may be required to ensure that the Firm's positions are recorded at fair value. The VGF is composed of senior finance and risk executives and is responsible for overseeing the management of risks arising from valuation activities conducted across the Firm. The VGF is chaired by the Firmwide head of the VCG (under the direction of the Firm's Controller), and includes sub-forums covering the CIB, CCB, CB, AWM and certain corporate functions including Treasury and CIO.

Price verification process

The VCG verifies fair value estimates provided by the risktaking functions by leveraging independently derived prices, valuation inputs and other market data, where available. Where independent prices or inputs are not available, the VCG performs additional review to ensure the reasonableness of the estimates. The additional review may include evaluating the limited market activity including client unwinds, benchmarking valuation inputs to those used for similar instruments, decomposing the valuation of structured instruments into individual components, comparing expected to actual cash flows, reviewing profit and loss trends, and reviewing trends in collateral valuation. There are also additional levels of management review for more significant or complex positions.

The VCG determines any valuation adjustments that may be required to the estimates provided by the risk-taking functions. No adjustments are applied for instruments classified within level 1 of the fair value hierarchy (see below for further information on the fair value hierarchy). For other positions, judgment is required to assess the need for valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect liquidity considerations, unobservable parameters, and, for certain portfolios that meet specified criteria, the size of the net open risk position. The determination of such adjustments follows a consistent framework across the Firm:

- Liquidity valuation adjustments are considered where an observable external price or valuation parameter exists but is of lower reliability, potentially due to lower market activity. Liquidity valuation adjustments are applied and determined based on current market conditions. Factors that may be considered in determining the liquidity adjustment include analysis of: (1) the estimated bidoffer spread for the instrument being traded; (2) alternative pricing points for similar instruments in active markets; and (3) the range of reasonable values that the price or parameter could take.
- The Firm manages certain portfolios of financial instruments on the basis of net open risk exposure and, as permitted by U.S. GAAP, has elected to estimate the fair value of such portfolios on the basis of a transfer of the entire net open risk position in an orderly transaction. Where this is the case, valuation adjustments may be necessary to reflect the cost of exiting a larger-than-normal market-size net open risk position. Where applied, such adjustments are based on factors that a relevant market participant would consider in the transfer of the net open risk position, including the size of the adverse market move that is likely to occur during the period required to reduce the net open risk position to a normal market-size.
- Unobservable parameter valuation adjustments may be made when positions are valued using prices or input parameters to valuation models that are unobservable due to a lack of market activity or because they cannot

be implied from observable market data. Such prices or parameters must be estimated and are, therefore, subject to management judgment. Unobservable parameter valuation adjustments are applied to reflect the uncertainty inherent in the resulting valuation estimate.

• Where appropriate, the Firm also applies adjustments to its estimates of fair value in order to appropriately reflect counterparty credit quality (CVA), the Firm's own creditworthiness (DVA) and the impact of funding (FVA), using a consistent framework across the Firm. For more information on such adjustments see Credit and funding adjustments on page 164 of this Note.

Valuation model review and approval

If prices or quotes are not available for an instrument or a similar instrument, fair value is generally determined using valuation models that consider relevant transaction data such as maturity and use as inputs market-based or independently sourced parameters. Where this is the case the price verification process described above is applied to the inputs to those models.

The Model Risk function reviews and approves a wide range of models, including risk management, valuation, and regulatory capital models used by the Firm. The Model Risk function is independent of model users and developers. The Firmwide Model Risk Executive reports to the Firm's CRO. When reviewing a model, the Model Risk function analyzes and challenges the model methodology, and the reasonableness of model assumptions and may perform or require additional testing, including back-testing of model outcomes.

The Model Risk function reviews and approves new models, as well as material changes to existing models, prior to implementation in the operating environment. In certain circumstances, the head of the Model Risk function may grant exceptions to the Firm's model risk policy to allow a model to be used prior to review or approval. The Model Risk function may also require the user to take appropriate actions to mitigate the model risk if it is to be used in the interim.

Valuation hierarchy

A three-level valuation hierarchy has been established under U.S. GAAP for disclosure of fair value measurements. The valuation hierarchy is based on the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows.

- Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.
- Level 2 inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.
- Level 3 one or more inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

A financial instrument's categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The following table describes the valuation methodologies generally used by the Firm to measure its significant products/ instruments at fair value, including the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Product/instrument	Valuation methodology	Classifications in the valuation hierarchy
Securities financing agreements	Valuations are based on discounted cash flows, which consider:	Predominantly level 2
	 Derivative features: for further information refer to the discussion of derivatives below. 	
	 Market rates for the respective maturity 	
	• Collateral	
oans and lending-related commi	tments – wholesale	
Loans carried at fair value (e.g.	Where observable market data is available, valuations are based on:	Level 2 or 3
trading loans and non-trading loans)	 Observed market prices (circumstances are infrequent) 	
louns)	Relevant broker quotes	
	 Observed market prices for similar instruments 	
	Where observable market data is unavailable or limited, valuations are based on discounted cash flows, which consider the following:	
	 Credit spreads derived from the cost of CDS; or benchmark credit curves developed by the Firm, by industry and credit rating 	
	Prepayment speed	
Loans held for investment and	Valuations are based on discounted cash flows, which consider:	Predominantly level 3
associated lending-related commitments	 Credit spreads, derived from the cost of CDS; or benchmark credit curves developed by the Firm, by industry and credit rating 	
	Prepayment speed	
	Lending-related commitments are valued similar to loans and reflect the portion of an unused commitment expected, based on the Firm's average portfolio historical experience, to become funded prior to an obligor default	
	For information regarding the valuation of loans measured at collateral value, see Note 14.	
oans – consumer		
Held for investment consumer	Valuations are based on discounted cash flows, which consider:	Predominantly level 3
loans, excluding credit card	 Credit losses - which consider expected and current default rates, and loss severity Prepayment speed 	
	Discount rates	
	Servicing costs	
	For information regarding the valuation of loans measured at collateral value, see Note 14.	
	Valuations are based on discounted cash flows, which consider:	Level 3
receivables	 Credit costs - the allowance for loan losses is considered a reasonable proxy for the credit cost 	
	 Projected interest income, late-fee revenue and loan repayment rates 	
	Discount rates	
	Servicing costs	
Trading loans – conforming residential mortgage loans expected to be sold	Fair value is based on observable prices for mortgage-backed securities with similar collateral and incorporates adjustments to these prices to account for differences between the securities and the value of the underlying loans, which include credit characteristics, portfolio composition, and liquidity.	Predominantly level 2

Product/instrument	Valuation methodology, inputs and assumptions	Classifications in the valuatio hierarchy
Investment and trading securities	Quoted market prices are used where available.	Level 1
	In the absence of quoted market prices, securities are valued based on:	Level 2 or 3
	Observable market prices for similar securities	
	Relevant broker quotes	
	Discounted cash flows	
	In addition, the following inputs to discounted cash flows are used for the following products:	
	Mortgage- and asset-backed securities specific inputs:	
	Collateral characteristics	
	 Deal-specific payment and loss allocations 	
	 Current market assumptions related to yield, prepayment speed, conditional default rates and loss severity 	
	Collateralized loan obligations ("CLOs") specific inputs:	
	Collateral characteristics	
	 Deal-specific payment and loss allocations 	
	• Expected prepayment speed, conditional default rates, loss severity	
	Credit spreads	
	Credit rating data	
Physical commodities	Valued using observable market prices or data	Predominantly level 1 and 2
Derivatives	Exchange-traded derivatives that are actively traded and valued using the exchange price.	Level 1
	Derivatives that are valued using models such as the Black-Scholes option pricing model, simulation models, or a combination of models, that use observable or unobservable valuation inputs (e.g., plain vanilla options and interest rate and CDS). Inputs include:	Level 2 or 3
	Contractual terms including the period to maturity	
	Readily observable parameters including interest rates and volatility	
	Credit quality of the counterparty and of the Firm	
	Market funding levels	
	Correlation levels	
	In addition, specific inputs used for derivatives that are valued based on models with significant unobservable inputs are as follows:	
	Structured credit derivatives specific inputs include:	
	CDS spreads and recovery rates	
	 Credit correlation between the underlying debt instruments (levels are modeled on a transaction basis and calibrated to liquid benchmark tranche indices) 	
	 Actual transactions, where available, are used to regularly recalibrate unobservable parameters 	
	Certain long-dated equity option specific inputs include:	
	 Long-dated equity volatilities 	
	Certain interest rate and FX exotic options specific inputs include:	
	Interest rate correlation	
	 Interest rate spread volatility 	
	 Foreign exchange correlation 	
	 Correlation between interest rates and foreign exchange rates 	
	Parameters describing the evolution of underlying interest rates	
	Certain commodity derivatives specific inputs include: Commodity volatility 	
	Forward commodity price	
	Additionally, adjustments are made to reflect counterparty credit quality (CVA), the Firm's own creditworthiness (DVA), and the impact of funding	

Product/instrument	Valuation methodology, inputs and assumptions	Classification in the valuation hierarchy
Mortgage servicing rights	See Mortgage servicing rights in Note 17.	Level 3
Private equity direct investments	Private equity direct investments	Level 2 or 3
	Fair value is estimated using all available information; the range of potential inputs include:	
	Transaction prices	
	 Trading multiples of comparable public companies 	
	 Operating performance of the underlying portfolio company 	
	 Adjustments as required, since comparable public companies are not identical to the company being valued, and for company- specific issues and lack of liquidity 	
	 Additional available inputs relevant to the investment 	
Fund investments (e.g. mutual/	Net asset value	
collective investment funds, private equity funds, hedge funds, and real estate funds)	 NAV is supported by the ability to redeem and purchase at the NAV level. 	Level 1
	 Adjustments to the NAV as required, for restrictions on redemption (e.g., lock-up periods or withdrawal limitations) or where observable activity is limited 	Level 2 or 3 ^(a)
Beneficial interests issued by	Valued using observable market information, where available	Level 2 or 3
consolidated VIEs	In the absence of observable market information, valuations are based on the fair value of the underlying assets held by the VIE	
Long-term debt, not carried at	Valuations are based on discounted cash flows, which consider:	Predominantly level 2
fair value	Market rates for respective maturity	
Structured notes (included in deposits, other borrowed funds and long-term debt)	 Valuations are based on discounted cash flow analyses that consider the embedded derivative and the terms and payment structure of the note. The embedded derivative features are considered using models such as the Black-Scholes option pricing model, simulation models, or a combination of models that use observable or unobservable valuation inputs, depending on the embedded derivative. The specific inputs used vary according to the nature of the embedded derivative saluation. Adjustments are then made to this base valuation to reflect the Firm's own creditworthiness (DVA) and to incorporate the impact of funding (FVA). See pages 164-165 of this Note. 	Level 2 or 3

(a) Excludes certain investments that are measured at fair value using the net asset value per share (or its equivalent) as a practical expedient.

The following table presents the assets and liabilities reported at fair value as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, by major product category and fair value hierarchy.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis

	Fair value hierarchy						di un ti un	
December 31, 2016 (in millions)	Level 1 Level 2			Level 3	n	rivative etting Istments	Total fair value	
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	\$	- \$	21,506	\$		\$	- \$	
ecurities borrowed			-		-		-	
rading assets:								
Debt instruments:								
Mortgage-backed securities:								
U.S. government agencies ^(a)		13	40,586		392		-	40,993
Residential - nonagency		-	1,552		83		-	1,63
Commercial - nonagency		-	1,321		17		-	1,33
Total mortgage-backed securities		13	43,459		492		-	43,964
U.S. Treasury and government agencies ^(a)		19,554	5,201		-		-	24,75
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities		-	8,403		649		-	9,052
Certificates of deposit, bankers' acceptances and commercial paper		-	1,649		-		-	1,649
Non-U.S. government debt securities		28,443	23,076		46		-	51,56
Corporate debt securities		-	22,751		576		-	23,327
Loans ^(b)		-	28,965		4,837		-	33,802
Asset-backed securities		-	5,250		302		-	5,552
Total debt instruments		48,010	138,754		6,902		-	193,666
Equity securities		96,759	281		231		-	97,271
Physical commodities ^(c)		5,341	1,620		-		-	6,961
Other			9,341		761			10,102
Total debt and equity instruments ^(d)		150,110	149,996		7,894		-	308,000
Derivative receivables:								
Interest rate		715	602,747		2,501		(577,661)	28,302
Credit		-	28,256		1,389		(28,351)	1,294
Foreign exchange		812	231,743		870		(210,154)	23,271
Equity		_	34,032		908		(30,001)	4,939
Commodity		158	18,360		125		(12,371)	6,272
Total derivative receivables ^(e)		1,685	915,138		5,793		(858,538)	64,078
Total trading assets ^(f)		151,795	1,065,134		13,687		(858,538)	372,078
Available-for-sale securities:		151,75	1,005,154		15,007		(050,550)	572,070
Mortgage-backed securities:								
U.S. government agencies ^(a)			64,005					64,005
Residential - nonagency		-			- 1		_	
		-	14,442		-		-	14,443
Commercial – nonagency			9,104		1			9,104
Total mortgage-backed securities			87,551				_	87,552
U.S. Treasury and government agencies ^(a)		44,072	29		-		-	44,101
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities		-	31,592		-		-	31,592
Certificates of deposit		-	106		-		-	100
Non-U.S. government debt securities		22,793	12,495		-		-	35,288
Corporate debt securities		-	4,958		-		-	4,958
Asset-backed securities:								
Collateralized loan obligations		-	26,738		663		-	27,401
Other		-	6,967		-		-	6,967
Equity securities		926	-		-		_	926
Total available-for-sale securities		67,791	170,436		664		-	238,891
Loans		-	1,660		570		-	2,230
Mortgage servicing rights		-	-		6,096		-	6,096
Other assets:								
Private equity investments ^(g)		68	-		1,606		-	1,674
All other		4,289	-		617		_	4,906
Total other assets ^(f)		4,357			2,223		_	6,580
Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$	223,943 \$	1,258,736	^{g)} \$	23,240 ^(g)	\$	(858,538) \$	647,381
Deposits	\$	- \$	11,795	\$	2,117	\$	- \$	13,912
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements		-	687		-		-	687
Other borrowed funds		-	7,971		1,134		-	9,105
Trading liabilities:			-					
Debt and equity instruments ^(d)		68,304	19,081		43		-	87,428
Derivative payables:			-					
Interest rate		539	569,001		1,238		(559,963)	10,815
Credit		_	27,375		1,291		(27,255)	1,41
Foreign exchange		902	231,815		2,254		(214,463)	20,508
Equity		-	35,202		3,160		(30,222)	8,140
Commodity		173	20,079		210		(12,105)	8,14
Total derivative payables ^(e)		1,614	883,472		8,153		(844,008)	49,23
Fotal trading liabilities		69,918	902,553		8,196		(844,008)	136,659
Accounts payable and other liabilities		9,107	-		13		-	9,120
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs		-	72		48		-	120
ong-term debt	-	-	23,792		13,894		-	37,680
Total liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$	79,025 \$	946,870	\$	25,402	\$	(844,008) \$	207,289

	Fair value hierarchy								
Percenter 21, 2017 (in million)	Level 1 Level 2 Level 3					Derivative netting	Tate	al fair value	
December 31, 2015 (in millions) Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	\$	\$	23,141	\$	-	\$	djustments	\$	23,141
Securities borrowed	₽	— p —	395	Φ	_	Р	_	ф	395
Trading assets:									
Debt instruments:									
Mortgage-backed securities:									
U.S. government agencies ^(a)		6	31,815		715		-		32,536
Residential - nonagency		-	1,299		194		-		1,493
Commercial - nonagency		-	1,080		115		-		1,195
Total mortgage-backed securities U.S. Treasury and government agencies ^(a)		6 12,036	34,194 6,985		1,024		-		35,224 19,021
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities		12,030	6,985		651		_		7,637
Certificates of deposit, bankers' acceptances and commercial paper		_	1,042		-		_		1,042
Non-U.S. government debt securities		27,974	25,064		74		-		53,112
Corporate debt securities		_	22,807		736		-		23,543
Loans ^(b)		-	22,211		6,604		-		28,815
Asset-backed securities		-	2,392		1,832		-		4,224
Total debt instruments		40,016	121,681		10,921		-		172,618
Equity securities		94,059	606		265		-		94,930
Physical commodities ^(c)		3,593	1,064		-		-		4,657
Other		-	11,152		744		-		11,896
Total debt and equity instruments ^(d)		137,668	134,503		11,930		-		284,101
Derivative receivables: Interest rate		354	666,491		2,766		(643,248)		26,363
Credit		- 554	48,850		2,700		(50,045)		1,423
Foreign exchange		734	177,525		1,616		(162,698)		17,177
Equity		_	35,150		709		(30,330)		5,529
Commodity		108	24,720		237		(15,880)		9,185
Total derivative receivables ^(e)		1,196	952,736		7,946		(902,201)		59,677
Total trading assets ^(f)		138,864	1,087,239		19,876		(902,201)		343,778
Available-for-sale securities:									
Mortgage-backed securities:									
U.S. government agencies ^(a)		-	55,066		-		-		55,066
Residential - nonagency		-	27,618		1		-		27,619
Commercial - nonagency Total mortgage-backed securities			22,897		- 1				22,897
U.S. Treasury and government agencies ^(a)		10,998	38		-		_		11,036
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities		-	33,550		_		_		33,550
Certificates of deposit		_	283		_		_		283
Non-U.S. government debt securities		23,199	13,477		_		-		36,676
Corporate debt securities		_	12,436		-		-		12,436
Asset-backed securities:									
Collateralized loan obligations		-	30,248		759		-		31,007
Other		-	9,033		64		-		9,097
Equity securities		2,087	-		-		-		2,087
Total available-for-sale securities		36,284	204,646		824		-		241,754
Loans		_	1,343		1,518		-		2,861
Mortgage servicing rights Other assets:		-	-		6,608		-		6,608
Private equity investments ^(g)		102	101		1,657		_		1,860
All other		3,815	28		744		_		4,587
Total other assets ^(f)		3,917	129		2,401		_		6,447
Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$	179,065 \$	1,316,893	\$	31,227	\$	(902,201)	\$	624,984
Deposits	\$	- \$	9,566	\$	2,950	\$	-	\$	12,516
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements		-	3,526		-		-		3,526
Other borrowed funds		-	9,272		639		-		9,911
Trading liabilities:									
Debt and equity instruments ^(d)		53,845	20,199		63		-		74,107
Derivative payables:									
Interest rate		216	633,060		1,890		(624,945)		10,221
Credit Foreign exchange		-	48,460		2,069		(48,988)		1,541
Foreign exchange		669	187,890		2,341		(171,131)		19,769
Equity Commodity		52	36,440 26,430		2,223 1,172		(29,480) (15,578)		9,183 12,076
Total derivative payables ^(e)		937	932,280		9,695		(890,122)		52,790
Total trading liabilities		54,782	952,280		9,095		(890,122)		126,897
Accounts payable and other liabilities		4,382	-		9,738 19		(890,122)		4,401
									.,-01
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs		_	238		549		-		787
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs Long-term debt		-	238 21,452				-		787 33,065

- (a) At December 31, 2016 and 2015, included total U.S. government-sponsored enterprise obligations of \$80.6 billion and \$67.0 billion, respectively, which were predominantly mortgage-related.
- (b) At December 31, 2016 and 2015, included within trading loans were \$16.5 billion and \$11.8 billion, respectively, of residential first-lien mortgages, and \$3.3 billion and \$4.3 billion, respectively, of commercial first-lien mortgages. Residential mortgage loans include conforming mortgage loans originated with the intent to sell to U.S. government agencies of \$11.0 billion and \$5.3 billion, respectively, and reverse mortgages of \$2.0 billion and \$2.5 billion, respectively.
- (c) Physical commodities inventories are generally accounted for at the lower of cost or market. "Market" is a term defined in U.S. GAAP as not exceeding fair value less costs to sell ("transaction costs"). Transaction costs for the Firm's physical commodities inventories are either not applicable or immaterial to the value of the inventory. Therefore, market approximates fair value for the Firm's physical commodities inventories. When fair value hedging has been applied (or when market is below cost), the carrying value of physical commodities approximates fair value, because under fair value hedge accounting, the cost basis is adjusted for changes in fair value. For a further discussion of the Firm's hedge accounting relationships, see Note 6. To provide consistent fair value disclosure information, all physical commodities inventories have been included in each period presented.
- (d) Balances reflect the reduction of securities owned (long positions) by the amount of identical securities sold but not yet purchased (short positions).
- (e) As permitted under U.S. GAAP, the Firm has elected to net derivative receivables and derivative payables and the related cash collateral received and paid when a legally enforceable master netting agreement exists. For purposes of the tables above, the Firm does not reduce derivative receivables and derivative payables balances for this netting adjustment, either within or across the levels of the fair value hierarchy, as such netting is not relevant to a presentation based on the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability. The level 3 balances would be reduced if netting were applied, including the netting benefit associated with cash collateral.
- (f) Certain investments that are measured at fair value using the net asset value per share (or its equivalent) as a practical expedient are not required to be classified in the fair value hierarchy. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the fair values of these investments, which include certain hedge funds, private equity funds, real estate and other funds, were \$1.0 billion and \$1.2 billion, respectively. Included in the balances at December 31, 2016 and 2015, were trading assets of \$52 million and \$61 million, respectively, and other assets of \$1.0 billion and \$1.2 billion, respectively.
- (g) Private equity instruments represent investments within Corporate. The portion of the private equity investment portfolio carried at fair value on a recurring basis had a cost basis of \$2.5 billion and \$3.5 billion at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Transfers between levels for instruments carried at fair value on a recurring basis

For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, there were no significant transfers between levels 1 and 2.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, transfers from level 3 to level 2 included the following:

• \$1.4 billion of long-term debt driven by an increase in observability and a reduction of the significance in the unobservable inputs for certain structured notes.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, transfers from level 2 to level 3 included the following:

- \$1.1 billion of gross equity derivative receivables and \$1.0 billion of gross equity derivative payables as a result of a decrease in observability and an increase in the significance in unobservable inputs.
- \$1.0 billion of trading loans driven by a decrease in observability.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, transfers from level 3 to level 2 included the following:

- \$3.1 billion of long-term debt and \$1.0 billion of deposits driven by an increase in observability on certain structured notes with embedded interest rate and FX derivatives and a reduction of the significance in the unobservable inputs for certain structured notes with embedded equity derivatives.
- \$2.1 billion of gross equity derivatives for both receivables and payables as a result of an increase in observability and a decrease in the significance in unobservable inputs; partially offset by transfers into level 3 resulting in net transfers of approximately \$1.2 billion for both receivables and payables.
- \$2.8 billion of trading loans driven by an increase in observability of certain collateralized financing transactions.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, transfers from level 2 to level 3 included the following:

• \$2.4 billion of corporate debt driven by a decrease in the significance in the unobservable inputs and an increase in observability for certain structured products

During the year ended December 31, 2014, transfers from level 3 to level 2 included the following:

- \$4.3 billion and \$4.4 billion of gross equity derivative receivables and payables, respectively, due to increased observability of certain equity option valuation inputs
- \$2.7 billion of trading loans, \$2.6 billion of margin loans, \$2.3 billion of private equity investments, \$2.0 billion of corporate debt, and \$1.3 billion of long-term debt, based on increased liquidity and price transparency
- Transfers from level 2 into level 3 included \$1.1 billion of other borrowed funds, \$1.1 billion of trading loans and \$1.0 billion of long-term debt, based on a decrease in observability of valuation inputs and price transparency.

All transfers are assumed to occur at the beginning of the quarterly reporting period in which they occur.

Level 3 valuations

The Firm has established well-structured processes for determining fair value, including for instruments where fair value is estimated using significant unobservable inputs (level 3). For further information on the Firm's valuation process and a detailed discussion of the determination of fair value for individual financial instruments, see pages 150–153 of this Note.

Estimating fair value requires the application of judgment. The type and level of judgment required is largely dependent on the amount of observable market information available to the Firm. For instruments valued using internally developed models that use significant unobservable inputs and are therefore classified within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, judgments used to estimate fair value are more significant than those required when estimating the fair value of instruments classified within levels 1 and 2.

In arriving at an estimate of fair value for an instrument within level 3, management must first determine the appropriate model to use. Second, due to the lack of observability of significant inputs, management must assess all relevant empirical data in deriving valuation inputs including, but not limited to, transaction details, yield curves, interest rates, prepayment speed, default rates, volatilities, correlations, equity or debt prices, valuations of comparable instruments, foreign exchange rates and credit curves.

The following table presents the Firm's primary level 3 financial instruments, the valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of those financial instruments. the significant unobservable inputs, the range of values for those inputs and, for certain instruments, the weighted averages of such inputs. While the determination to classify an instrument within level 3 is based on the significance of the unobservable inputs to the overall fair value measurement, level 3 financial instruments typically include observable components (that is, components that are actively quoted and can be validated to external sources) in addition to the unobservable components. The level 1 and/ or level 2 inputs are not included in the table. In addition, the Firm manages the risk of the observable components of level 3 financial instruments using securities and derivative positions that are classified within levels 1 or 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

The range of values presented in the table is representative of the highest and lowest level input used to value the significant groups of instruments within a product/ instrument classification. Where provided, the weighted averages of the input values presented in the table are calculated based on the fair value of the instruments that the input is being used to value. In the Firm's view, the input range and the weighted average value do not reflect the degree of input uncertainty or an assessment of the reasonableness of the Firm's estimates and assumptions. Rather, they reflect the characteristics of the various instruments held by the Firm and the relative distribution of instruments within the range of characteristics. For example, two option contracts may have similar levels of market risk exposure and valuation uncertainty, but may have significantly different implied volatility levels because the option contracts have different underlyings, tenors, or strike prices. The input range and weighted average values will therefore vary from period-toperiod and parameter-to-parameter based on the characteristics of the instruments held by the Firm at each balance sheet date.

For the Firm's derivatives and structured notes positions classified within level 3 at December 31, 2016, interest rate correlation inputs used in estimating fair value were concentrated towards the upper end of the range presented; equity correlation inputs were concentrated at the upper end of the range; the credit correlation inputs were distributed across the range presented; and the foreign exchange correlation inputs were concentrated at the upper end of the range presented. In addition, the interest rate volatility inputs used in estimating fair value were distributed across the range presented; equity volatilities were concentrated in the lower half end of the range; and forward commodity prices used in estimating the fair value of commodity derivatives were concentrated in the middle of the range presented.

Level 3 inputs^(a)

Product/Instrument	Fair value	Principal valuation technique	Unobservable inputs	Range o	of input values	Weighted average
Residential mortgage-backed	\$ 2,861	Discounted cash flows	Yield	4%	- 18%	5%
securities and loans			Prepayment speed	0%	- 20%	8%
			Conditional default rate	0%	- 34%	15%
			Loss severity	0%	- 90%	37%
Commercial mortgage-backed	1,555	Discounted cash flows	Yield	1%	- 32%	8%
securities and loans ^(b)			Conditional default rate	0%	- 100%	69%
			Loss severity		40%	40%
Corporate debt securities, obligations	764	Discounted cash flows	Credit spread	40bps	- 375bps	96bps
of U.S. states and municipalities, and other ^(c)			Yield	1%	- 17%	9%
other	3,744	Market comparables	Price	\$ O	- \$121	\$91
Net interest rate derivatives	1,263	Option pricing	Interest rate correlation	(30)%	- 100%	
			Interest rate spread volatility	3%	- 38%	
Net credit derivatives ^{(b)(c)}	98	Discounted cash flows	Credit correlation	30%	- 85%	
Net foreign exchange derivatives	(1,384)	Option pricing	Foreign exchange correlation	(30)%	- 65%	
Net equity derivatives	(2,252)	Option pricing	Equity volatility	20%	- 60%	_
Net commodity derivatives	(85)	Discounted cash flows	Forward commodity price	\$ 46	- \$59 per bar	rel
Collateralized loan obligations	663	Discounted cash flows	Credit spread	303bps	- 475bps	339bps
			Prepayment speed		20%	20%
			Conditional default rate		2%	2%
			Loss severity		30%	30%
	158	Market comparables	Price	\$ 0	- \$111	\$73
MSRs	6,096	Discounted cash flows	Refer to Note 17			
Private equity investments	1,606	Market comparables	EBITDA multiple	6.4x	- 11.5x	7.9x
Long-term debt, other borrowed funds,	16,669	Option pricing	Interest rate correlation	(30)%	o - 100%	
and deposits ^(d)			Interest rate spread volatility	3%	- 38%	
			Foreign exchange correlation	(30)%	o - 65%	
			Equity correlation	(50)%	- 80%	
	476	Discounted cash flows	Credit correlation	30%		

(a) The categories presented in the table have been aggregated based upon the product type, which may differ from their classification on the Consolidated balance sheets. Furthermore, the inputs presented for each valuation technique in the table are, in some cases, not applicable to every instrument valued using the technique as the characteristics of the instruments can differ.

(b) The unobservable inputs and associated input ranges for approximately \$394 million of credit derivative receivables and \$226 million of credit derivative payables with underlying commercial mortgage risk have been included in the inputs and ranges provided for commercial mortgage-backed securities and loans.

(c) The unobservable inputs and associated input ranges for approximately \$362 million of credit derivative receivables and \$333 million of credit derivative payables with underlying ABS risk have been included in the inputs and ranges provided for corporate debt securities, obligations of U.S. states and municipalities and other.

(d) Long-term debt, other borrowed funds and deposits include structured notes issued by the Firm that are predominantly financial instruments containing embedded derivatives. The estimation of the fair value of structured notes includes the derivative features embedded within the instrument. The significant unobservable inputs are broadly consistent with those presented for derivative receivables.

Changes in and ranges of unobservable inputs

The following discussion provides a description of the impact on a fair value measurement of a change in each unobservable input in isolation, and the interrelationship between unobservable inputs, where relevant and significant. The impact of changes in inputs may not be independent, as a change in one unobservable input may give rise to a change in another unobservable input. Where relationships do exist between two unobservable inputs, those relationships are discussed below. Relationships may also exist between observable and unobservable inputs (for example, as observable interest rates rise, unobservable prepayment rates decline); such relationships have not been included in the discussion below. In addition, for each of the individual relationships described below, the inverse relationship would also generally apply.

The following discussion also provides a description of attributes of the underlying instruments and external market factors that affect the range of inputs used in the valuation of the Firm's positions.

Yield - The yield of an asset is the interest rate used to discount future cash flows in a discounted cash flow calculation. An increase in the yield, in isolation, would result in a decrease in a fair value measurement.

Credit spread – The credit spread is the amount of additional annualized return over the market interest rate that a market participant would demand for taking exposure to the credit risk of an instrument. The credit spread for an instrument forms part of the discount rate used in a discounted cash flow calculation. Generally, an increase in the credit spread would result in a decrease in a fair value measurement.

The yield and the credit spread of a particular mortgagebacked security primarily reflect the risk inherent in the instrument. The yield is also impacted by the absolute level of the coupon paid by the instrument (which may not correspond directly to the level of inherent risk). Therefore, the range of yield and credit spreads reflects the range of risk inherent in various instruments owned by the Firm. The risk inherent in mortgage-backed securities is driven by the subordination of the security being valued and the characteristics of the underlying mortgages within the collateralized pool, including borrower FICO scores, LTV ratios for residential mortgages and the nature of the property and/or any tenants for commercial mortgages. For corporate debt securities, obligations of U.S. states and municipalities and other similar instruments, credit spreads reflect the credit quality of the obligor and the tenor of the obligation.

Prepayment speed – The prepayment speed is a measure of the voluntary unscheduled principal repayments of a prepayable obligation in a collateralized pool. Prepayment speeds generally decline as borrower delinquencies rise. An increase in prepayment speeds, in isolation, would result in a decrease in a fair value measurement of assets valued at a premium to par and an increase in a fair value measurement of assets valued at a discount to par.

Prepayment speeds may vary from collateral pool to collateral pool, and are driven by the type and location of the underlying borrower, and the remaining tenor of the obligation as well as the level and type (e.g., fixed or floating) of interest rate being paid by the borrower. Typically collateral pools with higher borrower credit quality have a higher prepayment rate than those with lower borrower credit quality, all other factors being equal.

Conditional default rate - The conditional default rate is a measure of the reduction in the outstanding collateral balance underlying a collateralized obligation as a result of defaults. While there is typically no direct relationship between conditional default rates and prepayment speeds, collateralized obligations for which the underlying collateral has high prepayment speeds will tend to have lower conditional default rates. An increase in conditional default rates would generally be accompanied by an increase in loss severity and an increase in credit spreads. An increase in the conditional default rate, in isolation, would result in a decrease in a fair value measurement. Conditional default rates reflect the quality of the collateral underlying a securitization and the structure of the securitization itself. Based on the types of securities owned in the Firm's marketmaking portfolios, conditional default rates are most typically at the lower end of the range presented.

Loss severity - The loss severity (the inverse concept is the recovery rate) is the expected amount of future realized losses resulting from the ultimate liquidation of a particular loan, expressed as the net amount of loss relative to the outstanding loan balance. An increase in loss severity is generally accompanied by an increase in conditional default rates. An increase in the loss severity, in isolation, would result in a decrease in a fair value measurement.

The loss severity applied in valuing a mortgage-backed security investment depends on factors relating to the underlying mortgages, including the LTV ratio, the nature of the lender's lien on the property and other instrument-specific factors.

Correlation - Correlation is a measure of the relationship between the movements of two variables (e.g., how the change in one variable influences the change in the other). Correlation is a pricing input for a derivative product where the payoff is driven by one or more underlying risks. Correlation inputs are related to the type of derivative (e.g., interest rate, credit, equity and foreign exchange) due to the nature of the underlying risks. When parameters are positively correlated, an increase in one parameter will result in an increase in the other parameter. When parameters are negatively correlated, an increase in one parameter will result in a decrease in the other parameter. An increase in correlation can result in an increase or a decrease in a fair value measurement. Given a short correlation position, an increase in correlation, in isolation, would generally result in a decrease in a fair value measurement. The range of correlation inputs between risks within the same asset class are generally narrower than those between underlying risks across asset classes. In addition, the ranges of credit correlation inputs tend to be narrower than those affecting other asset classes.

The level of correlation used in the valuation of derivatives with multiple underlying risks depends on a number of factors including the nature of those risks. For example, the correlation between two credit risk exposures would be different than that between two interest rate risk exposures. Similarly, the tenor of the transaction may also impact the correlation input, as the relationship between the underlying risks may be different over different time periods. Furthermore, correlation levels are very much dependent on market conditions and could have a relatively wide range of levels within or across asset classes over time, particularly in volatile market conditions.

Volatility - Volatility is a measure of the variability in possible returns for an instrument, parameter or market index given how much the particular instrument, parameter or index changes in value over time. Volatility is a pricing input for options, including equity options, commodity options, and interest rate options. Generally, the higher the volatility of the underlying, the riskier the instrument. Given a long position in an option, an increase in volatility, in isolation, would generally result in an increase in a fair value measurement.

The level of volatility used in the valuation of a particular option-based derivative depends on a number of factors, including the nature of the risk underlying the option (e.g., the volatility of a particular equity security may be significantly different from that of a particular commodity index), the tenor of the derivative as well as the strike price of the option. EBITDA multiple – EBITDA multiples refer to the input (often derived from the value of a comparable company) that is multiplied by the historic and/or expected earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") of a company in order to estimate the company's value. An increase in the EBITDA multiple, in isolation, net of adjustments, would result in an increase in a fair value measurement.

Changes in level 3 recurring fair value measurements

The following tables include a rollforward of the Consolidated balance sheets amounts (including changes in fair value) for financial instruments classified by the Firm within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014. When a determination is made to classify a financial instrument within level 3, the determination is based on the significance of the unobservable parameters to the overall fair value measurement. However, level 3 financial instruments typically include, in addition to the unobservable or level 3 components, observable components (that is, components that are actively quoted and can be validated to external sources); accordingly, the gains and losses in the table below include changes in fair value due in part to observable factors that are part of the valuation methodology. Also, the Firm risk-manages the observable components of level 3 financial instruments using securities and derivative positions that are classified within level 1 or 2 of the fair value hierarchy; as these level 1 and level 2 risk management instruments are not included below, the gains or losses in the following tables do not reflect the effect of the Firm's risk management activities related to such level 3 instruments.

			_					
Year ended December 31, 2016 (in millions)	Fair value at January 1, 2016	Total realized/ unrealized gains/ (losses)	Purchases ^(g)	Sales	Settlements ^(h)	and/or out of	air value at Dec. 31, 2016	Change in unrealized gains/ (losses) related to financial instruments held at Dec. 31, 2016
Assets:								
Trading assets:								
Debt instruments:								
Mortgage-backed securities:								
U.S. government agencies	\$ 715	\$ (20)	\$ 135	\$ (295)	\$ (115)	\$ (28) \$	392	\$ (36)
Residential - nonagency	194	4	252	(319)	(20)	(28)	83	5
Commercial - nonagency	115	(11)	69	(29)	(3)	(124)	17	3
Total mortgage-backed securities	1,024	(27)	456	(643)	(138)	(180)	492	(28)
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities	651	19	149	(132)	(38)	_	649	_
Non-U.S. government debt securities	74	(4)	91	(97)	(7)	(11)	46	(7)
Corporate debt securities	736	2	445	(359)	(189)	(59)	576	(22)
Loans	6,604	(343)	2,228	(2,598)	(1,311)	257	4,837	(169)
Asset-backed securities	1,832	39	655	(712)	(968)	(544)	302	19
Total debt instruments	10,921	(314)	4,024	(4,541)	(2,651)	(537)	6,902	(207)
Equity securities	265	-	90	(108)	(40)	24	231	7
Other	744	79	649	(287)	(360)	(64)	761	28
Total trading assets - debt and equity instruments	11,930	(235) ^(c)	4,763	(4,936)	(3,051)	(577)	7,894	(172) ^(c)
Net derivative receivables:(a)						·		
Interest rate	876	756	193	(57)	(713)	208	1,263	(144)
Credit	549	(742)	10	(2)	211	72	98	(622)
Foreign exchange	(725)	67	64	(124)	(649)	(17)	(1,384)	(350)
Equity	(1,514)	(145)	277	(852)	213	(231)	(2,252)	(86)
Commodity	(935)	194	1	10	645	_	(85)	(36)
Total net derivative receivables	(1,749)	130 ^(c)	545	(1,025)	(293)	32	(2,360)	(1,238) ^(c)
Available-for-sale securities:								
Asset-backed securities	823	1	_	-	(119)	(42)	663	1
Other	1	-	-	-	-	_	1	_
Total available-for-sale securities	824	1 ^(d)	_	_	(119)	(42)	664	1 ^(d)
Loans	1,518	(49) ^(c)	259	(7)	(838)	(313)	570	(c)
Mortgage servicing rights	6,608	(163) ^(e)	679	(109)	(919)	_	6,096	(163) ^(e)
Other assets:								
Private equity investments	1,657	80 (c)	457	(485)	(103)	_	1,606	1 (c)
All other	744	50 ^(f)	30	(11)	(196)	_	617	47 ^(f)

				Fair \	value measure	ments usi	ng s	ignificant	unobservable inp	uts		
Year ended December 31, 2016 (in millions)	Fair va at Janu 1, 20	ary	Total realized/ unrealized (gains)/ losses	Ри	ırchases ^(g)	Sales	Is	suances	Settlements ^(h)	Transfers into and/or out of level 3 ⁽ⁱ⁾	Fair value at Dec. 31, 2016	Change in unrealized (gains)/losses related to financial instruments held at Dec. 31, 2016
Liabilities: ^(b)												
Deposits	\$2,	950	\$ (56) (c)	\$	- \$	-	\$	1,375	\$ (1,283)	\$ (869)	\$ 2,117	\$ 23 ^(c)
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements		_	_		_	_		_	(2)	2	-	-
Other borrowed funds		639	(230) ^(c)		-	-		1,876	(1,210)	59	1,134	(70) ^(c)
Trading liabilities - debt and equity instruments		63	(12) ^(c)		(15)	23		-	(22)	6	43	(18) ^(c)
Accounts payable and other liabilities		19	-		-	-		-	(6)	-	13	-
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs		549	(31) ^(c)		_	-		143	(613)	-	48	6 (c)
Long-term debt	11,	613	216 ^(c)		-	-		8,949	(5,810)	(1,074)) 13,894	540 ^(c)

			_					
Year ended December 31, 2015 (in millions)	Fair value at January 1, 2015	Total realized/ unrealized gains/ (losses)	Purchases ^(g)	Sales	Settlements ^(h)	Transfers into and/or out of level 3 ⁽ⁱ⁾	Fair value at Dec. 31, 2015	Change in unrealized gains/ (losses) related to financial instruments held at Dec. 31, 2015
Assets:								
Trading assets:								
Debt instruments:								
Mortgage-backed securities:								
U.S. government agencies	\$ 922	\$ (28)	\$ 327	\$ (303)	\$ (132)	\$ (71)	\$ 715	\$ (27)
Residential - nonagency	663	130	253	(611)	(23)	(218)	194	4
Commercial - nonagency	306	(14)	246	(262)	(22)	(139)	115	(5)
Total mortgage-backed securities	1,891	88	826	(1,176)	(177)	(428)	1,024	(28)
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities	1,273	14	352	(133)	(27)	(828)	651	(1)
Non-U.S. government debt securities	302	9	205	(123)	(64)	(255)	74	(16)
Corporate debt securities	2,989	(77)	1,171	(1,038)	(125)	(2,184)	736	2
Loans	13,287	(174)	3,532	(4,661)	(3,112)	(2,268)	6,604	(181)
Asset-backed securities	1,264	(41)	1,920	(1,229)	(35)	(47)	1,832	(32)
Total debt instruments	21,006	(181)	8,006	(8,360)	(3,540)	(6,010)	10,921	(256)
Equity securities	431	96	89	(193)	(26)	(132)	265	82
Physical commodities	2	(2)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	1,050	119	1,581	(1,313)	192	(885)	744	85
Total trading assets - debt and equity instruments	22,489	32 ^(c)	9,676	(9,866)	(3,374)	(7,027)	11,930	(89) ^(c)
Net derivative receivables:(a)								
Interest rate	626	962	513	(173)	(732)	(320)	876	263
Credit	189	118	129	(136)	165	84	549	260
Foreign exchange	(526)	657	19	(149)	(296)	(430)	(725)	49
Equity	(1,785)	731	890	(1,262)	(158)	70	(1,514)	5
Commodity	(565)	(856)	1	(24)	512	(3)	(935)	(41)
Total net derivative receivables	(2,061)	1,612 ^(c)	1,552	(1,744)	(509)	(599)	(1,749)	536 ^(c)
Available-for-sale securities:								
Asset-backed securities	908	(32)	51	(43)	(61)	-	823	(28)
Other	129	-	-	-	(29)	(99)	1	-
Total available-for-sale securities	1,037	(32) ^(d)	51	(43)	(90)	(99)	824	(28) ^(d)
Loans	2,541	(133) ^(c)	1,290	(92)	(1,241)	(847)	1,518	(32) ^(c)
Mortgage servicing rights	7,436	(405) ^(e)	985	(486)	(922)	-	6,608	(405) ^(e)
Other assets:								
Private equity investments	2,225	(120) ^(c)	281	(362)	(187)	(180)	1,657	(304) ^(c)
All other	959	91 ^(f)	65	(147)	(224)	_	744	15 ^(f)

Fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs Change in unrealized (gains)/losses related to financial Total realized/ unrealized Fair value Transfers into Year ended Fair value December 31, 2015 (in millions) (gains)/ losses and/or out of level 3⁽ⁱ⁾ at Dec. 31, 2015 instruments held at January Purchases^(g) Settlements^(h) 1,2015 Sales Issuances at Dec. 31, 2015 Liabilities:(b) (29) ^(c) 2,859 \$ (39) ^(c) \$ Deposits \$ - \$ \$ 1,993 \$ (850) \$ (1,013) \$ 2,950 \$ _ Other borrowed funds 1,453 (697) ^(c) 3,334 (2,963) (488) 639 (57) ^(c) _ _ Trading liabilities - debt and equity instruments 15 ^(c) (4) ^(c) 72 (163) 160 _ (17) (4) 63 Accounts payable and other liabilities 26 _ _ _ _ (7) _ 19 _ Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs (82) ^(c) (63) ^(c) (574) 1,146 _ _ 286 (227) 549 (6,299) (480) ^(c) (58) 385 ^(c) Long-term debt 11,877 9,359 (2,786) 11,613 _

			Fair value measu	air value measurements using significant unobservable inputs								
Year ended December 31, 2014 (in millions)	Fair value at January 1, 2014	Total realized/ unrealized gains/ (losses)	Purchases ^(g)	Sales	Settlements ^(h)	Transfers into and/or out of level 3 ⁽ⁱ⁾	Fair value at Dec. 31, 2014	Change in unrealized gains, (losses) related to financial instruments held at Dec. 31, 2014				
Assets:												
Trading assets:												
Debt instruments:												
Mortgage-backed securities:												
U.S. government agencies	\$ 1,005	\$ (97)	\$ 351	\$ (186)	\$ (121)	\$ (30)	\$ 922	\$ (92)				
Residential - nonagency	726	66	827	(761)	(41)	(154)	663	(15)				
Commercial - nonagency	432	17	980	(914)	(60)	(149)	306	(12)				
Total mortgage-backed securities	2,163	(14)	2,158	(1,861)	(222)	(333)	1,891	(119)				
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities	1,382	90	298	(358)	(139)	_	1,273	(27)				
Non-U.S. government debt securities	143	24	719	(617)	(3)	36	302	10				
Corporate debt securities	5,920	210	5,854	(3,372)	(4,531)	(1,092)	2,989	379				
Loans	13,455	387	13,551	(7,917)	(4,623)	(1,566)	13,287	123				
Asset-backed securities	1,272	19	2,240	(2,126)	(283)	142	1,264	(30)				
Total debt instruments	24,335	716	24,820	(16,251)	(9,801)	(2,813)	21,006	336				
Equity securities	867	113	248	(259)	(286)	(252)	431	46				
Physical commodities	4	(1)	-	-	(1)	-	2	-				
Other	2,000	239	1,426	(276)	(201)	(2,138)	1,050	329				
Total trading assets - debt and equity instruments	27,206	1,067 ^(c)	26,494	(16,786)	(10,289)	(5,203)	22,489	711 ^(c)				
Net derivative receivables:(a)												
Interest rate	2,379	184	198	(256)	(1,771)	(108)	626	(853)				
Credit	95	(149)	272	(47)	92	(74)	189	(107)				
Foreign exchange	(1,200)	(137)	139	(27)	668	31	(526)	(62)				
Equity	(1,063)	154	2,044	(2,863)	10	(67)	(1,785)	583				
Commodity	115	(465)	1	(113)	(109)	6	(565)	(186)				
Total net derivative receivables	326	(413) ^(c)	2,654	(3,306)	(1,110)	(212)	(2,061)	(625) ^(c)				
Available-for-sale securities:												
Asset-backed securities	1,088	(41)	275	(2)	(101)	(311)	908	(40)				
Other	1,234	(19)	122	-	(223)	(985)	129	(2)				
Total available-for-sale securities	2,322	(60) ^(d)	397	(2)	(324)	(1,296)	1,037	(42) ^(d)				
Loans	1,931	(254) ^(c)	3,258	(845)	(1,549)	-	2,541	(234) ^(c)				
Mortgage servicing rights	9,614	(1,826) ^(e)	768	(209)	(911)	-	7,436	(1,826) ^(e)				
Other assets:												
Private equity investments	5,816	400 ^(c)	145	(1,967)	(197)	(1,972)	2,225	33 ^(c)				
All other	1,382	83 ^(f)	10	(357)	(159)	_	959	59 ^(f)				

		Fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs												
Year ended December 31, 2014 (in millions)	Fair value at January 1, 2014	Total realized/ unrealized (gains)/ losses	Purchases ^(g)	Sales	Issuances	Settlements ^(h)	Transfers into and/or out of level 3 ⁽ⁱ⁾	Fair value at Dec. 31, 2014	Change in unrealized (gains)/losses related to financial instruments held at Dec. 31, 2014					
Liabilities: ^(b)														
Deposits	\$ 2,255	\$ 149 ^(c)	\$ –	\$ -	\$ 1,578	\$ (197)	\$ (926)	\$ 2,859	\$ 130 ^(c)					
Other borrowed funds	2,074	(596) ^(c)	-	-	5,377	(6,127)	725	1,453	(415) ^(c)					
Trading liabilities - debt and equity instruments	113	(5) ^(c)	(305)	323	-	(5)	(49)	72	2 ^(c)					
Accounts payable and other liabilities	-	27 ^(c)	-	-	-	(1)	-	26	_					
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs	1,240	(4) ^(c)	-	-	775	(763)	(102)	1,146	(22) ^(c)					
Long-term debt	10,008	(40) ^(c)	-	-	7,421	(5,231)	(281)	11,877	(9) ^(c)					

(a) All level 3 derivatives are presented on a net basis, irrespective of underlying counterparty.
(b) Level 3 liabilities as a percentage of total Firm liabilities accounted for at fair value (including liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis) were 12%, 13% and 15% at December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

- (c) Predominantly reported in principal transactions revenue, except for changes in fair value for CCB mortgage loans, and lending-related commitments originated with the intent to sell, and mortgage loan purchase commitments, which are reported in mortgage fees and related income.
- (d) Realized gains/(losses) on AFS securities, as well as other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") losses that are recorded in earnings, are reported in securities gains. Unrealized gains/ (losses) are reported in OCI. Realized gains/(losses) and foreign exchange hedge accounting adjustments recorded in income on AFS securities were zero, \$(7) million, and \$(43) million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Unrealized gains/(losses) recorded on AFS securities in OCI were \$1 million, \$(25) million and \$(16) million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.
- (e) Changes in fair value for CCB MSRs are reported in mortgage fees and related income.
- (f) Predominantly reported in other income.
- (g) Loan originations are included in purchases.
- (h) Includes financial assets and liabilities that have matured, been partially or fully repaid, impacts of modifications, and deconsolidation associated with beneficial interests in VIEs.
- (i) All transfers into and/or out of level 3 are assumed to occur at the beginning of the quarterly reporting period in which they occur.

Level 3 analysis

Consolidated balance sheets changes

Level 3 assets (including assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis) were 1.0% of total Firm assets at December 31, 2016. The following describes significant changes to level 3 assets since December 31, 2015, for those items measured at fair value on a recurring basis. For further information on changes impacting items measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, see Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis on page 165.

For the year ended December 31, 2016

Level 3 assets were \$23.2 billion at December 31, 2016, reflecting a decrease of \$8.0 billion from December 31, 2015. This decrease was driven by settlements (including repayments and restructurings) and transfers to Level 2 due to an increase in observability and a decrease in the significance of unobservable inputs. In particular:

- \$4.0 billion decrease in trading assets debt and equity instruments was predominantly driven by a decrease of \$1.8 billion in trading loans largely due to settlements, and a \$1.5 billion decrease in asset-backed securities due to settlements and transfers from level 3 to level 2 as a result of increased observability of certain valuation inputs
- \$2.1 billion decrease in gross derivative receivables was driven by a decrease in credit and foreign exchange derivative receivables due to market movements and transfers from level 3 to level 2 as a result of increased observability of certain valuation inputs

Gains and losses

The following describes significant components of total realized/unrealized gains/(losses) for instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014. For further information on these instruments, see Changes in level 3 recurring fair value measurements rollforward tables on pages 160-164.

2016

• There were no individually significant movements for the year ended December 31, 2016.

2015

- \$1.6 billion of net gains in interest rate, foreign exchange and equity derivative receivables largely due to market movements; partially offset by losses on commodity derivatives due to market movements
- \$1.3 billion of net gains in liabilities due to market movements

2014

- \$1.8 billion of losses on MSRs. For further discussion of the change, refer to Note 17
- \$1.1 billion of net gains on trading assets debt and equity instruments, largely driven by market movements and client-driven financing transactions

Credit and funding adjustments - derivatives

Derivatives are generally valued using models that use as their basis observable market parameters. These market parameters generally do not consider factors such as counterparty nonperformance risk, the Firm's own credit quality, and funding costs. Therefore, it is generally necessary to make adjustments to the base estimate of fair value to reflect these factors.

CVA represents the adjustment, relative to the relevant benchmark interest rate, necessary to reflect counterparty nonperformance risk. The Firm estimates CVA using a scenario analysis to estimate the expected credit exposure across all of the Firm's positions with each counterparty, and then estimates losses as a result of a counterparty credit event. The key inputs to this methodology are (i) the expected positive exposure to each counterparty based on a simulation that assumes the current population of existing derivatives with each counterparty remains unchanged and considers contractual factors designed to mitigate the Firm's credit exposure, such as collateral and legal rights of offset; (ii) the probability of a default event occurring for each counterparty, as derived from observed or estimated CDS spreads; and (iii) estimated recovery rates implied by CDS spreads, adjusted to consider the differences in recovery rates as a derivative creditor relative to those reflected in CDS spreads, which generally reflect senior unsecured creditor risk.

DVA represents the adjustment, relative to the relevant benchmark interest rate, necessary to reflect the credit quality of the Firm. The derivative DVA calculation methodology is generally consistent with the CVA methodology described above and incorporates JPMorgan Chase's credit spread as observed through the CDS market to estimate the PD and LGD as a result of a systemic event affecting the Firm.

FVA represents the adjustment to reflect the impact of funding and is recognized where there is evidence that a market participant in the principal market would incorporate it in a transfer of the instrument. The Firm's FVA framework, applied to uncollateralized (including partially collateralized) OTC derivatives, leverages its existing CVA and DVA calculation methodologies, and considers the fact that the Firm's own credit risk is a significant component of funding costs. The key inputs to FVA are: (i) the expected funding requirements arising from the Firm's positions with each counterparty and collateral arrangements; (ii) for assets, the estimated market funding cost in the principal market; and (iii) for liabilities, the hypothetical market funding cost for a transfer to a market participant with a similar credit standing as the Firm. For collateralized derivatives, the fair value is estimated by discounting expected future cash flows at the relevant overnight indexed swap rate given the underlying collateral agreement with the counterparty, and therefore a separate FVA is not necessary.

The following table provides the impact of credit and funding adjustments on principal transactions revenue in the respective periods, excluding the effect of any associated hedging activities. The DVA and FVA reported below include the impact of the Firm's own credit quality on the inception value of liabilities as well as the impact of changes in the Firm's own credit quality over time.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2	016	ź	2015	ź	2014
Credit adjustments:						
Derivatives CVA	\$	(84)	\$	620	\$	(322)
Derivatives DVA and FVA		7		73		(58)

Valuation adjustments on fair value option elected liabilities

The valuation of the Firm's liabilities for which the fair value option has been elected requires consideration of the Firm's own credit risk. DVA on fair value option elected liabilities is measured using (i) the current fair value of the liability and (ii) changes (subsequent to the issuance of the liability) in the Firm's probability of default and LGD, which are estimated based on changes in the Firm's credit spread observed in the bond market. Effective January 1, 2016, the effect of DVA on fair value option elected liabilities is recognized in OCI. See Note 25 for further information.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis were \$1.6 billion and \$1.7 billion, respectively, consisting predominantly of loans that had fair value adjustments for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. At December 31, 2016, \$735 million and \$822 million of these assets were classified in levels 2 and 3 of the fair value hierarchy, respectively. At December 31, 2015, \$696 million and \$959 million of these assets were classified in levels 2 and 3 of the fair value hierarchy, respectively. Liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis were not significant at December 31, 2016 and 2015. For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, there were no significant transfers between levels 1, 2 and 3 related to assets held at the balance sheet date.

Of the \$822 million in level 3 assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as of December 31, 2016:

• \$462 million related to residential real estate loans carried at the net realizable value of the underlying collateral (i.e., collateral-dependent loans and other loans charged off in accordance with regulatory guidance). These amounts are classified as level 3, as they are valued using a broker's price opinion and discounted based upon the Firm's experience with actual liquidation values. These discounts to the broker price opinions ranged from 12% to 47%, with a weighted average of 25%.

The total change in the recorded value of assets and liabilities for which a fair value adjustment has been included in the Consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, related to financial instruments held at those dates, were losses of \$172 million, \$294 million and \$992 million respectively; these reductions were predominantly associated with loans.

For further information about the measurement of impaired collateral-dependent loans, and other loans where the carrying value is based on the fair value of the underlying collateral (e.g., residential mortgage loans charged off in accordance with regulatory guidance), see Note 14.

Additional disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments that are not carried on the Consolidated balance sheets at fair value

U.S. GAAP requires disclosure of the estimated fair value of certain financial instruments, and the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate their fair value. Financial instruments within the scope of these disclosure requirements are included in the following table. However, certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments are excluded from the scope of these disclosure requirements. Accordingly, the fair value disclosures provided in the following table include only a partial estimate of the fair value of JPMorgan Chase's assets and liabilities. For example, the Firm has developed long-term relationships with its customers through its deposit base and credit card accounts, commonly referred to as core deposit intangibles and credit card relationships. In the opinion of management, these items, in the aggregate, add significant value to JPMorgan Chase, but their fair value is not disclosed in this Note.

Financial instruments for which carrying value approximates fair value

Certain financial instruments that are not carried at fair value on the Consolidated balance sheets are carried at amounts that approximate fair value, due to their shortterm nature and generally negligible credit risk. These instruments include cash and due from banks, deposits with banks, federal funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements and securities borrowed, short-term receivables and accrued interest receivable, commercial paper, federal funds purchased, securities loaned and sold under repurchase agreements, other borrowed funds, accounts payable, and accrued liabilities. In addition, U.S. GAAP requires that the fair value of deposit liabilities with no stated maturity (i.e., demand, savings and certain money market deposits) be equal to their carrying value; recognition of the inherent funding value of these instruments is not permitted.

The following table presents by fair value hierarchy classification the carrying values and estimated fair values at December 31, 2016 and 2015, of financial assets and liabilities, excluding financial instruments that are carried at fair value on a recurring basis, and their classification within the fair value hierarchy. For additional information regarding the financial instruments within the scope of this disclosure, and the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate their fair value, see pages 150–153 of this Note.

				Dec	em	ber 31, 2	016						Dece	embe	r 31, 2	2015			
				Estimate	d fa	air value h	nierarchy						Estimate	d fair	value	hiera	archy		
(in billions)		rying alue	L	evel 1	l	evel 2	Level 3	es	Total timated ir value		arrying value	L	evel 1	Lev	vel 2	L	evel 3	esti	otal mated value
Financial assets																			
Cash and due from banks	\$	23.9	\$	23.9	\$	_	\$ -	\$	23.9	\$	20.5	\$	20.5	\$	_	\$	-	\$	20.5
Deposits with banks		365.8		362.0		3.8	-		365.8		340.0		335.9		4.1		-		340.0
Accrued interest and accounts receivable		52.3		_		52.2	0.1		52.3		46.6		_		46.4		0.2		46.6
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements		208.5		_		208.3	0.2		208.5		189.5		_		189.5		_		189.5
Securities borrowed		96.4		-		96.4	-		96.4		98.3		-		98.3		-		98.3
Securities, held-to-maturity		50.2		-		50.9	-		50.9		49.1		-		50.6		-		50.6
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses ^(a)		878.8		_		24.1	851.0		875.1		820.8		_		25.4		802.7		828.1
Other		71.4		0.1		60.8	14.3		75.2		66.0		0.1		56.3		14.3		70.7
Financial liabilities																			
Deposits	\$ 1 ,	,361.3	\$	-	\$	1,361.3	\$ -	\$	1,361.3	\$ 3	1,267.2	\$	-	\$ 1,	266.1	\$	1.2	\$ 1	,267.3
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements		165.0		_		165.0	_		165.0		149.2		_		149.2		_		149.2
Commercial paper		11.7		-		11.7	-		11.7		15.6		-		15.6		-		15.6
Other borrowed funds		13.6		-		13.6	-		13.6		11.2		-		11.2		-		11.2
Accounts payable and other liabilities		148.0		_		144.8	3.4		148.2		144.6		_		141.7		2.8		144.5
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs		38.9		_		38.9	_		38.9		41.1		_		40.2		0.9		41.1
Long-term debt and junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures		257.5		_		260.0	2.0		262.0		255.6		_		257.4		4.3		261.7

(a) Fair value is typically estimated using a discounted cash flow model that incorporates the characteristics of the underlying loans (including principal, contractual interest rate and contractual fees) and other key inputs, including expected lifetime credit losses, interest rates, prepayment rates, and primary origination or secondary market spreads. For certain loans, the fair value is measured based on the value of the underlying collateral. The difference between the estimated fair value and carrying value of a financial asset or liability is the result of the different methodologies used to determine fair value as compared with carrying value. For example, credit losses are estimated for a financial asset's remaining life in a fair value calculation but are estimated for a loss emergence period in the allowance for loan loss calculation; future loan income (interest and fees) is incorporated in a fair value calculation but is generally not considered in the allowance for loan losses. For a further discussion of the Firm's methodologies for estimating the fair value of loans and lending-related commitments, see Valuation hierarchy on pages 150-153.

The majority of the Firm's lending-related commitments are not carried at fair value on a recurring basis on the Consolidated balance sheets, nor are they actively traded. The carrying value of the wholesale allowance for lending-related commitments and the estimated fair value of these wholesale lending-related commitments were as follows for the periods indicated.

					Dec	emb	er 31,	, 20)16						De	cen	nber 3	1, 2	015				
		Estimated fair value hierarchy									Estir	nat	ed f	fair val	ue l	niera	archy						
(in billions)	Carı val	rying ue ^(a)		Level :	1	Le	vel 2		Le	evel 3	Total stimated air value		rying ue ^(a)	Level	1		Level	2	L	evel 3		Tot estima fair va	ated
Wholesale lending- related commitments	\$	1.1	\$		_	\$		_	\$	2.1	\$ 2.	L	\$ 0.8	\$	_	\$		_	\$	3.0) ;	\$	3.0

(a) Excludes the current carrying values of the guarantee liability and the offsetting asset, each of which is recognized at fair value at the inception of the guarantees.

The Firm does not estimate the fair value of consumer lending-related commitments. In many cases, the Firm can reduce or cancel these commitments by providing the borrower notice or, in some cases as permitted by law, without notice. For a further discussion of the valuation of lending-related commitments, see page 151 of this Note.

Note 4 - Fair value option

The fair value option provides an option to elect fair value as an alternative measurement for selected financial assets, financial liabilities, unrecognized firm commitments, and written loan commitments.

The Firm has elected to measure certain instruments at fair value for several reasons including to mitigate income statement volatility caused by the differences between the measurement basis of elected instruments (e.g. certain instruments elected were previously accounted for on an accrual basis) and the associated risk management arrangements that are accounted for on a fair value basis, as well as to better reflect those instruments that are managed on a fair value basis. The Firm's election of fair value includes the following instruments:

- Loans purchased or originated as part of securitization warehousing activity, subject to bifurcation accounting, or managed on a fair value basis
- Certain securities financing arrangements with an embedded derivative and/or a maturity of greater than one year
- Owned beneficial interests in securitized financial assets that contain embedded credit derivatives, which would otherwise be required to be separately accounted for as a derivative instrument
- Structured notes, which are predominantly financial instruments that contain embedded derivatives, that are issued as part of CIB's client-driven activities
- Certain long-term beneficial interests issued by CIB's consolidated securitization trusts where the underlying assets are carried at fair value

Changes in fair value under the fair value option election

The following table presents the changes in fair value included in the Consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, for items for which the fair value option was elected. The profit and loss information presented below only includes the financial instruments that were elected to be measured at fair value; related risk management instruments, which are required to be measured at fair value, are not included in the table.

		2016			2015			2014	
December 31, (in millions)	Principal transactions	All other income	Total changes in fair value recorded	Principal transactions	All other income	Total changes in fair value recorded	Principal transactions	All other income	Total changes in fair value recorded
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	\$ (76)\$ -	\$ (76)	\$ (38)	\$ -	\$ (38)	\$ (15)	\$ -	\$ (15)
Securities borrowed	1	-	1	(6)	-	(6)	(10)	-	(10)
Trading assets:									
Debt and equity instruments, excluding loans	120	(1) ^(c)	119	756	(10) ^(c)	746	639	_	639
Loans reported as trading assets:									
Changes in instrument- specific credit risk	461	43 ^(c)	504	138	41 ^(c)	179	885	29 ^(c)	914
Other changes in fair value	79	684 ^(c)	763	232	818 ^(c)	1,050	352	1,353 ^(c)	1,705
Loans:									
Changes in instrument-specific credit risk	13	_	13	35	_	35	40	_	40
Other changes in fair value	(7) –	(7)	4	-	4	34	-	34
Other assets	20	62 ^(d)	82	79	(1) ^(d)	78	24	6 ^(d)	30
Deposits ^(a)	(134) –	(134)	93	-	93	(287)	-	(287)
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements ^(a)	19	_	19	8	_	8	(33)	_	(33)
Other borrowed funds ^(a)	(236) –	(236)	1,996	-	1,996	(891)	_	(891)
Trading liabilities	6	_	6	(20)	-	(20)	(17)	-	(17)
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs	23	_	23	49	-	49	(233)	_	(233)
Other liabilities	-	_	-	_	-	-	(27)	-	(27)
Long-term debt:									
DVA on fair value option elected liabilities ^(a)	_	_	_	300	_	300	101	_	101
Other changes in fair value ^(b)	(773) –	(773)	1,088	-	1,088	(615)	-	(615)

(a) Effective January 1, 2016, unrealized gains/(losses) due to instrument-specific credit risk (DVA) for liabilities for which the fair value option has been elected is recorded in OCI, while realized gains/(losses) are recorded in principal transactions revenue. DVA for 2015 and 2014 was included in principal transactions revenue, and includes the impact of the Firm's own credit quality on the inception value of liabilities as well as the impact of changes in the Firm's own credit quality subsequent to issuance. See Notes 3 and 25 for further information.

(b) Long-term debt measured at fair value predominantly relates to structured notes containing embedded derivatives. Although the risk associated with the structured notes is actively managed, the gains/(losses) reported in this table do not include the income statement impact of the risk management instruments used to manage such risk.

(c) Reported in mortgage fees and related income.

(d) Reported in other income.

Determination of instrument-specific credit risk for items for which a fair value election was made

The following describes how the gains and losses that are attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit risk, were determined.

- Loans and lending-related commitments: For floatingrate instruments, all changes in value are attributed to instrument-specific credit risk. For fixed-rate instruments, an allocation of the changes in value for the period is made between those changes in value that are interest rate-related and changes in value that are credit-related. Allocations are generally based on an analysis of borrower-specific credit spread and recovery information, where available, or benchmarking to similar entities or industries.
- Long-term debt: Changes in value attributable to instrument-specific credit risk were derived principally from observable changes in the Firm's credit spread.
- Resale and repurchase agreements, securities borrowed agreements and securities lending agreements: Generally, for these types of agreements, there is a requirement that collateral be maintained with a market value equal to or in excess of the principal amount loaned; as a result, there would be no adjustment or an immaterial adjustment for instrument-specific credit risk related to these agreements.

Difference between aggregate fair value and aggregate remaining contractual principal balance outstanding

The following table reflects the difference between the aggregate fair value and the aggregate remaining contractual principal balance outstanding as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, for loans, long-term debt and long-term beneficial interests for which the fair value option has been elected.

			2	016						2	015		
December 31, (in millions)	p	Contractual principal outstanding		Fair value		air value over/ (under) ntractual principal tstanding	p	ntractual rrincipal tstanding		F	air value	(i cor pi	ir value over/ under) tractual rincipal standing
Loans ^(a)													
Nonaccrual loans													
Loans reported as trading assets	\$	3,338	\$	748	\$	(2,590)	\$	3,484		\$	631	\$	(2,853)
Loans		-		-		-		7			7		-
Subtotal		3,338		748		(2,590)		3,491			638		(2,853)
All other performing loans													
Loans reported as trading assets		35,477		33,054		(2,423)		30,780			28,184		(2,596)
Loans		2,259		2,228		(31)		2,771			2,752		(19)
Total loans	\$	41,074	\$	36,030	\$	(5,044)	\$	37,042		\$	31,574	\$	(5,468)
Long-term debt													
Principal-protected debt	\$	21,602	^{:)} \$	19,195	\$	(2,407)	\$	17,910	(c)	\$	16,611	\$	(1,299)
Nonprincipal-protected debt ^(b)		NA		18,491		NA		NA			16,454		NA
Total long-term debt		NA	\$	37,686		NA		NA		\$	33,065		NA
Long-term beneficial interests													
Nonprincipal-protected debt		NA	\$	120		NA		NA		\$	787		NA
Total long-term beneficial interests		NA	\$	120		NA		NA		\$	787		NA

(a) There were no performing loans that were ninety days or more past due as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

(b) Remaining contractual principal is not applicable to nonprincipal-protected notes. Unlike principal-protected structured notes, for which the Firm is obligated to return a stated amount of principal at the maturity of the note, nonprincipal-protected structured notes do not obligate the Firm to return a stated amount of principal at maturity, but to return an amount based on the performance of an underlying variable or derivative feature embedded in the note. However, investors are exposed to the credit risk of the Firm as issuer for both nonprincipal-protected and principal protected notes.
 (c) Where the Firm issues principal protected are compared are explored to the performance of a state of the contextual principal protected notes.

(c) Where the Firm issues principal-protected zero-coupon or discount notes, the balance reflects the contractual principal payment at maturity or, if applicable, the contractual principal payment at the Firm's next call date.

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the contractual amount of lending-related commitments for which the fair value option was elected was \$4.6 billion for both years, with a corresponding fair value of \$(118) million and \$(94) million, respectively. For further information regarding off-balance sheet lending-related financial instruments, see Note 29.

Structured note products by balance sheet classification and risk component The table below presents the fair value of the structured notes issued by the Firm, by balance sheet classification and the primary risk type.

		December	31, 2016		December 31, 2015						
(in millions)	Long-term debt	Other borrowed funds	Deposits	Total	Long-term debt	Other borrowed funds	Deposits	Total			
Risk exposure											
Interest rate	\$ 16,296	\$ 184	\$ 4,296	\$ 20,776	\$ 12,531	\$ 58	\$ 3,340	\$ 15,929			
Credit	3,267	225	-	3,492	3,195	547	-	3,742			
Foreign exchange	2,365	135	6	2,506	1,765	77	11	1,853			
Equity	14,831	8,234	5,481	28,546	14,293	8,447	4,993	27,733			
Commodity	488	37	1,811	2,336	640	50	1,981	2,671			
Total structured notes	\$ 37,247	\$ 8,815	\$ 11,594	\$ 57,656	\$ 32,424	\$ 9,179	\$ 10,325	\$ 51,928			

Note 5 - Credit risk concentrations

Concentrations of credit risk arise when a number of customers are engaged in similar business activities or activities in the same geographic region, or when they have similar economic features that would cause their ability to meet contractual obligations to be similarly affected by changes in economic conditions.

JPMorgan Chase regularly monitors various segments of its credit portfolios to assess potential credit risk concentrations and to obtain collateral when deemed necessary. Senior management is significantly involved in the credit approval and review process, and risk levels are adjusted as needed to reflect the Firm's risk appetite. In the Firm's consumer portfolio, concentrations are evaluated primarily by product and by U.S. geographic region, with a key focus on trends and concentrations at the portfolio level, where potential credit risk concentrations can be remedied through changes in underwriting policies and portfolio guidelines. In the wholesale portfolio, credit risk concentrations are evaluated primarily by industry and monitored regularly on both an aggregate portfolio level and on an individual customer basis. The Firm's wholesale exposure is managed through loan syndications and participations, loan sales, securitizations, credit derivatives, master netting agreements, and collateral and other riskreduction techniques. For additional information on loans, see Note 14.

The Firm does not believe that its exposure to any particular loan product (e.g., option ARMs), or industry segment (e.g., commercial real estate), or its exposure to residential real estate loans with high LTV ratios, results in a significant concentration of credit risk.

Terms of loan products and collateral coverage are included in the Firm's assessment when extending credit and establishing its allowance for loan losses. The table below presents both on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet consumer and wholesale-related credit exposure by the Firm's three credit portfolio segments as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

	2016				2015			
December 31, (in millions)	Cradit	On-balance sheet		Off helenes	Credit	On-balance sheet		Off halance
	Credit - exposure	Loans	Derivatives	 Off-balance sheet^(g) 	Credit – exposure	Loans	Derivatives	 Off-balance sheet^(g)
Consumer, excluding credit card	\$ 419,441	\$ 364,644	\$ -	\$ 54,797	\$ 403,299	\$ 344,821	\$ -	\$ 58,478
Receivables from customers ^(a)	120	-	-	-	125	-	-	-
Total Consumer, excluding credit card	419,561	364,644	-	54,797	403,424	344,821	-	58,478
Credit Card	695,707	141,816	-	553,891	646,981	131,463	-	515,518
Total consumer-related	1,115,268	506,460	-	608,688	1,050,405	476,284	-	573,996
Wholesale-related ^(b)								
Real Estate	135,041	106,315	222	28,504	116,857	92,820	312	23,725
Consumer & Retail	85,435	29,842	1,082	54,511	85,460	27,175	1,573	56,712
Technology, Media & Telecommunications	62,950	13,845	1,227	47,878	57,382	11,079	1,032	45,271
Industrials	55,449	17,150	1,615	36,684	54,386	16,791	1,428	36,167
Healthcare	47,866	15,120	2,277	30,469	46,053	16,965	2,751	26,337
Banks & Finance Cos	44,614	19,460	12,232	12,922	43,398	20,401	10,218	12,779
Oil & Gas	40,099	13,079	1,878	25,142	42,077	13,343	1,902	26,832
Asset Managers	31,886	10,539	10,819	10,528	23,815	6,703	7,733	9,379
Utilities	29,622	7,183	883	21,556	30,853	5,294	1,689	23,870
State & Municipal Govt ^(c)	28,263	12,416	2,096	13,751	29,114	9,626	3,287	16,201
Central Govt	20,408	3,964	14,235	2,209	17,968	2,000	13,240	2,728
Transportation	19,029	8,942	751	9,336	19,227	9,157	1,575	8,495
Automotive	16,635	4,943	1,190	10,502	13,864	4,473	1,350	8,041
Chemicals & Plastics	14,988	5,287	271	9,430	15,232	4,033	369	10,830
Metals & Mining	13,419	4,350	439	8,630	14,049	4,622	607	8,820
Insurance	13,151	947	3,382	8,822	11,889	1,094	1,992	8,803
Financial Markets Infrastructure	8,732	347	3,884	4,501	7,973	724	2,602	4,647
Securities Firms	3,867	794	1,913	1,160	4,412	861	1,424	2,127
All other ^(d)	144,428	109,267	3,682	31,479	149,117	109,889	4,593	34,635
Subtotal	815,882	383,790	64,078	368,014	783,126	357,050	59,677	366,399
Loans held-for-sale and loans at fair value	4,515	4,515	-	_	3,965	3,965	-	-
Receivables from customers and $other^{(a)}$	17,440	_	-		13,372	-	-	
Total wholesale-related	837,837	388,305	64,078	368,014	800,463	361,015	59,677	366,399
Total exposure ^{(e)(f)}	\$1,953,105	\$ 894,765	\$ 64,078	\$ 976,702	\$1,850,868	\$ 837,299	\$ 59,677	\$ 940,395

(a) Receivables from customers primarily represent margin loans to brokerage customers that are collateralized through assets maintained in the clients' brokerage accounts, as such no allowance is held against these receivables. These receivables are reported within accrued interest and accounts receivable on the Firm's Consolidated balance sheets.

(b) The industry rankings presented in the table as of December 31, 2015, are based on the industry rankings of the corresponding exposures at December 31, 2016, not actual rankings of such exposures at December 31, 2015.

(c) In addition to the credit risk exposure to states and municipal governments (both U.S. and non-U.S.) at December 31, 2016 and 2015, noted above, the Firm held: \$9.1 billion and 7.6 billion, respectively, of trading securities; \$31.6 billion and \$33.6 billion, respectively, of AFS securities; and \$14.5 billion and \$12.8 billion, respectively, of HTM securities, issued by U.S. state and municipal governments. For further information, see Note 3 and Note 12.

(d) All other includes: individuals; SPEs; holding companies; and private education and civic organizations. For more information on exposures to SPEs, see Note 16.
 (e) For further information regarding on-balance sheet credit concentrations by major product and/or geography, see Note 6 and Note 14. For information regarding concentrations of off-balance sheet lending-related financial instruments by major product, see Note 29.

(f) Excludes cash placed with banks of \$380.2 billion and \$351.0 billion, at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, which is predominantly placed with various central banks, primarily Federal Reserve Banks

(g) Represents lending-related financial instruments.

Note 6 - Derivative instruments

Derivative contracts derive their value from underlying asset prices, indices, reference rates, other inputs or a combination of these factors and may expose counterparties to risks and rewards of an underlying asset or liability without having to initially invest in, own or exchange the asset or liability. JPMorgan Chase makes markets in derivatives for clients and also uses derivatives to hedge or manage its own risk exposures. Predominantly all of the Firm's derivatives are entered into for marketmaking or risk management purposes.

Market-making derivatives

The majority of the Firm's derivatives are entered into for market-making purposes. Clients use derivatives to mitigate or modify interest rate, credit, foreign exchange, equity and commodity risks. The Firm actively manages the risks from its exposure to these derivatives by entering into other derivative transactions or by purchasing or selling other financial instruments that partially or fully offset the exposure from client derivatives.

Risk management derivatives

The Firm manages certain market and credit risk exposures using derivative instruments, including derivatives in hedge accounting relationships and other derivatives that are used to manage risks associated with specified assets and liabilities.

Interest rate contracts are used to minimize fluctuations in earnings that are caused by changes in interest rates. Fixedrate assets and liabilities appreciate or depreciate in market value as interest rates change. Similarly, interest income and expense increases or decreases as a result of variablerate assets and liabilities resetting to current market rates, and as a result of the repayment and subsequent origination or issuance of fixed-rate assets and liabilities at current market rates. Gains or losses on the derivative instruments that are related to such assets and liabilities are expected to substantially offset this variability in earnings. The Firm generally uses interest rate swaps, forwards and futures to manage the impact of interest rate fluctuations on earnings.

Foreign currency forward contracts are used to manage the foreign exchange risk associated with certain foreign currency-denominated (i.e., non-U.S. dollar) assets and liabilities and forecasted transactions, as well as the Firm's net investments in certain non-U.S. subsidiaries or branches whose functional currencies are not the U.S. dollar. As a result of fluctuations in foreign currencies, the U.S. dollarequivalent values of the foreign currency-denominated assets and liabilities or the forecasted revenues or expenses increase or decrease. Gains or losses on the derivative instruments related to these foreign currency-denominated assets or liabilities, or forecasted transactions, are expected to substantially offset this variability. Commodities contracts are used to manage the price risk of certain commodities inventories. Gains or losses on these derivative instruments are expected to substantially offset the depreciation or appreciation of the related inventory.

Credit derivatives are used to manage the counterparty credit risk associated with loans and lending-related commitments. Credit derivatives compensate the purchaser when the entity referenced in the contract experiences a credit event, such as bankruptcy or a failure to pay an obligation when due. Credit derivatives primarily consist of CDS. For a further discussion of credit derivatives, see the discussion in the Credit derivatives section on pages 184-186 of this Note.

For more information about risk management derivatives, see the risk management derivatives gains and losses table on page 184 of this Note, and the hedge accounting gains and losses tables on pages 182-184 of this Note.

Derivative counterparties and settlement types

The Firm enters into OTC derivatives, which are negotiated and settled bilaterally with the derivative counterparty. The Firm also enters into, as principal, certain ETD such as futures and options, and OTC-cleared derivative contracts with CCPs. ETD contracts are generally standardized contracts traded on an exchange and cleared by the CCP, which is the Firm's counterparty from the inception of the transactions. OTC-cleared derivatives are traded on a bilateral basis and then novated to the CCP for clearing.

Derivative clearing services

The Firm provides clearing services for clients where the Firm acts as a clearing member with respect to certain derivative exchanges and clearing houses. The Firm does not reflect the clients' derivative contracts in its Consolidated Financial Statements. For further information on the Firm's clearing services, see Note 29.

Accounting for derivatives

All free-standing derivatives that the Firm executes for its own account are required to be recorded on the Consolidated balance sheets at fair value.

As permitted under U.S. GAAP, the Firm nets derivative assets and liabilities, and the related cash collateral receivables and payables, when a legally enforceable master netting agreement exists between the Firm and the derivative counterparty. For further discussion of the offsetting of assets and liabilities, see Note 1. The accounting for changes in value of a derivative depends on whether or not the transaction has been designated and qualifies for hedge accounting. Derivatives that are not designated as hedges are reported and measured at fair value through earnings. The tabular disclosures on pages 178-184 of this Note provide additional information on the amount of, and reporting for, derivative assets, liabilities, gains and losses. For further discussion of derivatives embedded in structured notes, see Notes 3 and 4.

Derivatives designated as hedges

The Firm applies hedge accounting to certain derivatives executed for risk management purposes – generally interest rate, foreign exchange and commodity derivatives. However, JPMorgan Chase does not seek to apply hedge accounting to all of the derivatives involved in the Firm's risk management activities. For example, the Firm does not apply hedge accounting to purchased CDS used to manage the credit risk of loans and lending-related commitments, because of the difficulties in qualifying such contracts as hedges. For the same reason, the Firm does not apply hedge accounting to certain interest rate, foreign exchange, and commodity derivatives used for risk management purposes.

To qualify for hedge accounting, a derivative must be highly effective at reducing the risk associated with the exposure being hedged. In addition, for a derivative to be designated as a hedge, the risk management objective and strategy must be documented. Hedge documentation must identify the derivative hedging instrument, the asset or liability or forecasted transaction and type of risk to be hedged, and how the effectiveness of the derivative is assessed prospectively and retrospectively. To assess effectiveness, the Firm uses statistical methods such as regression analysis, as well as nonstatistical methods including dollarvalue comparisons of the change in the fair value of the derivative to the change in the fair value or cash flows of the hedged item. The extent to which a derivative has been, and is expected to continue to be, effective at offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of the hedged item must be assessed and documented at least guarterly. Any hedge ineffectiveness (i.e., the amount by which the gain or loss on the designated derivative instrument does not exactly offset the change in the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk) must be reported in current-period earnings. If it is determined that a derivative is not highly effective at hedging the designated exposure, hedge accounting is discontinued.

There are three types of hedge accounting designations: fair value hedges, cash flow hedges and net investment hedges. JPMorgan Chase uses fair value hedges primarily to hedge fixed-rate long-term debt, AFS securities and certain commodities inventories. For qualifying fair value hedges, the changes in the fair value of the derivative, and in the value of the hedged item for the risk being hedged, are recognized in earnings. If the hedge relationship is terminated, then the adjustment to the hedged item continues to be reported as part of the basis of the hedged item, and for benchmark interest rate hedges, is amortized to earnings as a yield adjustment. Derivative amounts affecting earnings are recognized consistent with the classification of the hedged item – primarily net interest income and principal transactions revenue.

JPMorgan Chase uses cash flow hedges primarily to hedge the exposure to variability in forecasted cash flows from floating-rate assets and liabilities and foreign currencydenominated revenue and expense. For qualifying cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the change in the fair value of the derivative is recorded in OCI and recognized in the Consolidated statements of income when the hedged cash flows affect earnings. Derivative amounts affecting earnings are recognized consistent with the classification of the hedged item - primarily interest income, interest expense, noninterest revenue and compensation expense. The ineffective portions of cash flow hedges are immediately recognized in earnings. If the hedge relationship is terminated, then the value of the derivative recorded in AOCI is recognized in earnings when the cash flows that were hedged affect earnings. For hedge relationships that are discontinued because a forecasted transaction is not expected to occur according to the original hedge forecast, any related derivative values recorded in AOCI are immediately recognized in earnings.

JPMorgan Chase uses net investment hedges to protect the value of the Firm's net investments in certain non-U.S. subsidiaries or branches whose functional currencies are not the U.S. dollar. For foreign currency qualifying net investment hedges, changes in the fair value of the derivatives are recorded in the translation adjustments account within AOCI.

The following table outlines the Firm's primary uses of derivatives and the related hedge accounting designation or disclosure category.

Type of Derivative	Use of Derivative	Designation and disclosure	Affected segment or unit	Page reference
Manage specifically iden	tified risk exposures in qualifying hedge accounting relationships:			
∘ Interest rate	Hedge fixed rate assets and liabilities	Fair value hedge	Corporate	182
 Interest rate 	Hedge floating-rate assets and liabilities	Cash flow hedge	Corporate	183
 Foreign exchange 	Hedge foreign currency-denominated assets and liabilities	Fair value hedge	Corporate	182
∘ Foreign exchange	Hedge forecasted revenue and expense	Cash flow hedge	Corporate	183
 Foreign exchange 	Hedge the value of the Firm's investments in non-U.S. dollar functional currency entities	Net investment hedge	Corporate	184
 Commodity 	Hedge commodity inventory	Fair value hedge	CIB	182
Manage specifically iden relationships:	tified risk exposures not designated in qualifying hedge accounting			
∘ Interest rate	Manage the risk of the mortgage pipeline, warehouse loans and MSRs	Specified risk management	ССВ	184
∘ Credit	Manage the credit risk of wholesale lending exposures	Specified risk management	CIB	184
 Commodity 	Manage the risk of certain commodities-related contracts and investments	Specified risk management	CIB	184
 Interest rate and foreign exchange 	Manage the risk of certain other specified assets and liabilities	Specified risk management	Corporate	184
Market-making derivativ	res and other activities:			
∘ Various	Market-making and related risk management	Market-making and other	CIB	184
∘ Various	Other derivatives	Market-making and other	CIB, Corporate	184

Notional amount of derivative contracts

The following table summarizes the notional amount of derivative contracts outstanding as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

	Notional a	amounts ^(b)
December 31, (in billions)	2016	2015
Interest rate contracts		
Swaps	\$ 22,000	\$ 24,162
Futures and forwards	5,289	5,167
Written options	3,091	3,506
Purchased options	3,482	3,896
Total interest rate contracts	33,862	36,731
Credit derivatives ^(a)	2,032	2,900
Foreign exchange contracts		
Cross-currency swaps	3,359	3,199
Spot, futures and forwards	5,341	5,028
Written options	734	690
Purchased options	721	706
Total foreign exchange contracts	10,155	9,623
Equity contracts		
Swaps	258	232
Futures and forwards	59	43
Written options	417	395
Purchased options	345	326
Total equity contracts	1,079	996
Commodity contracts		
Swaps	102	83
Spot, futures and forwards	130	99
Written options	83	115
Purchased options	94	112
Total commodity contracts	409	409
Total derivative notional amounts	\$ 47,537	\$ 50,659

(a) For more information on volumes and types of credit derivative contracts, see the Credit derivatives discussion on pages 184-186.

(b) Represents the sum of gross long and gross short third-party notional derivative contracts.

While the notional amounts disclosed above give an indication of the volume of the Firm's derivatives activity, the notional amounts significantly exceed, in the Firm's view, the possible losses that could arise from such transactions. For most derivative transactions, the notional amount is not exchanged; it is used simply as a reference to calculate payments.

Impact of derivatives on the Consolidated balance sheets

The following table summarizes information on derivative receivables and payables (before and after netting adjustments) that are reflected on the Firm's Consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, by accounting designation (e.g., whether the derivatives were designated in qualifying hedge accounting relationships or not) and contract type.

Free-standing derivative receivables and payables^(a)

	Gross	derivative receiv	vables		Gross	s derivative payables	
December 31, 2016 (in millions)	Not designated as hedges	Designated as hedges	Total derivative receivables	Net derivative receivables ^(b)	Not designated as hedges	Total Designated derivative as hedges payables	Net derivative payables ^(b)
Trading assets and liabilities							·
Interest rate	\$ 601,557	\$ 4,406	\$ 605,963	\$ 28,302	\$ 567,894	\$ 2,884 \$ 570,778	\$ 10,815
Credit	29,645	_	29,645	1,294	28,666	- 28,666	1,411
Foreign exchange	232,137	1,289	233,426	23,271	233,823	1,148 234,971	20,508
Equity	34,940	_	34,940	4,939	38,362	- 38,362	8,140
Commodity	18,505	137	18,642	6,272	20,283	179 20,462	8,357
Total fair value of trading assets and liabilities	\$ 916,784	\$ 5,832	\$ 922,616	\$ 64,078	\$ 889,028	\$ 4,211 \$ 893,239	\$ 49,231

	Gross	derivative receiv	/ables		Gross	derivative payables	
December 31, 2015 (in millions)	Not designated as hedges	Designated as hedges	Total derivative receivables	Net derivative receivables ^(b)	Not designated as hedges	Total Designated derivative as hedges payables	Net derivative payables ^(b)
Trading assets and liabilities							
Interest rate	\$ 665,531	\$ 4,080	\$ 669,611	\$ 26,363	\$ 632,928	\$ 2,238 \$ 635,166	\$ 10,221
Credit	51,468	_	51,468	1,423	50,529	- 50,529	1,541
Foreign exchange	179,072	803	179,875	17,177	189,397	1,503 190,900	19,769
Equity	35,859	_	35,859	5,529	38,663	- 38,663	9,183
Commodity	23,713	1,352	25,065	9,185	27,653	1 27,654	12,076
Total fair value of trading assets and liabilities	\$ 955,643	\$ 6,235	\$ 961,878	\$ 59,677	\$ 939,170	\$ 3,742 \$ 942,912	\$ 52,790

(a) Balances exclude structured notes for which the fair value option has been elected. See Note 4 for further information.

(b) As permitted under U.S. GAAP, the Firm has elected to net derivative receivables and derivative payables and the related cash collateral receivables and payables when a legally enforceable master netting agreement exists.

Derivatives netting

The following tables present, as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, gross and net derivative receivables and payables by contract and settlement type. Derivative receivables and payables, as well as the related cash collateral from the same counterparty, have been netted on the Consolidated balance sheets where the Firm has obtained an appropriate legal opinion with respect to the master netting agreement. Where such a legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained, amounts are not eligible for netting on the Consolidated balance sheets, and those derivative receivables and payables are shown separately in the tables below.

In addition to the cash collateral received and transferred that is presented on a net basis with derivative receivables and payables, the Firm receives and transfers additional collateral (financial instruments and cash). These amounts mitigate counterparty credit risk associated with the Firm's derivative instruments, but are not eligible for net presentation:

- collateral that consists of non-cash financial instruments (generally U.S. government and agency securities and other G7 government bonds) and cash collateral held at third party custodians, which are shown separately as "Collateral not nettable on the Consolidated balance sheets" in the tables below, up to the fair value exposure amount.
- the amount of collateral held or transferred that exceeds the fair value exposure at the individual counterparty level, as of the date presented, which is excluded from the tables below; and
- collateral held or transferred that relates to derivative receivables or payables where an appropriate legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained with respect to the master netting agreement, which is excluded from the tables below.

		2016				2015	
December 31, (in millions)	Gross derivative receivables	Amounts netted on the Consolidated balance sheets	Net derivative receivable		Gross derivative eceivables	Amounts netted on the Consolidated balance sheets	Net erivative ceivables
U.S. GAAP nettable derivative receivables							
Interest rate contracts:							
OTC	\$ 365,227	\$ (342,173)	\$ 23,05	4 \$	417,386	\$ (396,506)	\$ 20,880
OTC-cleared	235,399	(235,261)	13	8	246,750	(246,742)	8
Exchange-traded ^(a)	241	(227)	1	4	_	_	-
Total interest rate contracts	600,867	(577,661)	23,20	6	664,136	(643,248)	20,888
Credit contracts:							
OTC	23,130	(22,612)	51	8	44,082	(43,182)	900
OTC-cleared	5,746	(5,739)		7	6,866	(6,863)	3
Total credit contracts	28,876	(28,351)	52	5	50,948	(50,045)	903
Foreign exchange contracts:							
OTC	226,271	(208,962)	17,30	9	175,060	(162,377)	12,683
OTC-cleared	1,238	(1,165)	7	3	323	(321)	2
Exchange-traded ^(a)	104	(27)	7	7	-	_	-
Total foreign exchange contracts	227,613	(210,154)	17,45	9	175,383	(162,698)	12,685
Equity contracts:							
OTC	20,868	(20,570)	29	8	20,690	(20,439)	251
OTC-cleared	-	-		_	-	_	-
Exchange-traded ^(a)	11,439	(9,431)	2,00	8	12,285	(9,891)	2,394
Total equity contracts	32,307	(30,001)	2,30	6	32,975	(30,330)	2,645
Commodity contracts:							
OTC	11,571	(5,605)	5,96	6	15,001	(6,772)	8,229
OTC-cleared	-	-		_	-	_	-
Exchange-traded ^(a)	6,794	(6,766)	2	8	9,199	(9,108)	91
Total commodity contracts	18,365	(12,371)	5,99	4	24,200	(15,880)	8,320
Derivative receivables with appropriate legal opinions	908,028	(858,538) ^(b)	49,49	0	947,642	(902,201) ^(b)	45,441
Derivative receivables where an appropriate legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained	14,588		14,58	8	14,236		14,236
Total derivative receivables recognized on the Consolidated balance sheets	\$ 922,616		\$ 64,07	8\$	961,878		\$ 59,677
Collateral not nettable on the Consolidated balance sheets $^{\rm (c)(d)}$			(18,63	8)			 (13,543)
Net amounts			\$ 45,44	0			\$ 46,134

			2016				2015	
December 31, (in millions)		Gross lerivative payables	mounts netted on the Consolidated palance sheets	Net erivative vayables		Gross erivative bayables	mounts netted on the Consolidated palance sheets	Net erivative ayables
U.S. GAAP nettable derivative payables								
Interest rate contracts:								
OTC	\$	338,502	\$ (329,325)	\$ 9,177	\$	393,709	\$ (384,576)	\$ 9,133
OTC-cleared		230,464	(230,463)	1		240,398	(240,369)	29
Exchange-traded ^(a)		196	(175)	21		_	-	-
Total interest rate contracts		569,162	(559,963)	9,199		634,107	(624,945)	9,162
Credit contracts:								
OTC		22,366	(21,614)	752		44,379	(43,019)	1,360
OTC-cleared		5,641	(5,641)	-		5,969	(5,969)	
Total credit contracts		28,007	(27,255)	752		50,348	(48,988)	1,360
Foreign exchange contracts:								
ОТС		228,300	(213,296)	15,004		185,178	(170,830)	14,348
OTC-cleared		1,158	(1,158)	-		301	(301)	-
Exchange-traded ^(a)		328	(9)	319		_	-	-
Total foreign exchange contracts		229,786	(214,463)	15,323		185,479	(171,131)	14,348
Equity contracts:								
OTC		24,688	(20,808)	3,880		23,458	(19,589)	3,869
OTC-cleared		-	-	-		-	-	-
Exchange-traded ^(a)		10,004	(9,414)	590		10,998	(9,891)	1,107
Total equity contracts		34,692	(30,222)	4,470		34,456	(29,480)	4,976
Commodity contracts:								
OTC		12,885	(5,252)	7,633		16,953	(6,256)	10,697
OTC-cleared		-	_	-		-	-	-
Exchange-traded ^(a)		7,099	(6,853)	246		9,374	(9,322)	52
Total commodity contracts		19,984	(12,105)	7,879		26,327	(15,578)	 10,749
Derivative payables with appropriate legal opinions	_	881,631	 (844,008) ^(b)	 37,623	_	930,717	(890,122) ^(b)	40,595
Derivative payables where an appropriate legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained		11,608		11,608		12,195		12,195
Total derivative payables recognized on the Consolidated balance sheets	\$	893,239		\$ 49,231	\$	942,912		\$ 52,790
Collateral not nettable on the Consolidated balance sheets $^{\rm (c)(d)(e)}$				(8,925)				(7,957
Net amounts				\$ 40,306				\$ 44,833

(a) Exchange-traded derivative balances that relate to futures contracts are settled daily.

(b) Net derivatives receivable included cash collateral netted of \$71.9 billion and \$73.7 billion at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Net derivatives payable included cash collateral netted of \$57.3 billion and \$61.6 billion related to OTC and OTC-cleared derivatives at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

(c) Excludes all collateral related to derivative instruments where an appropriate legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained.

(d) Represents liquid security collateral as well as cash collateral held at third-party custodians related to derivative instruments where an appropriate legal opinion has been obtained. For some counterparties, the collateral amounts of financial instruments may exceed the derivative receivables and derivative payables balances. Where this is the case, the total amount reported is limited to the net derivative receivables and net derivative payables balances with that counterparty.

(e) Derivative payables collateral relates only to OTC and OTC-cleared derivative instruments. Amounts exclude collateral transferred related to exchangetraded derivative instruments.

Liquidity risk and credit-related contingent features In addition to the specific market risks introduced by each derivative contract type, derivatives expose JPMorgan Chase to credit risk – the risk that derivative counterparties may fail to meet their payment obligations under the derivative contracts and the collateral, if any, held by the Firm proves to be of insufficient value to cover the payment obligation. It is the policy of JPMorgan Chase to actively pursue, where possible, the use of legally enforceable master netting arrangements and collateral agreements to mitigate derivative counterparty credit risk. The amount of derivative receivables reported on the Consolidated balance sheets is the fair value of the derivative contracts after giving effect to legally enforceable master netting agreements and cash collateral held by the Firm.

While derivative receivables expose the Firm to credit risk, derivative payables expose the Firm to liquidity risk, as the derivative contracts typically require the Firm to post cash or securities collateral with counterparties as the fair value of the contracts moves in the counterparties' favor or upon specified downgrades in the Firm's and its subsidiaries' respective credit ratings. Certain derivative contracts also provide for termination of the contract, generally upon a downgrade of either the Firm or the counterparty, at the fair value of the derivative contracts. The following table shows the aggregate fair value of net derivative payables related to OTC and OTC-cleared derivatives that contain contingent collateral or termination features that may be triggered upon a ratings downgrade, and the associated collateral the Firm has posted in the normal course of business, at December 31, 2016 and 2015.

OTC and OTC-cleared derivative payables containing downgrade triggers

December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015
Aggregate fair value of net derivative payables	\$ 21,550	\$ 22,328
Collateral posted	19,383	18,942

The following table shows the impact of a single-notch and two-notch downgrade of the long-term issuer ratings of JPMorgan Chase & Co. and its subsidiaries, predominantly JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association ("JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A."), at December 31, 2016 and 2015, related to OTC and OTC-cleared derivative contracts with contingent collateral or termination features that may be triggered upon a ratings downgrade. Derivatives contracts generally require additional collateral to be posted or terminations to be triggered when the predefined threshold rating is breached. A downgrade by a single rating agency that does not result in a rating lower than a preexisting corresponding rating provided by another major rating agency will generally not result in additional collateral (except in certain instances in which additional initial margin may be required upon a ratings downgrade), nor in termination payments requirements. The liquidity impact in the table is calculated based upon a downgrade below the lowest current rating of the rating agencies referred to in the derivative contract.

Liquidity impact of downgrade triggers on OTC and OTC-cleared derivatives

		20	16	 20	15	
December 31, (in millions)	Single- down		Two-notch downgrade	ngle-notch owngrade	Two-n downg	
Amount of additional collateral to be posted upon downgrade ^(a)	\$	560	\$ 2,497	\$ 807	\$	3,028
Amount required to settle contracts with termination triggers upon downgrade $^{\mbox{\tiny (b)}}$		606	1,049	271		1,093

(a) Includes the additional collateral to be posted for initial margin.

(b) Amounts represent fair values of derivative payables, and do not reflect collateral posted.

Derivatives executed in contemplation of a sale of the underlying financial asset

In certain instances the Firm enters into transactions in which it transfers financial assets but maintains the economic exposure to the transferred assets by entering into a derivative with the same counterparty in contemplation of the initial transfer. The Firm generally accounts for such transfers as collateralized financing transactions as described in Note 13, but in limited circumstances they may qualify to be accounted for as a sale and a derivative under U.S. GAAP. The amount of such transfers accounted for as a sale where the associated derivative was outstanding at December 31, 2016 was not material.

Impact of derivatives on the Consolidated statements of income

The following tables provide information related to gains and losses recorded on derivatives based on their hedge accounting designation or purpose.

Fair value hedge gains and losses

The following tables present derivative instruments, by contract type, used in fair value hedge accounting relationships, as well as pre-tax gains/(losses) recorded on such derivatives and the related hedged items for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The Firm includes gains/(losses) on the hedging derivative and the related hedged item in the same line item in the Consolidated statements of income.

			Gains/(I	osses)	recorded in	Income statement impact due to					
Year ended December 31, 2016 (in millions)		Total income statement Derivatives Hedged items impact ineff							Hedge neffectiveness ^(e)		xcluded ponents ^(f)
Contract type											
Interest rate ^{(a)(b)}		\$	(482)	\$	1,338	\$	856	\$	6	\$	850
Foreign exchange ^(c)			2,435		(2,261)		174		-		174
Commodity ^(d)			(536)		586		50		(9)		59
Total		\$	1,417	\$	(337)	\$	1,080	\$	(3)	\$	1,083

	 Gains/(losses)	recorded in	Income statement impact due to					
Year ended December 31, 2015 (in millions)	Derivative	s Heo	lged items	sta	l income tement npact	inef	Hedge fectiveness ^(e)		cluded ponents ^(f)
Contract type									
Interest rate ^{(a)(b)}	\$ 38	\$	911	\$	949	\$	3	\$	946
Foreign exchange ^(c)	6,030		(6,006)		24		-		24
Commodity ^(d)	1,153		(1,142)		11		(13)		24
Total	\$ 7,221	\$	(6,237)	\$	984	\$	(10)	\$	994

	Gains/(I	osses)	recorded in	Inc	come statement impact due to:				
Year ended December 31, 2014 (in millions)	Derivatives	s Hec	lged items	st	al income atement impact	ine	Hedge effectiveness ^(e)		xcluded
Contract type									
Interest rate ^{(a)(b)}	\$ 2,106	\$	(801)	\$	1,305	\$	131	\$	1,174
Foreign exchange ^(c)	8,279		(8,532)		(253)		-		(253)
Commodity ^(d)	49		145		194		42		152
Total	\$ 10,434	\$	(9,188)	\$	1,246	\$	173	\$	1,073

(a) Primarily consists of hedges of the benchmark (e.g., London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR")) interest rate risk of fixed-rate long-term debt and AFS securities. Gains and losses were recorded in net interest income.

(b) Excludes the amortization expense associated with the inception hedge accounting adjustment applied to the hedged item. This expense is recorded in net interest income and substantially offsets the income statement impact of the excluded components.

(c) Primarily consists of hedges of the foreign currency risk of long-term debt and AFS securities for changes in spot foreign currency rates. Gains and losses related to the derivatives and the hedged items, due to changes in foreign currency rates, were recorded primarily in principal transactions revenue and net interest income.

(d) Consists of overall fair value hedges of physical commodities inventories that are generally carried at the lower of cost or market (market approximates fair value). Gains and losses were recorded in principal transactions revenue.

(e) Hedge ineffectiveness is the amount by which the gain or loss on the designated derivative instrument does not exactly offset the gain or loss on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk.

(f) The assessment of hedge effectiveness excludes certain components of the changes in fair values of the derivatives and hedged items such as forward points on foreign exchange forward contracts and time values.

Cash flow hedge gains and losses

The following tables present derivative instruments, by contract type, used in cash flow hedge accounting relationships, and the pre-tax gains/(losses) recorded on such derivatives, for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The Firm includes the gain/(loss) on the hedging derivative and the change in cash flows on the hedged item in the same line item in the Consolidated statements of income.

	Gains/(losses) recorded in income and other comprehensive income/(loss)											
Year ended December 31, 2016 (in millions)	effecti reclas	vatives - ive portion sified from to income	ineffec recorde	dge tiveness d directly ome ^(c)		Il income ient impact	ef p	vatives - fective ortion ded in OCI	Total change in OCI for period			
Contract type												
Interest rate ^(a)	\$	(74)	\$	-	\$	(74)	\$	(55)	\$	19		
Foreign exchange ^(b)		(286)		-		(286)		(395)		(109)		
Total	\$	(360)	\$	_	\$	(360)	\$	(450)	\$	(90)		

		Gains/(losses) recorded in income and other comprehensive income/(loss)									
Year ended December 31, 2015 (in millions)	effect reclas	Derivatives - effective portion reclassified from AOCI to income		Hedge ineffectiveness recorded directly in income ^(c)		Total income statement impact		Derivatives - effective portion recorded in OCI		change OCI period	
Contract type											
Interest rate ^(a)	\$	(99)	\$	_	\$	(99)	\$	(44)	\$	55	
Foreign exchange ^(b)		(81)		_		(81)		(53)		28	
Total	\$	(180)	\$	_	\$	(180)	\$	(97)	\$	83	

		Gains/(losses) recorded in income and other comprehensive income/(loss)									
Year ended December 31, 2014 (in millions)	effecti reclas	Derivatives - effective portion reclassified from AOCI to income		Hedge ineffectiveness recorded directly in income ^(c)		Total income statement impact		Derivatives - effective portion recorded in OCI		Total change in OCI for period	
Contract type											
Interest rate ^(a)	\$	(54)	\$	-	\$	(54)	\$	189	\$	243	
Foreign exchange ^(b)		78		-		78		(91)		(169)	
Total	\$	24	\$	_	\$	24	\$	98	\$	74	

(a) Primarily consists of benchmark interest rate hedges of LIBOR-indexed floating-rate assets and floating-rate liabilities. Gains and losses were recorded in net interest income, and for the forecasted transactions that the Firm determined during the year ended December 31, 2015, were probable of not occurring, in other income.

(b) Primarily consists of hedges of the foreign currency risk of non-U.S. dollar-denominated revenue and expense. The income statement classification of gains and losses follows the hedged item - primarily noninterest revenue and compensation expense.

(c) Hedge ineffectiveness is the amount by which the cumulative gain or loss on the designated derivative instrument exceeds the present value of the cumulative expected change in cash flows on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk.

The Firm did not experience any forecasted transactions that failed to occur for the years ended 2016 and 2014. In 2015, the Firm reclassified approximately \$150 million of net losses from AOCI to other income because the Firm determined that it was probable that the forecasted interest payment cash flows would not occur as a result of the planned reduction in wholesale non-operating deposits.

Over the next 12 months, the Firm expects that approximately \$151 million (after-tax) of net losses recorded in AOCI at December 31, 2016, related to cash flow hedges will be recognized in income. For terminated cash flow hedges, the maximum length of time over which forecasted transactions are remaining is approximately six years. For open cash flow hedges, the maximum length of time over which forecasted transactions are hedged is approximately one year. The Firm's longer-dated forecasted transactions relate to core lending and borrowing activities.

Net investment hedge gains and losses

The following table presents hedging instruments, by contract type, that were used in net investment hedge accounting relationships, and the pre-tax gains/(losses) recorded on such instruments for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

		Gains/(losses) recorded in income and other comprehensive income/(loss)							
	20	016	2	015	2014				
Year ended December 31, (in millions)	Excluded components recorded directly in income ^(a)	Effective portion recorded in OCI	Excluded components recorded directly in income ^(a)	Effective portion recorded in OCI	Excluded components recorded directly in income ^(a)	Effective portion recorded in OCI			
Foreign exchange derivatives	\$(282)	\$262	\$(379)	\$1,885	\$(448)	\$1,698			

(a) Certain components of hedging derivatives are permitted to be excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness, such as forward points on foreign exchange forward contracts. Amounts related to excluded components are recorded in other income. The Firm measures the ineffectiveness of net investment hedge accounting relationships based on changes in spot foreign currency rates and, therefore, there was no significant ineffectiveness for net investment hedge accounting relationships during 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Gains and losses on derivatives used for specified risk management purposes

The following table presents pre-tax gains/(losses) recorded on a limited number of derivatives, not designated in hedge accounting relationships, that are used to manage risks associated with certain specified assets and liabilities, including certain risks arising from the mortgage pipeline, warehouse loans, MSRs, wholesale lending exposures, foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities, and commodities-related contracts and investments.

	Derivatives gains/(losses) recorded in income						
Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2014				
Contract type							
Interest rate ^(a)	\$ 1,174 \$	853 \$	2,308				
Credit ^(b)	(282)	70	(58)				
Foreign exchange ^(c)	27	25	(7)				
Commodity ^(d)	-	(12)	156				
Total	\$ 919 \$	936 \$	2,399				

(a) Primarily represents interest rate derivatives used to hedge the interest rate risk inherent in the mortgage pipeline, warehouse loans and MSRs, as well as written commitments to originate warehouse loans. Gains and losses were recorded predominantly in mortgage fees and related income.

- (b) Relates to credit derivatives used to mitigate credit risk associated with lending exposures in the Firm's wholesale businesses. These derivatives do not include credit derivatives used to mitigate counterparty credit risk arising from derivative receivables, which is included in gains and losses on derivatives related to market-making activities and other derivatives. Gains and losses were recorded in principal transactions revenue.
- (c) Primarily relates to derivatives used to mitigate foreign exchange risk of specified foreign currency-denominated assets and liabilities. Gains and losses were recorded in principal transactions revenue.
- (d) Primarily relates to commodity derivatives used to mitigate energy price risk associated with energy-related contracts and investments. Gains and losses were recorded in principal transactions revenue.

Gains and losses on derivatives related to market-making activities and other derivatives

The Firm makes markets in derivatives in order to meet the needs of customers and uses derivatives to manage certain risks associated with net open risk positions from its market-making activities, including the counterparty credit risk arising from derivative receivables. All derivatives not included in the hedge accounting or specified risk management categories above are included in this category. Gains and losses on these derivatives are primarily recorded in principal transactions revenue. See Note 7 for information on principal transactions revenue.

Credit derivatives

Credit derivatives are financial instruments whose value is derived from the credit risk associated with the debt of a third-party issuer (the reference entity) and which allow one party (the protection purchaser) to transfer that risk to another party (the protection seller). Credit derivatives expose the protection purchaser to the creditworthiness of the protection seller, as the protection seller is required to make payments under the contract when the reference entity experiences a credit event, such as a bankruptcy, a failure to pay its obligation or a restructuring. The seller of credit protection receives a premium for providing protection but has the risk that the underlying instrument referenced in the contract will be subject to a credit event.

The Firm is both a purchaser and seller of protection in the credit derivatives market and uses these derivatives for two primary purposes. First, in its capacity as a market-maker, the Firm actively manages a portfolio of credit derivatives by purchasing and selling credit protection, predominantly on corporate debt obligations, to meet the needs of customers. Second, as an end-user, the Firm uses credit derivatives to manage credit risk associated with lending exposures (loans and unfunded commitments) and derivatives counterparty exposures in the Firm's wholesale businesses, and to manage the credit risk arising from certain financial instruments in the Firm's market-making businesses. Following is a summary of various types of credit derivatives.

Credit default swaps

Credit derivatives may reference the credit of either a single reference entity ("single-name") or a broad-based index. The Firm purchases and sells protection on both singlename and index-reference obligations. Single-name CDS and index CDS contracts are either OTC or OTC-cleared derivative contracts. Single-name CDS are used to manage the default risk of a single reference entity, while index CDS contracts are used to manage the credit risk associated with the broader credit markets or credit market segments. Like the S&P 500 and other market indices, a CDS index consists of a portfolio of CDS across many reference entities. New series of CDS indices are periodically established with a new underlying portfolio of reference entities to reflect changes in the credit markets. If one of the reference entities in the index experiences a credit event, then the reference entity that defaulted is removed from the index. CDS can also be referenced against specific portfolios of reference names or against customized exposure levels based on specific client demands: for example, to provide protection against the first \$1 million of realized credit losses in a \$10 million portfolio of exposure. Such structures are commonly known as tranche CDS.

For both single-name CDS contracts and index CDS contracts, upon the occurrence of a credit event, under the terms of a CDS contract neither party to the CDS contract has recourse to the reference entity. The protection purchaser has recourse to the protection seller for the difference between the face value of the CDS contract and the fair value of the reference obligation at settlement of the credit derivative contract, also known as the recovery value. The protection purchaser does not need to hold the debt instrument of the underlying reference entity in order to receive amounts due under the CDS contract when a credit event occurs.

Credit-related notes

A credit-related note is a funded credit derivative where the issuer of the credit-related note purchases from the note investor credit protection on a reference entity or an index. Under the contract, the investor pays the issuer the par value of the note at the inception of the transaction, and in return, the issuer pays periodic payments to the investor, based on the credit risk of the referenced entity. The issuer also repays the investor the par value of the note at maturity unless the reference entity (or one of the entities that makes up a reference index) experiences a specified credit event. If a credit event occurs, the issuer is not obligated to repay the par value of the note, but rather, the issuer pays the investor the difference between the par value of the note and the fair value of the defaulted reference obligation at the time of settlement. Neither party to the credit-related note has recourse to the defaulting reference entity.

The following tables present a summary of the notional amounts of credit derivatives and credit-related notes the Firm sold and purchased as of December 31, 2016 and 2015. Upon a credit event, the Firm as a seller of protection would typically pay out only a percentage of the full notional amount of net protection sold, as the amount actually required to be paid on the contracts takes into account the recovery value of the reference obligation at the time of settlement. The Firm manages the credit risk on contracts to sell protection by purchasing protection with identical or similar underlying reference entities. Other purchased protection referenced in the following tables includes credit derivatives bought on related, but not identical, reference positions (including indices, portfolio coverage and other reference points) as well as protection purchased through credit-related notes.

The Firm does not use notional amounts of credit derivatives as the primary measure of risk management for such derivatives, because the notional amount does not take into account the probability of the occurrence of a credit event, the recovery value of the reference obligation, or related cash instruments and economic hedges, each of which reduces, in the Firm's view, the risks associated with such derivatives.

Total credit derivatives and credit-related notes

		Maximum payout/Notional amount									
December 31, 2016 (in millions)	Protection sold	Protection purchased with identical underlyings ^(b)	Net protection (sold)/ purchased ^(c)	р	Other protection urchased ^(d)						
Credit derivatives											
Credit default swaps	\$ (961,003)	\$ 974,252	\$ 13,24	9\$	7,935						
Other credit derivatives ^(a)	(36,829)	31,859	(4,97	0)	19,991						
Total credit derivatives	(997,832)	1,006,111	8,27	9	27,926						
Credit-related notes	(41)	-	(4	1)	4,505						
Total	\$ (997,873)	\$ 1,006,111	\$ 8,23	8 \$	32,431						

	Maximum payout/Notional amount								
December 31, 2015 (in millions)	Protection sold	Protection purchased with identical underlyings ^(b)	Net protection (sold)/ purchased ^(c)	Other protection purchased ^(d)					
Credit derivatives									
Credit default swaps	\$ (1,386,071)	\$ 1,402,201	\$ 16,130	\$ 12,011					
Other credit derivatives ^(a)	(42,738)	38,158	(4,580) 18,792					
Total credit derivatives	(1,428,809)	1,440,359	11,550	30,803					
Credit-related notes	(30)	-	(30) 4,715					
Total	\$ (1,428,839)	\$ 1,440,359	\$ 11,520	\$ 35,518					

(a) Other credit derivatives largely consists of credit swap options.

(b) Represents the total notional amount of protection purchased where the underlying reference instrument is identical to the reference instrument on protection sold; the notional amount of protection purchased for each individual identical underlying reference instrument may be greater or lower than the notional amount of protection sold.
 (c) Does not take into account the fair value of the reference obligation at the time of settlement, which would generally reduce the amount the seller of protection pays to the

(c) Does not take into account the fair value of the reference obligation at the time of settlement, which would generally reduce the amount the seller of protection pays to the buyer of protection in determining settlement value.

(d) Represents protection purchased by the Firm on referenced instruments (single-name, portfolio or index) where the Firm has not sold any protection on the identical reference instrument.

The following tables summarize the notional amounts by the ratings, maturity profile, and total fair value, of credit derivatives and credit-related notes as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, where JPMorgan Chase is the seller of protection. The maturity profile is based on the remaining contractual maturity of the credit derivative contracts. The ratings profile is based on the rating of the reference entity on which the credit derivative contract is based. The ratings and maturity profile of credit derivatives and credit-related notes where JPMorgan Chase is the purchaser of protection are comparable to the profile reflected below.

Protection sold - credit derivatives and credit-related notes ratings^(a)/maturity profile

December 31, 2016 (in millions)	<1 year	1-5 years	>5 years	Total notional amount	Fair value of receivables ^(b)	Fair value of payables ^(b)	Net fair value
Risk rating of reference entity							
Investment-grade	\$ (273,688)	\$ (383,586)	\$ (39,281)	\$ (696,555)	\$ 7,841	\$ (3,055)	\$ 4,786
Noninvestment-grade	(107,955)	(170,046)	(23,317)	(301,318)	8,184	(8,570)	(386)
Total	\$ (381,643)	\$ (553,632)	\$ (62,598)	\$ (997,873)	\$ 16,025	\$ (11,625)	\$ 4,400
December 31, 2015 (in millions)	<1 year	1-5 years	>5 years	Total notional amount	Fair value of receivables ^(b)	Fair value of payables ^(b)	Net fair value
Risk rating of reference entity							
Investment-grade	\$ (307,211)	\$ (699,227)	\$ (46,970)	\$ (1,053,408)	\$ 13,539	\$ (6,836)	\$ 6,703
Noninvestment-grade	(109,195)	(245,151)	(21,085)	(375,431)	10,823	(18,891)	(8,068)
Total	\$ (416,406)	\$ (944,378)	\$ (68,055)	\$ (1,428,839)	\$ 24,362	\$ (25,727)	\$ (1,365)

(a) The ratings scale is primarily based on external credit ratings defined by S&P and Moody's.

(b) Amounts are shown on a gross basis, before the benefit of legally enforceable master netting agreements and cash collateral received by the Firm.

Note 7 - Noninterest revenue

Investment banking fees

The following table presents the components of investment banking fees.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016		2015		2014
Underwriting					
Equity	\$ 3	1,146	\$	1,408	\$ 1,571
Debt	3	3,207		3,232	3,340
Total underwriting	4	4,353		4,640	4,911
Advisory	i	2,095		2,111	1,631
Total investment banking fees	\$ (6,448	\$	6,751	\$ 6,542

Underwriting fees are recognized as revenue when the Firm has rendered all services to, and is entitled to collect the fee from, the issuer, and there are no other contingencies associated with the fee. Underwriting fees are net of syndicate expense; the Firm recognizes credit arrangement and syndication fees as revenue after satisfying certain retention, timing and yield criteria. Advisory fees are recognized as revenue when the related services have been performed and the fee has been earned.

Principal transactions

Principal transactions revenue is driven by many factors, including the bid-offer spread, which is the difference between the price at which the Firm is willing to buy a financial or other instrument and the price at which the Firm is willing to sell that instrument. It also consists of the realized (as a result of closing out or termination of transactions, or interim cash payments) and unrealized (as a result of changes in valuation) gains and losses on financial and other instruments (including those accounted for under the fair value option) primarily used in clientdriven market-making activities and on private equity investments. In connection with its client-driven marketmaking activities, the Firm transacts in debt and equity instruments, derivatives and commodities (including physical commodities inventories and financial instruments that reference commodities).

Principal transactions revenue also includes certain realized and unrealized gains and losses related to hedge accounting and specified risk-management activities, including: (a) certain derivatives designated in qualifying hedge accounting relationships (primarily fair value hedges of commodity and foreign exchange risk), (b) certain derivatives used for specific risk management purposes, primarily to mitigate credit risk, foreign exchange risk and commodity risk, and (c) other derivatives. For further information on the income statement classification of gains and losses from derivatives activities, see Note 6.

In the financial commodity markets, the Firm transacts in OTC derivatives (e.g., swaps, forwards, options) and ETD that reference a wide range of underlying commodities. In the physical commodity markets, the Firm primarily purchases and sells precious and base metals and may hold other commodities inventories under financing and other arrangements with clients. The following table presents all realized and unrealized gains and losses recorded in principal transactions revenue. This table excludes interest income and interest expense on trading assets and liabilities, which are an integral part of the overall performance of the Firm's client-driven marketmaking activities. See Note 8 for further information on interest income and interest expense. Trading revenue is presented primarily by instrument type. The Firm's clientdriven market-making businesses generally utilize a variety of instrument types in connection with their market-making and related risk-management activities; accordingly, the trading revenue presented in the table below is not representative of the total revenue of any individual line of business.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016		2015		2014
Trading revenue by instrument type					
Interest rate	\$	2,325	\$	1,933	\$ 1,362
Credit		2,096		1,735	1,880
Foreign exchange		2,827		2,557	1,556
Equity		2,994		2,990	2,563
Commodity		1,067		842	1,663
Total trading revenue		11,309		10,057	9,024
Private equity gains(a)		257		351	1,507
Principal transactions	\$	11,566	\$	10,408	\$ 10,531

(a) Includes revenue on private equity investments held in the Private Equity business within Corporate, as well as those held in other business segments.

Lending- and deposit-related fees

The following table presents the components of lendingand deposit-related fees.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Lending-related fees	\$ 1,114	\$ 1,148	\$ 1,307
Deposit-related fees	4,660	4,546	4,494
Total lending- and deposit-related fees	\$ 5,774	\$ 5,694	\$ 5,801

Lending-related fees include fees earned from loan commitments, standby letters of credit, financial guarantees, and other loan-servicing activities. Depositrelated fees include fees earned in lieu of compensating balances, and fees earned from performing cash management activities and other deposit account services. Lending- and deposit-related fees in this revenue category are recognized over the period in which the related service is provided.

Asset management, administration and commissions

The following table presents Firmwide asset management, administration and commissions income:

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016		2015		 2014
Asset management fees					
Investment management fees ^(a)	\$	8,865	\$	9,403	\$ 9,169
All other asset management fees ^(b)		336		352	477
Total asset management fees		9,201		9,755	9,646
Total administration fees ^(c)		1,915		2,015	2,179
Commissions and other fees					
Brokerage commissions		2,151		2,304	2,270
All other commissions and fees		1,324		1,435	1,836
Total commissions and fees		3,475		3,739	4,106
Total asset management, administration and commissions	\$	14,591	\$	15,509	\$ 15,931

(a) Represents fees earned from managing assets on behalf of the Firm's clients, including investors in Firm-sponsored funds and owners of separately managed investment accounts.

(b) Represents fees for services that are ancillary to investment management services, such as commissions earned on the sales or distribution of mutual funds to clients.

(c) Predominantly includes fees for custody, securities lending, funds services and securities clearance.

This revenue category includes fees from investment management and related services, custody and brokerage services, insurance premiums and commissions, and fees from other products and services. These fees are recognized over the period in which the related product or service is provided. Performance-based fees, which are earned based on exceeding certain benchmarks or other performance targets, are accrued and recognized at the end of the performance period in which the target is met. The Firm has contractual arrangements with third parties to provide certain services in connection with its asset management activities. Amounts paid to third-party service providers are predominantly expensed, such that asset management fees are recorded gross of payments made to third parties.

Mortgage fees and related income

This revenue category primarily reflects CCB's Mortgage Banking production and servicing revenue, including fees and income derived from mortgages originated with the intent to sell; mortgage sales and servicing including losses related to the repurchase of previously sold loans; the impact of risk-management activities associated with the mortgage pipeline, warehouse loans and MSRs; and revenue related to any residual interests held from mortgage securitizations. This revenue category also includes gains and losses on sales and lower of cost or fair value adjustments for mortgage loans held-for-sale, as well as changes in fair value for mortgage loans originated with the intent to sell and measured at fair value under the fair value option. Changes in the fair value of MSRs are reported in mortgage fees and related income. For a further discussion of MSRs, see Note 17. Net interest income from mortgage loans is recorded in interest income.

Card income

This revenue category includes interchange income from credit and debit cards and net fees earned from processing card transactions for merchants. Card income is recognized as earned. Costs related to rewards programs are recorded when the rewards are earned by the customer and presented as a reduction to interchange income. Annual fees and direct loan origination costs are deferred and recognized on a straight-line basis over a 12-month period.

Credit card revenue sharing agreements

The Firm has contractual agreements with numerous cobrand partners which grant the Firm exclusive rights to market to the customers or members of such partners. These partners endorse the credit card programs and provide their customer or member lists to the Firm, and they may also conduct marketing activities and provide awards under the various credit card programs. The terms of these agreements generally range from five to ten years.

The Firm typically makes incentive payments to the partners based on new account originations, sales volumes and the cost of the partners' marketing activities and awards. Payments based on new account originations are accounted for as direct loan origination costs. Payments to partners based on sales volumes are deducted from interchange income as the related revenue is earned. Payments based on marketing efforts undertaken by the partners are expensed by the Firm as incurred and reported as noninterest expense.

Other income

Other income on the Firm's Consolidated statements of income included the following:

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Operating lease income	\$ 2,724	\$ 2,081	\$ 1,699

Operating lease income is recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

Note 8 - Interest income and Interest expense

Interest income and interest expense are recorded in the Consolidated statements of income and classified based on the nature of the underlying asset or liability.

The following table presents the components of interest income and interest expense:

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Interest Income			
Loans ^(a)	\$ 36,634	\$ 33,134	\$ 32,218
Taxable securities	5,538	6,550	7,617
Non taxable securities ^(b)	1,766	1,706	1,423
Total securities	7,304	8,256	9,040
Trading assets	7,292	6,621	7,312
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	2,265	1,592	1,642
Securities borrowed ^(c)	(332)	(532)	(501)
Deposits with banks	1,863	1,250	1,157
Other assets ^(d)	875	652	663
Total interest income	\$ 55,901	\$ 50,973	\$ 51,531
Interest expense			
Interest bearing deposits	\$ 1,356	\$ 1,252	\$ 1,633
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements	1,089	609	604
Commercial paper	135	110	134
Trading liabilities - debt, short- term and other liabilities ^(e)	1,170	622	712
Long-term debt	5,564	4,435	4,409
Beneficial interest issued by consolidated VIEs	504	435	405
Total interest expense	\$ 9,818	\$ 7,463	\$ 7,897
Net interest income	\$ 46,083	\$ 43,510	\$ 43,634
Provision for credit losses	5,361	3,827	3,139
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	\$ 40,722	\$ 39,683	\$ 40,495

(a) Includes the amortization of purchase price discounts or premiums, as well as net deferred loan fees or costs.

(b) Represents securities that are tax exempt for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

- (c) Securities borrowed's negative interest income, for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, is a result of client-driven demand for certain securities combined with the impact of low interest rates; this is matched book activity and the negative interest expense on the corresponding securities loaned is recognized in interest expense.
- (d) Largely margin loans.
- (e) Includes brokerage customer payables.

Interest income and interest expense includes the currentperiod interest accruals for financial instruments measured at fair value, except for derivatives and financial instruments containing embedded derivatives that would be separately accounted for in accordance with U.S. GAAP, absent the fair value option election; for those instruments, all changes in fair value including any interest elements, are reported in principal transactions revenue. For financial instruments that are not measured at fair value, the related interest is included within interest income or interest expense, as applicable.

Note 9 - Pension and other postretirement employee benefit plans

The Firm has various defined benefit pension plans and OPEB plans that provide benefits to its employees. These plans are discussed below.

Defined benefit pension plans

The Firm has a qualified noncontributory U.S. defined benefit pension plan that provides benefits to substantially all U.S. employees. The U.S. plan employs a cash balance formula in the form of pay and interest credits to determine the benefits to be provided at retirement, based on years of service and eligible compensation (generally base salary/ regular pay and variable cash incentive compensation capped at \$100,000 annually). Employees begin to accrue plan benefits after completing one year of service, and benefits generally vest after three years of service. The Firm also offers benefits through defined benefit pension plans to qualifying employees in certain non-U.S. locations based on factors such as eligible compensation, age and/or years of service.

It is the Firm's policy to fund the pension plans in amounts sufficient to meet the requirements under applicable laws. The Firm does not anticipate at this time any contribution to the U.S. defined benefit pension plan in 2017. The 2017 contributions to the non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans are expected to be \$44 million of which \$28 million are contractually required.

JPMorgan Chase also has a number of defined benefit pension plans that are not subject to Title IV of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act. The most significant of these plans is the Excess Retirement Plan, pursuant to which certain employees previously earned pay credits on compensation amounts above the maximum stipulated by law under a qualified plan; no further pay credits are allocated under this plan. The Excess Retirement Plan had an unfunded projected benefit obligation ("PBO") in the amount of \$215 million and \$237 million, at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Defined contribution plans

JPMorgan Chase currently provides two qualified defined contribution plans in the U.S. and other similar arrangements in certain non-U.S. locations, all of which are administered in accordance with applicable local laws and regulations. The most significant of these plans is the JPMorgan Chase 401(k) Savings Plan (the "401(k) Savings Plan"), which covers substantially all U.S. employees. Employees can contribute to the 401(k) Savings Plan on a pretax and/or Roth 401(k) after-tax basis. The JPMorgan Chase Common Stock Fund, which is an investment option under the 401(k) Savings Plan, is a nonleveraged employee stock ownership plan.

The Firm matches eligible employee contributions up to 5% of eligible compensation (generally base salary/regular pay and variable cash incentive compensation) on an annual basis. Employees begin to receive matching contributions

after completing a one-year-of-service requirement. Employees with total annual cash compensation of \$250,000 or more are not eligible for matching contributions. Matching contributions vest after three years of service. The 401(k) Savings Plan also permits discretionary profit-sharing contributions by participating companies for certain employees, subject to a specified vesting schedule.

OPEB plans

JPMorgan Chase offers postretirement medical and life insurance benefits to certain retirees and postretirement medical benefits to qualifying U.S. employees. These benefits vary with the length of service and the date of hire and provide for limits on the Firm's share of covered medical benefits. The medical and life insurance benefits are both contributory. Effective January 1, 2015, there was a transition of certain Medicare eligible retirees from JPMorgan Chase group sponsored coverage to Medicare exchanges. As a result of this change, eligible retirees will receive a Healthcare Reimbursement Account amount each year if they enroll through the Medicare exchange. The impact of this change was not material. Postretirement medical benefits also are offered to qualifying U.K. employees.

JPMorgan Chase's U.S. OPEB obligation is funded with corporate-owned life insurance ("COLI") purchased on the lives of eligible employees and retirees. While the Firm owns the COLI policies, COLI proceeds (death benefits, withdrawals and other distributions) may be used only to reimburse the Firm for its net postretirement benefit claim payments and related administrative expense. The U.K. OPEB plan is unfunded.

The following table presents the changes in benefit obligations, plan assets and funded status amounts reported on the Consolidated balance sheets for the Firm's U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension and OPEB plans.

	Defined benefit pension plans									
As of or for the year ended December 31,	U.	5.		Non	·u.s.			OPEB p	olan	S ^(d)
(in millions)	2016	2015		2016		2015	- 2	2016		2015
Change in benefit obligation										
Benefit obligation, beginning of year	\$ (11,912)	\$(12,536)	\$	(3,347)	\$	(3,640)	\$	(744)	\$	(842)
Benefits earned during the year	(296)	(340)		(36)		(37)		-		(1)
Interest cost on benefit obligations	(530)	(498)		(99)		(112)		(31)		(31)
Special termination benefits	-	-		_		(1)		-		-
Employee contributions	NA	NA		(7)		(7)		(19)		(25)
Net gain/(loss)	(203)	702		(540)		146		4		71
Benefits paid	725	760		126		120		76		88
Plan settlements	-	-		21		_		-		-
Expected Medicare Part D subsidy receipts	NA	NA		NA		NA		-		(6)
Foreign exchange impact and other	-	_		504		184		6		2
Benefit obligation, end of year	\$ (12,216)	\$(11,912)	\$	(3,378)	\$	(3,347)	\$	(708)	\$	(744)
Change in plan assets										
Fair value of plan assets, beginning of year	\$ 14,125	\$ 14,623	\$	3,511	\$	3,718	\$	1,855	\$	1,903
Actual return on plan assets	838	231		537		52		131		13
Firm contributions	34	31		52		45		2		2
Employee contributions	-	-		7		7		-		-
Benefits paid	(725)	(760)		(126)		(120)		(32)		(63)
Plan settlements	-	-		(21)		_		-		-
Foreign exchange impact and other	-	_		(529)		(191)		-		-
Fair value of plan assets, end of year	\$ 14,272	\$ 14,125 ^{(b)(c)}	\$	3,431	\$	3,511	\$	1,956	\$	1,855
Net funded status ^(a)	\$ 2,056	\$ 2,213	\$	53	\$	164	\$	1,248	\$	1,111
Accumulated benefit obligation, end of year	\$ (12,062)	\$(11,774)	\$	(3,359)	\$	(3,322)		NA		NA

(a) Represents plans with an aggregate overfunded balance of \$4.0 billion and \$4.1 billion at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and plans with an aggregate underfunded balance of \$639 million and \$636 million at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

(b) At December 31, 2016 and 2015, approximately \$390 million and \$533 million, respectively, of U.S. plan assets included participation rights under participating annuity contracts.

(c) At December 31, 2016 and 2015, defined benefit pension plan amounts that were not measured at fair value included \$130 million and \$74 million, respectively, of accrued receivables, and \$224 million and \$123 million, respectively, of accrued liabilities, for U.S. plans.

(d) Includes an unfunded accumulated postretirement benefit obligation of \$35 million and \$32 million at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, for the U.K. plan.

Gains and losses

For the Firm's defined benefit pension plans, fair value is used to determine the expected return on plan assets. Amortization of net gains and losses is included in annual net periodic benefit cost if, as of the beginning of the year. the net gain or loss exceeds 10% of the greater of the PBO or the fair value of the plan assets. Any excess is amortized over the average future service period of defined benefit pension plan participants, which for the U.S. defined benefit pension plan is currently seven years and for the non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans is the period appropriate for the affected plan. In addition, prior service costs are amortized over the average remaining service period of active employees expected to receive benefits under the plan when the prior service cost is first recognized. The average remaining amortization period for the U.S. defined benefit pension plan for current prior service costs is three years.

For the Firm's OPEB plans, a calculated value that recognizes changes in fair value over a five-year period is used to determine the expected return on plan assets. This value is referred to as the market related value of assets. Amortization of net gains and losses, adjusted for gains and losses not yet recognized, is included in annual net periodic benefit cost if, as of the beginning of the year, the net gain or loss exceeds 10% of the greater of the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation or the market related value of assets. Any excess net gain or loss is amortized over the average expected lifetime of retired participants, which is currently twelve years; however, prior service costs resulting from plan changes are amortized over the average years of service remaining to full eligibility age, which is currently two years.

The following table presents pretax pension and OPEB amounts recorded in AOCI.

	 De	fine	d benefit p						
December 31,	U.S	5.		Non-	u.s.		OPEB	plan	IS
(in millions)	2016		2015	2016		2015	2016		2015
Net gain/(loss)	\$ (3,116)	\$	(3,096)	\$ (551)	\$	(513)	\$ 138	\$	109
Prior service credit/(cost)	34		68	 8		9	 -		-
Accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss), pretax, end of year	\$ (3,082)	\$	(3,028)	\$ (543)	\$	(504)	\$ 138	\$	109

The following table presents the components of net periodic benefit costs reported in the Consolidated statements of income and other comprehensive income for the Firm's U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension, defined contribution and OPEB plans.

			 Pens	ion	plans								
		u.s.				N	on-U.S.			OPE	EB plai	าร	
Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	 2015	2014		2016		2015	2014	;	2016	2015	i	2014
Components of net periodic benefit cost													
Benefits earned during the year	\$ 296	\$ 340	\$ 281	\$	36	\$	37	\$ 33	\$	- \$	1	\$	_
Interest cost on benefit obligations	530	498	534		99		112	137		31	31		38
Expected return on plan assets	(891)	(929)	(985)		(139)		(150)	(172)		(105)	(106)		(101)
Amortization:													
Net (gain)/loss	235	247	25		22		35	47		_	-		-
Prior service cost/(credit)	(34)	(34)	(41)		(2)		(2)	(2)		_	-		(1)
Special termination benefits	-	-	-		-		1	_		_	-		_
Settlement loss	-	-	-		4		-	_		_	-		_
Net periodic defined benefit cost	136	122	(186)		20		33	43		(74)	(74)		(64)
Other defined benefit pension plans ^(a)	14	14	14		11		10	6		NA	NA		NA
Total defined benefit plans	150	136	(172)		31		43	49		(74)	(74))	(64)
Total defined contribution plans	473	449	438		316		320	329		NA	NA		NA
Total pension and OPEB cost included in compensation expense	\$ 623	\$ 585	\$ 266	\$	347	\$	363	\$ 378	\$	(74) \$	(74)	\$	(64)
Changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income													
Net (gain)/loss arising during the year	\$ 255	\$ (3)	\$ 1,645	\$	140	\$	(47)	\$ 57	\$	(29) \$	21	\$	(5)
Prior service credit arising during the year	-	-	53		-		-	-		_	-		_
Amortization of net loss	(235)	(247)	(25)		(22)		(35)	(47)		_	-		_
Amortization of prior service (cost)/credit	34	34	41		2		2	2		_	_		1
Settlement loss	-	-	_		(4)		_	_		_	-		-
Foreign exchange impact and other	-	-	_		(77) ^(a)		(33) ^(a)	(39) ^(a)		-	_		_
Total recognized in other comprehensive income	\$ 54	\$ (216)	\$ 1,714	\$	39	\$	(113)	\$ (27)	\$	(29) \$	21	\$	(4)
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive income	\$ 190	\$ (94)	\$ 1,528	\$	59	\$	(80)	\$ 16	\$	(103) \$	(53)	\$	(68)

(a) Includes various defined benefit pension plans which are individually immaterial.

The estimated pretax amounts that will be amortized from AOCI into net periodic benefit cost in 2017 are as follows.

	_	Defined bene	fit pe	nsion plans	OPE	S	
(in millions)	_	u.s.		Non-U.S.	 U.S.		Non-U.S.
Net loss/(gain)	-	\$ 216	\$	28	\$ -	- \$	-
Prior service cost/(credit)		(34)	(2)	-	-	-
Total	-	\$ 182	\$	26	\$ -	- \$	-

The following table presents the actual rate of return on plan assets for the U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension and OPEB plans.

		u.s.			Non-U.S.	
Year ended December 31,	2016	2015	2014	2016	2015	2014
Actual rate of return:						
Defined benefit pension plans	6.12%	0.88%	7.29%	1.07 - 20.60%	(0.48) - 4.92%	5.62 - 17.69%
OPEB plans	7.29	1.16	9.84	NA	NA	NA

Plan assumptions

JPMorgan Chase's expected long-term rate of return for U.S. defined benefit pension and OPEB plan assets is a blended average of the investment advisor's projected long-term (10 or more years) returns for the various asset classes, weighted by the asset allocation. Returns on asset classes are developed using a forward-looking approach and are not strictly based on historical returns. Equity returns are generally developed as the sum of inflation, expected real earnings growth and expected long-term dividend yield. Bond returns are generally developed as the sum of inflation, real bond yield and risk spread (as appropriate), adjusted for the expected effect on returns from changing vields. Other asset-class returns are derived from their relationship to the equity and bond markets. Consideration is also given to current market conditions and the shortterm portfolio mix of each plan.

For the U.K. defined benefit pension plans, which represent the most significant of the non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans, procedures similar to those in the U.S. are used to develop the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, taking into consideration local market conditions and the specific allocation of plan assets. The expected long-term rate of return on U.K. plan assets is an average of projected long-term returns for each asset class. The return on equities has been selected by reference to the yield on long-term U.K. government bonds plus an equity risk premium above the risk-free rate. The expected return on "AA" rated long-term corporate bonds is based on an implied yield for similar bonds.

The discount rate used in determining the benefit obligation under the U.S. defined benefit pension and OPEB plans was provided by the Firm's actuaries. This rate was selected by reference to the yields on portfolios of bonds with maturity dates and coupons that closely match each of the plan's projected cash flows; such portfolios are derived from a broad-based universe of high-quality corporate bonds as of the measurement date. In years in which these hypothetical bond portfolios generate excess cash, such excess is assumed to be reinvested at the one-year forward rates implied by the Mercer Yield Curve published as of the measurement date. The discount rate for the U.K. defined benefit pension plan represents a rate of appropriate duration from the analysis of yield curves provided by the Firm's actuaries.

At December 31, 2016, the Firm decreased the discount rates used to determine its benefit obligations for the U.S. defined benefit pension and OPEB plans in light of current market interest rates, which will increase expense by approximately \$45 million in 2017. The 2017 expected long-term rate of return on U.S. defined benefit pension plan assets and U.S. OPEB plan assets are 6.00% and 5.00%, respectively. For 2017, the initial health care benefit obligation trend assumption has been set at 5.00%, while the ultimate health care trend assumption and the year to reach the ultimate rate remain at 5.00% and 2017, respectively, unchanged from 2016. As of December 31, 2016, the interest crediting rate assumption remained at 5.00% and the assumed rate of compensation increase was reduced to 2.30%. The following tables present the weighted-average annualized actuarial assumptions for the projected and accumulated postretirement benefit obligations, and the components of net periodic benefit costs, for the Firm's significant U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension and OPEB plans, as of and for the periods indicated.

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations

	U.S.		Non-U	J.S.
December 31,	2016	2015	2016	2015
Discount rate:				
Defined benefit pension plans	4.30%	4.50%	0.60 - 2.60%	0.80 - 3.70%
OPEB plans	4.20	4.40	-	-
Rate of compensation increase	2.30	3.50	2.25 - 3.00	2.25 - 4.30
Health care cost trend rate:				
Assumed for next year	5.00	5.50	-	_
Ultimate	5.00	5.00	-	-
Year when rate will reach ultimate	2017	2017	-	-

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit costs

		u.s.			Non-U.S.				
Year ended December 31,	2016	2015	2014	2016	2015	2014			
Discount rate:									
Defined benefit pension plans	4.50%	4.00%	5.00%	0.90 - 3.70%	1.00 - 3.60%	1.10 - 4.40%			
OPEB plans	4.40	4.10	4.90	_	_	-			
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets:									
Defined benefit pension plans	6.50	6.50	7.00	0.80 - 4.60	0.90 - 4.80	1.20 - 5.30			
OPEB plans	5.75	6.00	6.25	NA	NA	NA			
Rate of compensation increase	3.50	3.50	3.50	2.25 - 4.30	2.75 - 4.20	2.75 - 4.60			
Health care cost trend rate:									
Assumed for next year	5.50	6.00	6.50	-	-	-			
Ultimate	5.00	5.00	5.00	-	_	-			
Year when rate will reach ultimate	2017	2017	2017	-	_	-			

The following table presents the effect of a one-percentagepoint change in the assumed health care cost trend rate on JPMorgan Chase's accumulated postretirement benefit obligation. As of December 31, 2016, there was no material effect on total service and interest cost.

Year ended December 31, 2016 (in millions)	1-Percent point increase	0	ercentage point ecrease
Effect on accumulated postretirement benefit obligation	\$	8	\$ (7)

JPMorgan Chase's U.S. defined benefit pension and OPEB plan expense is sensitive to the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets and the discount rate. With all other assumptions held constant, a 25-basis point decline in the expected long-term rate of return on U.S. plan assets would result in an aggregate increase of approximately \$40 million in 2017 U.S. defined benefit pension and OPEB plan expense. A 25-basis point decline in the discount rate for the U.S. plans would result in an increase in 2017 U.S. defined benefit pension and OPEB plan expense of approximately an aggregate \$31 million and an increase in the related benefit obligations of approximately an aggregate \$316 million. A 25-basis point decrease in the interest crediting rate for the U.S. defined benefit pension plan would result in a decrease in 2017 U.S. defined benefit pension expense of approximately \$36 million and a decrease in the related PBO of approximately \$160 million. A 25-basis point decline in the discount rates for the non-U.S. plans would result in an increase in the 2017 non-U.S. defined benefit pension plan expense of approximately \$12 million.

Investment strategy and asset allocation

The Firm's U.S. defined benefit pension plan assets are held in trust and are invested in a well-diversified portfolio of equity and fixed income securities, cash and cash equivalents, and alternative investments (e.g., hedge funds, private equity, real estate and real assets). Non-U.S. defined benefit pension plan assets are held in various trusts and are also invested in well-diversified portfolios of equity, fixed income and other securities. Assets of the Firm's COLI policies, which are used to partially fund the U.S. OPEB plan, are held in separate accounts of an insurance company and are allocated to investments intended to replicate equity and fixed income indices.

The investment policy for the Firm's U.S. defined benefit pension plan assets is to optimize the risk-return relationship as appropriate to the needs and goals of the plan using a global portfolio of various asset classes diversified by market segment, economic sector, and issuer. Assets are managed by a combination of internal and external investment managers. Periodically the Firm performs a comprehensive analysis on the U.S. defined benefit pension plan asset allocations, incorporating projected asset and liability data, which focuses on the short- and long-term impact of the asset allocation on cumulative pension expense, economic cost, present value of contributions and funded status. Currently, approved asset allocation ranges are: U.S. equity 0% to 45%, international equity 0% to 40%, debt securities 0% to 80%, hedge funds 0% to 5%, real estate 0% to 10%, real assets 0% to 10% and private equity 0% to 20%. Asset allocations are not managed to a specific target but seek to shift asset class allocations within these stated ranges. Investment strategies incorporate the economic outlook and the anticipated implications of the macroeconomic environment on the various asset classes while maintaining an appropriate level of liquidity for the plan. The Firm

regularly reviews the asset allocations and asset managers, as well as other factors that impact the portfolio, which is rebalanced when deemed necessary.

For the U.K. defined benefit pension plans, which represent the most significant of the non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans, the assets are invested to maximize returns subject to an appropriate level of risk relative to the plans' liabilities. To reduce the volatility in returns relative to the plans' liability profiles, the U.K. defined benefit pension plans' largest asset allocations are to debt securities of appropriate durations. Other assets, mainly equity securities, are then invested for capital appreciation, to provide long-term investment growth. Similar to the U.S. defined benefit pension plan, asset allocations and asset managers for the U.K. plans are reviewed regularly and the portfolios are rebalanced when deemed necessary.

Investments held by the U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension and OPEB plans include financial instruments that are exposed to various risks such as market, credit, liquidity and country risks. Exposure to a concentration of credit risk is mitigated by the broad diversification of both U.S. and non-U.S. investment instruments. Additionally, the investments in each of the common/collective trust funds and registered investment companies are further diversified into various financial instruments. As of December 31, 2016, assets held by the Firm's U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension and OPEB plans do not include JPMorgan Chase common stock, except through indirect exposures through investments in third-party stock-index funds. The plans hold investments in funds that are sponsored or managed by affiliates of JPMorgan Chase in the amount of \$3.4 billion and \$3.2 billion for U.S. plans and \$1.2 billion and \$1.2 billion for non-U.S. plans, as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

		Defin	ed benefit p	ension plans					
		u.s.			Non-U.S.		OF	PEB plans ^(c)	
	Target	% of plan	assets	Target	% of plan a	ssets	Target	% of plan a	assets
December 31,	Allocation	2016	2015	Allocation	2016	2015	Allocation	2016	2015
Asset category									
Debt securities ^(a)	0-80%	35%	32%	59%	60%	60%	30-70%	50%	50%
Equity securities	0-85	47	48	40	39	38	30-70	50	50
Real estate	0-10	4	4	-	-	1	-	-	_
Alternatives ^(b)	0-35	14	16	1	1	1	-	-	-
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

The following table presents the weighted-average asset allocation of the fair values of total plan assets at December 31 for the years indicated, as well as the respective approved range/target allocation by asset category, for the Firm's U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension and OPEB plans.

(a) Debt securities primarily include corporate debt, U.S. federal, state, local and non-U.S. government, and mortgage-backed securities.

(b) Alternatives primarily include limited partnerships.

(c) Represents the U.S. OPEB plan only, as the U.K. OPEB plan is unfunded.

Fair value measurement of the plans' assets and liabilities

For information on fair value measurements, including descriptions of level 1, 2, and 3 of the fair value hierarchy and the valuation methods employed by the Firm, see Note 3.

Pension and OPEB plan assets and liabilities measured at fair value

	U.S. defined benefit pension plans									Non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans ^(h)								
December 31, 2016 (in millions)	L	Level 1 L		evel 2	Level 3			otal fair value		evel 1	Level 2			otal fair value				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	74	\$	_	\$	-	\$	74	\$	122	\$	2	\$	124				
Equity securities		5,178		12		2		5,192		980		154		1,134				
Common/collective trust funds ^(a)		266		_		-		266		118		-		118				
Limited partnerships ^(b)		62		_		-		62		_		_		_				
Corporate debt securities ^(c)		-		1,791		4		1,795		_		715		715				
U.S. federal, state, local and non-U.S. government debt securities		926		234		_		1,160		213		570		783				
Mortgage-backed securities		39		65		-		104		3		10		13				
Derivative receivables		-		24		-		24		_		219		219				
Other ^(d)		1,274		_		390		1,664		223		53		276				
Total assets measured at fair value ^(e)	\$	7,819	\$	2,126	\$	396	\$	10,341 ^(f)	\$	1,659	\$	1,723	\$	3,382				
Derivative payables	\$	_	\$	(14)	\$	_	\$	(14)	\$	_	\$	(194)	\$	(194)				
Total liabilities measured at fair value	\$	_	\$	(14)	\$	_	\$	(14) ^(g)	\$	_	\$	(194)	\$	(194)				

		U.S.	ined bene	ension p	;	Non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans ^(h)								
December 31, 2015 (in millions)	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3			otal fair value	L	Level 1		evel 2		otal fair value
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	112	\$	-	\$	-	\$	112	\$	114	\$	1	\$	115
Equity securities		4,826		5		2		4,833		1,002		157		1,159
Common/collective trust funds ^(a)		339		_		-		339		135		-		135
Limited partnerships ^(b)		53		_		-		53		-		-		-
Corporate debt securities ^(c)		_		1,619		2		1,621		_		758		758
U.S. federal, state, local and non-U.S. government debt securities		580		108		_		688		212		504		716
Mortgage-backed securities		-		67		1		68		2		26		28
Derivative receivables		_		104		-		104		_		209		209
Other ^(d)		1,760		27		534		2,321		257		53		310
Total assets measured at fair value ^(e)	\$	7,670	\$	1,930	\$	539	\$	10,139 ^(f)	\$	1,722	\$	1,708	\$	3,430
Derivative payables	\$	_	\$	(35)	\$	-	\$	(35)	\$	_	\$	(153)	\$	(153)
Total liabilities measured at fair value	\$	_	\$	(35)	\$	-	\$	(35) ^(g)	\$	_	\$	(153)	\$	(153)

(a) At December 31, 2016 and 2015, common/collective trust funds primarily included a mix of short-term investment funds, domestic and international equity investments (including index) and real estate funds.

(b) Unfunded commitments to purchase limited partnership investments for the plans were \$735 million and \$895 million for 2016 and 2015, respectively.

(c) Corporate debt securities include debt securities of U.S. and non-U.S. corporations.

(d) Other consists primarily of money market funds and participating and non-participating annuity contracts. Money market funds are primarily classified within level 1 of the fair value hierarchy given they are valued using market observable prices. Participating and non-participating annuity contracts are classified within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy due to a lack of market mechanisms for transferring each policy and surrender restrictions.

(e) Certain investments that are measured at fair value using the net asset value per share (or its equivalent) as a practical expedient are not required to be classified in the fair value hierarchy. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the fair values of these investments, which include certain limited partnerships and common/collective trust funds, were \$4.0 billion and \$4.1 billion, respectively, of U.S. defined benefit pension plan investments, and \$243 million and \$234 million, respectively, of non-U.S. defined benefit pension plan investments.

(f) At December 31, 2016 and 2015, excluded U.S. defined benefit pension plan receivables for investments sold and dividends and interest receivables of \$130 million and \$74 million, respectively.

(g) At December 31, 2016 and 2015, excluded \$203 million and \$106 million, respectively, of U.S. defined benefit pension plan payables for investments purchased; and \$21 million and \$17 million, respectively, of other liabilities.

(h) There were zero assets or liabilities classified as level 3 for the non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

The Firm's U.S. OPEB plan was partially funded with COLI policies of \$2.0 billion and \$1.9 billion at December 31, 2016 and 2015, which were classified in level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Changes in level 3 fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs

	Fai	ir value.	Ac	tual return	on p	olan assets	Pu	chases, sales	Transfers in		E	air value.
Year ended December 31, 2016 (in millions)	Jar	nuary 1, 2016		alized /(losses)		Unrealized ains/(losses)		l settlements, net	an	d/or out f level 3	December 3 2016	
U.S. defined benefit pension plans												
Equity securities	\$	2	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2
Corporate debt securities		2		-		-		1		1		4
Mortgage-backed securities		1		-		-		(1)		-		-
Other		534		-		(157)		-		13		390
Total U.S. defined benefit pension plans	\$	539	\$	-	\$	(157)	\$	-	\$	14	\$	396
OPEB plans												
COLI	\$	1,855	\$	-	\$	102	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,957
Total OPEB plans	\$	1,855	\$	_	\$	102	\$	-	\$	_	\$	1,957

	Fa	Fair value,		ctual return	on p	lan assets	Pur	chases, sales	Transfers in		F	air value.
Year ended December 31, 2015 (in millions)	Jar	nuary 1, 2015		ealized s/(losses)		Unrealized ains/(losses)		settlements, net	an	id/or out f level 3		cember 31, 2015
U.S. defined benefit pension plans												
Equity securities	\$	4	\$	-	\$	(2)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2
Corporate debt securities		9		-		_		(7)		-		2
Mortgage-backed securities		1		-		-		-		-		1
Other		337		-		197		-		-		534
Total U.S. defined benefit pension plans	\$	351	\$	-	\$	195	\$	(7)	\$	-	\$	539
OPEB plans												
COLI	\$	1,903	\$	_	\$	(48)	\$	-	\$	_	\$	1,855
Total OPEB plans	\$	1,903	\$	_	\$	(48)	\$	_	\$	_	\$	1,855

	Fa	ir value.	Actual return	on p	lan assets	 Purchases, sales 		Tra	ansfers in	F	air value.
Year ended December 31, 2014 (in millions)	Jar	nuary 1, 2014	Realized ins/(losses)		Unrealized ains/(losses)		l settlements, net	an	and/or out of level 3		cember 31, 2014
U.S. defined benefit pension plans											
Equity securities	\$	4	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	4
Corporate debt securities		7	(2)		2		4		(2)		9
Mortgage-backed securities		_	-		-		1		_		1
Other		430	-		(93)		-		_		337
Total U.S. defined benefit pension plans	\$	441	\$ (2)	\$	(91)	\$	5	\$	(2)	\$	351
OPEB plans											
COLI	\$	1,749	\$ _	\$	154	\$	-	\$	_	\$	1,903
Total OPEB plans	\$	1,749	\$ -	\$	154	\$	_	\$	-	\$	1,903

Estimated future benefit payments

The following table presents benefit payments expected to be paid, which include the effect of expected future service, for the years indicated. The OPEB medical and life insurance payments are net of expected retiree contributions.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	U.S. defined benefit pension plans		Non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans		before Medicare rt D subsidy	Medicare Part D subsidy		
2017	\$ 766	\$	103	\$	68	\$	1	
2018	768		104		65		1	
2019	758		107		63		1	
2020	765		113		60		1	
2021	775		117		58		1	
Years 2022-2026	3,961		646		250		2	

Note 10 - Employee stock-based incentives

Employee stock-based awards

In 2016, 2015 and 2014, JPMorgan Chase granted longterm stock-based awards to certain employees under its LTIP, as amended and restated effective May 19, 2015. Under the terms of the LTIP, as of December 31, 2016, 78 million shares of common stock were available for issuance through May 2019. The LTIP is the only active plan under which the Firm is currently granting stock-based incentive awards. In the following discussion, the LTIP, plus prior Firm plans and plans assumed as the result of acquisitions, are referred to collectively as the "LTI Plans," and such plans constitute the Firm's stock-based incentive plans.

RSUs are awarded at no cost to the recipient upon their grant. Generally, RSUs are granted annually and vest at a rate of 50% after two years and 50% after three years and are converted into shares of common stock as of the vesting date. In addition, RSUs typically include full-career eligibility provisions, which allow employees to continue to vest upon voluntary termination, subject to post-employment and other restrictions based on age or service-related requirements. All RSU awards are subject to forfeiture until vested and contain clawback provisions that may result in cancellation under certain specified circumstances. RSUs entitle the recipient to receive cash payments equivalent to any dividends paid on the underlying common stock during the period the RSUs are outstanding and, as such, are considered participating securities as discussed in Note 24.

In January 2016, the Firm's Board of Directors approved the grant of performance share units ("PSUs") to members of the Firm's Operating Committee under the variable compensation program for performance year 2015. PSUs are subject to the Firm's achievement of specified performance criteria over a three-year period. The number of awards that vest can range from zero to 150% of the grant amount. The awards vest and are converted into shares of common stock in the quarter after the end of the three-year performance period. In addition, dividends are notionally reinvested in the Firm's common stock and will be delivered only in respect of any earned shares.

Once the PSUs have vested, the shares of common stock that are delivered, after applicable tax withholding, must be held for an additional two-year period, for a total combined vesting and holding period of five years from the grant date.

Under the LTI Plans, stock options and stock appreciation rights ("SARs") have generally been granted with an exercise price equal to the fair value of JPMorgan Chase's common stock on the grant date. The Firm periodically grants employee stock options to individual employees. There were no material grants of stock options or SARs in 2016, 2015 and 2014. SARs generally expire ten years after the grant date. The Firm separately recognizes compensation expense for each tranche of each award, net of estimated forfeitures, as if it were a separate award with its own vesting date. Generally, for each tranche granted, compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis from the grant date until the vesting date of the respective tranche, provided that the employees will not become full-career eligible during the vesting period. For awards with full-career eligibility provisions and awards granted with no future substantive service requirement, the Firm accrues the estimated value of awards expected to be awarded to employees as of the grant date without giving consideration to the impact of post-employment restrictions. For each tranche granted to employees who will become full-career eligible during the vesting period, compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis from the grant date until the earlier of the employee's full-career eligibility date or the vesting date of the respective tranche.

The Firm's policy for issuing shares upon settlement of employee stock-based incentive awards is to issue either new shares of common stock or treasury shares. During 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Firm settled all of its employee stock-based awards by issuing treasury shares.

In January 2008, the Firm awarded to its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer up to 2 million SARs. The terms of this award are distinct from, and more restrictive than, other equity grants regularly awarded by the Firm. On July 15, 2014, the Compensation & Management Development Committee and Board of Directors determined that all requirements for the vesting of the 2 million SAR awards had been met and thus, the awards became exercisable. The SARs, which will expire in January 2018, have an exercise price of \$39.83 (the price of JPMorgan Chase common stock on the date of grant). The expense related to this award was dependent on changes in fair value of the SARs through July 15, 2014 (the date when the vested number of SARs were determined), and the cumulative expense was recognized ratably over the service period, which was initially assumed to be five years but, effective in the first quarter of 2013, was extended to six and one-half years. The Firm recognized \$3 million in compensation expense in 2014 for this award.

RSUs, PSUs, employee stock options and SARs activity

Compensation expense for RSUs and PSUs is measured based on the number of units granted multiplied by the stock price at the grant date, and for employee stock options and SARs, is measured at the grant date using the Black-Scholes valuation model. Compensation expense for these awards is recognized in net income as described previously. The following table summarizes JPMorgan Chase's RSUs, PSUs, employee stock options and SARs activity for 2016.

	RSL	ls/PSUs	Options/SARs							
Year ended December 31, 2016 (in thousands, except weighted-average data, and where otherwise stated)	Number of units	Weighted- average grant date fair value	Number of awards	ā	eighted- average exercise price	Weighted-average remaining contractual life (in years)	Aggregate intrinsic value			
Outstanding, January 1	85,307	\$ 54.60	43,466	\$	43.51					
Granted	36,775	57.80	77		72.63					
Exercised or vested	(37,121)	52.09	(12,836)		41.55					
Forfeited	(3,254)	56.45	(240)		44.28					
Canceled	NA	NA	(200)		612.18					
Outstanding, December 31	81,707	\$ 57.15	30,267	\$	40.65	3.9	\$1,378,254			
Exercisable, December 31	NA	NA	24,815		40.08	3.6	1,144,937			

The total fair value of RSUs that vested during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, was \$2.2 billion, \$2.8 billion and \$3.2 billion, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, was \$338 million, \$335 million and \$539 million, respectively.

Compensation expense

The Firm recognized the following noncash compensation expense related to its various employee stock-based incentive plans in its Consolidated statements of income.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Cost of prior grants of RSUs, PSUs and SARs that are amortized over their applicable vesting periods	\$ 1,046	\$ 1,109	\$ 1,371
Accrual of estimated costs of stock- based awards to be granted in future periods including those to full-career eligible employees	894	878	819
Total noncash compensation expense related to employee stock-based incentive plans	\$ 1,940	\$ 1,987	\$ 2,190

At December 31, 2016, approximately \$700 million (pretax) of compensation expense related to unvested awards had not yet been charged to net income. That cost is expected to be amortized into compensation expense over a weighted-average period of 1.0 year. The Firm does not capitalize any compensation expense related to share-based compensation awards to employees.

Cash flows and tax benefits

Effective January 1, 2016, the Firm adopted new accounting guidance related to employee share-based payments. As a result of the adoption of this new guidance, all excess tax benefits (including tax benefits from dividends or dividend equivalents) on share-based payment awards are recognized within income tax expense in the Consolidated statements of income. In prior years these tax benefits were recorded as increases to additional paid-in capital. Income tax benefits related to stock-based incentive arrangements recognized in the Firm's Consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, were \$916 million, \$746 million and \$854 million, respectively.

The following table sets forth the cash received from the exercise of stock options under all stock-based incentive arrangements, and the actual income tax benefit related to tax deductions from the exercise of the stock options.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2	016	2	015	2	2014
Cash received for options exercised	\$	26	\$	20	\$	63
Tax benefit		70		64		104

Note 11 - Noninterest expense

For details on noninterest expense, see Consolidated statements of income on page 141. Included within other expense are the following:

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Legal (benefit)/expense	\$ (317)	\$ 2,969	\$ 2,883
FDIC-related expense	1,296	1,227	1,037

Note 12 - Securities

Securities are classified as trading, AFS or HTM. Securities classified as trading assets are discussed in Note 3. Predominantly all of the Firm's AFS and HTM investment securities (the "investment securities portfolio") are held by Treasury and CIO in connection with its asset-liability management objectives. At December 31, 2016, the investment securities portfolio consisted of debt securities with an average credit rating of AA+ (based upon external ratings where available, and where not available, based primarily upon internal ratings which correspond to ratings as defined by S&P and Moody's). AFS securities are carried at fair value on the Consolidated balance sheets. Unrealized gains and losses, after any applicable hedge accounting adjustments, are reported as net increases or decreases to AOCI. The specific identification method is used to determine realized gains and losses on AFS securities, which are included in securities gains/(losses) on the Consolidated statements of income. HTM debt securities, which management has the intent and ability to hold until maturity, are carried at amortized cost on the Consolidated balance sheets. For both AFS and HTM debt securities, purchase discounts or premiums are generally amortized into interest income over the contractual life of the security.

During 2016, the Firm transferred commercial MBS and obligations of U.S. states and municipalities with a fair value of \$7.5 billion from AFS to HTM. These securities were transferred at fair value. AOCI included net pretax unrealized gains of \$78 million on the securities at the date of transfer. The transfers reflect the Firm's intent to hold the securities to maturity in order to reduce the impact of price volatility on AOCI. This transfer was a non-cash transaction.

The amortized costs and estimated fair values of the investment securities portfolio were as follows for the dates indicated.

		2	016			20	015	
December 31, (in millions)	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value
Available-for-sale debt securities								
Mortgage-backed securities:								
U.S. government agencies ^(a)	\$ 63,367	\$ 1,112	\$ 474	\$ 64,005	\$ 53,689	\$ 1,483	\$ 106	\$ 55,066
Residential:								
Prime and Alt-A ^(b)	4,256	38	22	4,272	6,594	38	49	6,583
Subprime ^(b)	3,915	62	6	3,971	1,078	9	8	1,079
Non-U.S.	6,049	158	7	6,200	19,629	341	13	19,957
Commercial	9,002	122	20	9,104	22,990	150	243	22,897
Total mortgage-backed securities	86,589	1,492	529	87,552	103,980	2,021	419	105,582
U.S. Treasury and government agencies ^(a)	44,822	75	796	44,101	11,202	-	166	11,036
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities	30,284	1,492	184	31,592	31,328	2,245	23	33,550
Certificates of deposit	106	-	_	106	282	1	-	283
Non-U.S. government debt securities	34,497	836	45	35,288	35,864	853	41	36,676
Corporate debt securities	4,916	64	22	4,958	12,464	142	170	12,436
Asset-backed securities:								
Collateralized loan obligations	27,352	75	26	27,401	31,146	52	191	31,007
Other	6,950	62	45	6,967	9,125	72	100	9,097
Total available-for-sale debt securities	235,516	4,096	1,647	237,965	235,391	5,386	1,110	239,667
Available-for-sale equity securities	914	12	_	926	2,067	20	-	2,087
Total available-for-sale securities	236,430	4,108	1,647	238,891	237,458	5,406	1,110	241,754
Held-to-maturity debt securities								
Mortgage-backed securities								
U.S. government agencies ^(c)	29,910	638	37	30,511	36,271	852	42	37,081
Commercial	5,783	-	129	5,654	-	-	-	-
Total mortgage-backed securities	35,693	638	166	36,165	36,271	852	42	37,081
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities	14,475	374	125	14,724	12,802	708	4	13,506
Total held-to-maturity debt securities	50,168	1,012	291	50,889	49,073	1,560	46	50,587
Total securities	\$ 286,598	\$ 5,120	\$ 1,938	\$ 289,780	\$ 286,531	\$ 6,966	\$ 1,156	\$ 292,341

(a) Includes total U.S. government-sponsored enterprise obligations with fair values of \$45.8 billion and \$42.3 billion at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, which were predominantly mortgage-related.

(b) Prior period amounts have been revised to conform with current period presentation.

(c) Included total U.S. government-sponsored enterprise obligations with amortized cost of \$25.6 billion and \$30.8 billion at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, which were mortgage-related.

Securities impairment

The following tables present the fair value and gross unrealized losses for the investment securities portfolio by aging category at December 31, 2016 and 2015.

			S	ecurit	ies with gro	oss u	nrealized losses	;		
	Less th	an 12	months		12 moi	nths	or more			
December 31, 2016 (in millions)	Fair value	Gr	oss unrealized losses	Fa	air value	Gr	oss unrealized losses	Total fair value		otal gross alized losses
Available-for-sale debt securities										
Mortgage-backed securities:										
U.S. government agencies	\$ 29,85	5\$	463	\$	506	\$	11	\$	30,362	\$ 474
Residential:										
Prime and Alt-A	97	7	2		1,018		20		1,995	22
Subprime	39	5	4		55		2		451	6
Non-U.S.	-	-	-		886		7		886	7
Commercial	2,32	3	17		1,078		3		3,406	20
Total mortgage-backed securities	33,55	7	486		3,543		43		37,100	529
U.S. Treasury and government agencies	23,54	3	796		-		-		23,543	796
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities	7,21	5	181		55		3		7,270	184
Certificates of deposit	-	-	_		-		_		-	-
Non-U.S. government debt securities	4,43	5	36		421		9		4,857	45
Corporate debt securities	79	7	2		829		20		1,626	22
Asset-backed securities:										
Collateralized loan obligations	76	5	2		5,263		24		6,029	26
Other	73	Ð	6		1,992		39		2,731	45
Total available-for-sale debt securities	71,05	3	1,509		12,103		138		83,156	1,647
Available-for-sale equity securities		-	-		-		-		-	-
Held-to-maturity securities										
Mortgage-backed securities										
U.S. government securities	3,12	Ð	37		-		-		3,129	37
Commercial	5,16	3	114		441		15		5,604	129
Total mortgage-backed securities	8,29	2	151		441		15		8,733	166
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities	4,70	2	125		-		-		4,702	125
Total held-to-maturity securities	12,99	4	276		441		15		13,435	291
Total securities with gross unrealized losses	\$ 84,04	7 \$	1,785	\$	12,544	\$	153	\$	96,591	\$ 1,938

		S	ecurities with gro	oss unrealized losses	i	
	Less tha	n 12 months	12 moi	nths or more		
December 31, 2015 (in millions)	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Total fair value	Total gross unrealized losses
Available-for-sale debt securities						
Mortgage-backed securities:						
U.S. government agencies	\$ 13,002	\$ 95	\$ 697	\$ 11	\$ 13,699	\$ 106
Residential:						
Prime and Alt-A ^(a)	4,455	43	238	6	4,693	49
Subprime ^(a)	692	8	-	-	692	8
Non-U.S.	2,021	12	167	1	2,188	13
Commercial	13,779	239	658	4	14,437	243
Total mortgage-backed securities	33,949	397	1,760	22	35,709	419
U.S. Treasury and government agencies	10,998	166	-	-	10,998	166
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities	1,676	18	205	5	1,881	23
Certificates of deposit	-	_	_	_	-	-
Non-U.S. government debt securities	3,267	26	367	15	3,634	41
Corporate debt securities	3,198	125	848	45	4,046	170
Asset-backed securities:						
Collateralized loan obligations	15,340	67	10,692	124	26,032	191
Other	4,284	60	1,005	40	5,289	100
Total available-for-sale debt securities	72,712	859	14,877	251	87,589	1,110
Available-for-sale equity securities	_	-	-	-	-	-
Held-to-maturity debt securities						
Mortgage-backed securities						
U.S. government agencies	3,294	42	-	-	3,294	42
Commercial	-	-	-	-	_	-
Total mortgage-backed securities	3,294	42	_	_	3,294	42
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities	469	4	_	_	469	4
Total held-to-maturity securities	3,763	46	_	_	3,763	46
Total securities with gross unrealized losses	\$ 76,475	\$ 905	\$ 14,877	\$ 251	\$ 91,352	\$ 1,156

(a) Prior period amounts have been revised to conform with current period presentation.

Gross unrealized losses

The Firm has recognized unrealized losses on securities it intends to sell as OTTI. The Firm does not intend to sell any of the remaining securities with an unrealized loss in AOCI as of December 31, 2016, and it is not likely that the Firm will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis. Except for the securities for which credit losses have been recognized in income, the Firm believes that the securities with an unrealized loss in AOCI are not other-than-temporarily impaired as of December 31, 2016.

Other-than-temporary impairment

AFS debt and equity securities and HTM debt securities in unrealized loss positions are analyzed as part of the Firm's ongoing assessment of OTTI. For most types of debt securities, the Firm considers a decline in fair value to be other-than-temporary when the Firm does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. For beneficial interests in securitizations that are rated below "AA" at their acquisition, or that can be contractually prepaid or otherwise settled in such a way that the Firm would not recover substantially all of its recorded investment, the Firm considers an impairment to be otherthan-temporary when there is an adverse change in expected cash flows. For AFS equity securities, the Firm considers a decline in fair value to be other-than-temporary if it is probable that the Firm will not recover its cost basis.

Potential OTTI is considered using a variety of factors, including the length of time and extent to which the market value has been less than cost; adverse conditions specifically related to the industry, geographic area or financial condition of the issuer or underlying collateral of a security; payment structure of the security; changes to the rating of the security by a rating agency; the volatility of the fair value changes; and the Firm's intent and ability to hold the security until recovery.

For AFS debt securities, the Firm recognizes OTTI losses in earnings if the Firm has the intent to sell the debt security, or if it is more likely than not that the Firm will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. In these circumstances the impairment loss is equal to the full difference between the amortized cost basis and the fair value of the securities. For debt securities in an unrealized loss position that the Firm has the intent and ability to hold, the expected cash flows to be received from the securities are evaluated to determine if a credit loss exists. In the event of a credit loss, only the amount of impairment associated with the credit loss is recognized in income. Amounts relating to factors other than credit losses are recorded in OCI. The Firm's cash flow evaluations take into account the factors noted above and expectations of relevant market and economic data as of the end of the reporting period. For securities issued in a securitization, the Firm estimates cash flows considering underlying loan-level data and structural features of the securitization, such as subordination, excess spread, overcollateralization or other forms of credit enhancement, and compares the losses projected for the underlying collateral ("pool losses") against the level of credit enhancement in the securitization structure to determine whether these features are sufficient to absorb the pool losses, or whether a credit loss exists. The Firm also performs other analyses to support its cash flow projections, such as first-loss analyses or stress scenarios.

For equity securities, OTTI losses are recognized in earnings if the Firm intends to sell the security. In other cases the Firm considers the relevant factors noted above, as well as the Firm's intent and ability to retain its investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value, and whether evidence exists to support a realizable value equal to or greater than the cost basis. Any impairment loss on an equity security is equal to the full difference between the cost basis and the fair value of the security.

Securities gains and losses

The following table presents realized gains and losses and OTTI from AFS securities that were recognized in income.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Realized gains	\$ 401	\$ 351	\$ 314
Realized losses	(232)	(127)	(233)
OTTI losses	(28)	(22)	(4)
Net securities gains	141	202	77
OTTI losses			
Credit losses recognized in income	(1)	(1)	(2)
Securities the Firm intends to $\ensuremath{sell}^{(a)}$	(27)	(21)	(2)
Total OTTI losses recognized in income	\$ (28)	\$ (22)	\$ (4)

(a) Excludes realized losses on securities sold of \$24 million, \$5 million and \$3 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively that had been previously reported as an OTTI loss due to the intention to sell the securities.

Changes in the credit loss component of credit-impaired debt securities

The cumulative credit loss component, including any changes therein, of OTTI losses that have been recognized in income related to AFS debt securities was not material as of and during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Contractual maturities and yields

The following table presents the amortized cost and estimated fair value at December 31, 2016, of JPMorgan Chase's investment securities portfolio by contractual maturity.

By remaining maturity December 31, 2016 (in millions)	Due in one year or less		Due after one year through five years	Due after five years through 10 years	Due after 10 years ^(c)		Total
Available-for-sale debt securities							
Mortgage-backed securities ^(a)							
Amortized cost	\$ 2,012	\$	2,393	\$ 7,574 \$	74,610	\$	86,589
Fair value	2,022		2,449	7,756	75,325		87,552
Average yield ^(b)	2.04%	ó	2.36%	3.03%	3.26%)	3.19%
U.S. Treasury and government agencies ^(a)							
Amortized cost	\$ 132	\$	4,573	\$ 38,976 \$	1,141	\$	44,822
Fair value	132		4,561	38,317	1,091		44,101
Average yield ^(b)	0.42%	ó	0.86%	1.27%	1.13%)	1.22%
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities							
Amortized cost	\$ 134	\$	752	\$ 1,096 \$	28,302	\$	30,284
Fair value	135		767	1,148	29,542		31,592
Average yield ^(b)	5.85%	, D	3.58%	6.29%	6.63%)	6.54%
Certificates of deposit							
Amortized cost	\$ 106	\$	-	\$ - \$	-	\$	106
Fair value	106		-	-	-		106
Average yield ^(b)	1.78%	, D	-%	-%	-%)	1.78%
Non-U.S. government debt securities							
Amortized cost	\$ 5,831	\$	14,109	\$ 13,503 \$	1,054	\$	34,497
Fair value	5,838		14,444	13,944	1,062		35,288
Average yield ^(b)	2.92%	ó	1.55%	0.93%	0.58%)	1.51%
Corporate debt securities							
Amortized cost	\$ 2,059	\$	1,312	\$ 1,424 \$	121	\$	4,916
Fair value	2,070		1,332	1,433	123		4,958
Average yield ^(b)	2.88%	Ó	3.11%	3.24%	3.52%)	3.06%
Asset-backed securities							
Amortized cost	\$ -	\$	444	\$ 21,551 \$	12,307	\$	34,302
Fair value	-		446	21,577	12,345		34,368
Average yield ^(b)	-%	Ó	0.49%	 2.33%	2.21%)	2.26%
Total available-for-sale debt securities							
Amortized cost	\$ 10,274	\$	23,583	\$ 84,124 \$	117,535	\$	235,516
Fair value	10,303		23,999	84,175	119,488		237,965
Average yield ^(b)	 2.73%	ó	1.63%	1.74%	3.92%)	2.86%
Available-for-sale equity securities							
Amortized cost	\$ -	\$	-	\$ - \$	914	\$	914
Fair value	-		-	-	926		926
Average yield ^(b)	-%	ó	-%	-%	0.58%)	0.58%
Total available-for-sale securities							
Amortized cost	\$ 10,274	\$		\$ 84,124 \$	118,449	\$	236,430
Fair value	10,303		23,999	84,175	120,414		238,891
Average yield ^(b)	2.73%	Ó	1.63%	 1.74%	3.89%)	2.85%
Held-to-maturity debt securities							
Mortgage-backed securities ^(a)							
Amortized Cost	\$ -	\$	-	\$ - \$	35,693	\$	35,693
Fair value	-		-	-	36,165		36,165
Average yield ^(b)	-%	Ó	-%	-%	3.30%)	3.30%
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities							
Amortized cost	\$ -	\$	29	\$ 1,439 \$	13,007	\$	14,475
Fair value	-		29	1,467	13,228		14,724
Average yield ^(b)	-%	ò	6.61%	 5.11%	5.68%)	5.63%
Total held-to-maturity securities							
Amortized cost	\$ -	\$	29	\$ 1,439 \$	48,700	\$	50,168
Fair value	-		29	1,467	49,393		50,889
Average yield ^(b)	-%	ó	6.61%	5.11%	3.94%)	3.97%

(a) U.S. government-sponsored enterprises were the only issuers whose securities exceeded 10% of JPMorgan Chase's total stockholders' equity at December 31, 2016.

(b) Average yield is computed using the effective yield of each security owned at the end of the period, weighted based on the amortized cost of each security. The effective yield considers the contractual coupon, amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts, and the effect of related hedging derivatives. Taxable-equivalent amounts are used

where applicable. The effective yield excludes unscheduled principal prepayments; and accordingly, actual maturities of securities may differ from their contractual or expected maturities as certain securities may be prepaid.

(c) Includes securities with no stated maturity. Substantially all of the Firm's residential MBS and collateralized mortgage obligations are due in 10 years or more, based on contractual maturity. The estimated weighted-average life, which reflects anticipated future prepayments, is approximately seven years for agency residential MBS, three years for agency residential collateralized mortgage obligations and three years for nonagency residential collateralized mortgage obligations.

Note 13 - Securities financing activities

JPMorgan Chase enters into resale agreements, repurchase agreements, securities borrowed transactions and securities loaned transactions (collectively, "securities financing agreements") primarily to finance the Firm's inventory positions, acquire securities to cover short positions, accommodate customers' financing needs, and settle other securities obligations.

Securities financing agreements are treated as collateralized financings on the Firm's Consolidated balance sheets. Resale and repurchase agreements are generally carried at the amounts at which the securities will be subsequently sold or repurchased. Securities borrowed and securities loaned transactions are generally carried at the amount of cash collateral advanced or received. Where appropriate under applicable accounting guidance, resale and repurchase agreements with the same counterparty are reported on a net basis. For further discussion of the offsetting of assets and liabilities, see Note 1. Fees received and paid in connection with securities financing agreements are recorded in interest income and interest expense on the Consolidated statements of income.

The Firm has elected the fair value option for certain securities financing agreements. For further information regarding the fair value option, see Note 4. The securities financing agreements for which the fair value option has been elected are reported within securities purchased under resale agreements, securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements, and securities borrowed on the Consolidated balance sheets. Generally, for agreements carried at fair value, current-period interest accruals are recorded within interest income and interest expense, with changes in fair value reported in principal transactions revenue. However, for financial instruments containing embedded derivatives that would be separately accounted for in accordance with accounting guidance for hybrid instruments, all changes in fair value, including any interest elements, are reported in principal transactions revenue.

Securities financing transactions expose the Firm to credit and liquidity risk. To manage these risks, the Firm monitors the value of the underlying securities (predominantly highquality securities collateral, including government-issued debt and agency MBS) that it has received from or provided to its counterparties compared to the value of cash proceeds and exchanged collateral, and either requests additional collateral or returns securities or collateral when appropriate. Margin levels are initially established based upon the counterparty, the type of underlying securities, and the permissible collateral, and are monitored on an ongoing basis.

In resale agreements and securities borrowed transactions, the Firm is exposed to credit risk to the extent that the value of the securities received is less than initial cash principal advanced and any collateral amounts exchanged. In repurchase agreements and securities loaned transactions, credit risk exposure arises to the extent that the value of underlying securities exceeds the value of the initial cash principal advanced, and any collateral amounts exchanged.

Additionally, the Firm typically enters into master netting agreements and other similar arrangements with its counterparties, which provide for the right to liquidate the underlying securities and any collateral amounts exchanged in the event of a counterparty default. It is also the Firm's policy to take possession, where possible, of the securities underlying resale agreements and securities borrowed transactions. For further information regarding assets pledged and collateral received in securities financing agreements, see Note 30.

As a result of the Firm's credit risk mitigation practices with respect to resale and securities borrowed agreements as described above, the Firm did not hold any reserves for credit impairment with respect to these agreements as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

The table below summarizes the gross and net amounts of the Firm's securities financing agreements, as of December 31, 2016, and 2015. When the Firm has obtained an appropriate legal opinion with respect to the master netting agreement with a counterparty and where other relevant netting criteria under U.S. GAAP are met, the Firm nets, on the Consolidated balance sheets, the balances outstanding under its securities financing agreements with the same counterparty. In addition, the Firm exchanges securities and/or cash collateral with its counterparties; this collateral also reduces, in the Firm's view, the economic exposure with the counterparty. Such collateral, along with securities financing balances that do not meet all these relevant netting criteria under U.S. GAAP, is presented as "Amounts not nettable on the Consolidated balance sheets," and reduces the "Net amounts" presented below, if the Firm has an appropriate legal opinion with respect to the master netting agreement with the counterparty. Where a legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained, the securities financing balances are presented gross in the "Net amounts" below, and related collateral does not reduce the amounts presented.

	2016									
December 31, (in millions)	Gro	oss amounts	C	ounts netted on the onsolidated lance sheets	Amou presented Consolic balance s	on the lated		Amounts not nettable on the Consolidated balance sheets ^(c)	Net	amounts ^(d)
Assets										
Securities purchased under resale agreements	\$	480,735	\$	(250,832)	\$ 2	29,903	\$	(222,413))\$	7,490
Securities borrowed		96,409		-		96,409		(66,822))	29,587
Liabilities										
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	\$	402,465	\$	(250,832)	\$ 1	51,633	\$	(133,300))\$	18,333
Securities loaned and other ^(a)		22,451		-		22,451		(22,177))	274

	2015											
December 31, (in millions)		Gross amounts		Amounts netted on the Consolidated balance sheets		Amounts presented on the Consolidated balance sheets ^(b)		Amounts not nettable on the Consolidated palance sheets ^(c)	Net amounts ^{(c}			
Assets												
Securities purchased under resale agreements	\$	368,148	\$ (1	56,258)	\$	211,890	\$	(207,958)	\$ 3,9	32		
Securities borrowed		98,721		-		98,721		(65,081)	33,6	40		
Liabilities												
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	\$	290,044	\$ (1	56,258)	\$	133,786	\$	(119,332)	\$ 14,4	54		
Securities loaned and other ^(a)		22,556		-		22,556		(22,245)	3	11		

(a) Includes securities-for-securities lending transactions of \$9.1 billion and \$4.4 billion at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, accounted for at fair value, where the Firm is acting as lender. These amounts are presented within other liabilities in the Consolidated balance sheets.

(b) Includes securities financing agreements accounted for at fair value. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, included securities purchased under resale agreements of \$21.5 billion and \$23.1 billion, respectively, and securities sold under agreements to repurchase of \$687 million and \$3.5 billion, respectively. There were no securities borrowed at December 31, 2016 and \$395 million at December 31, 2015. There were no securities loaned accounted for at fair value in either period.

(c) In some cases, collateral exchanged with a counterparty exceeds the net asset or liability balance with that counterparty. In such cases, the amounts reported in this column are limited to the related asset or liability with that counterparty.

(d) Includes securities financing agreements that provide collateral rights, but where an appropriate legal opinion with respect to the master netting agreement has not been either sought or obtained. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, included \$4.8 billion and \$2.3 billion, respectively, of securities purchased under resale agreements; \$27.1 billion and \$31.3 billion, respectively, of securities borrowed; \$15.9 billion and \$12.6 billion, respectively, of securities sold under agreements to repurchase; and \$90 million and \$45 million, respectively, of securities loaned and other.

(e) Prior period amounts have been revised to conform with the current presentation.

The tables below present as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 the types of financial assets pledged in securities financing agreements and the remaining contractual maturity of the securities financing agreements.

	Gross liability balance								
		20	16	2015					
December 31, (in millions)	un	ecurities sold der repurchase agreements	Securities loaned and other ^(a)	und	ecurities sold der repurchase agreements	Securities loaned and other ^(a)			
Mortgage-backed securities	\$	10,546	\$ –	\$	12,790	\$ –			
U.S. Treasury and government agencies		199,030	-		154,377	5			
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities		2,491	-		1,316	-			
Non-U.S. government debt		149,008	1,279		80,162	4,426			
Corporate debt securities		18,140	108		21,286	78			
Asset-backed securities		7,721	-		4,394	-			
Equity securities		15,529	21,064		15,719	18,047			
Total	\$	402,465	\$ 22,451	\$	290,044	\$ 22,556			

	 Remaining contractual maturity of the agreements									
2016 (in millions)	vernight and continuous	Up to 30 days	30 - 90 days	Greater than 90 days	Total					
Total securities sold under repurchase agreements	\$ 140,318 \$	157,860	\$ 55,621	\$ 48,666	\$ 402,465					
Total securities loaned and other ^(a)	13,586	1,371	2,877	4,617	22,451					

	Remaining contractual maturity of the agreements									
2015 (in millions)		vernight and continuous	Up to 30 days	30 - 90 da	Great 30 - 90 days 90		Total			
Total securities sold under repurchase agreements	\$	114,595	\$ 100,082	\$ 29	,955 \$	45,412	\$ 290,044			
Total securities loaned and other ^(a)		8,320	708		793	12,735	22,556			

(a) Includes securities-for-securities lending transactions of \$9.1 billion and \$4.4 billion at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, accounted for at fair value, where the Firm is acting as lender. These amounts are presented within other liabilities on the Consolidated balance sheets.

Transfers not qualifying for sale accounting

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Firm held \$5.9 billion and \$7.5 billion, respectively, of financial assets for which the rights have been transferred to third parties; however, the transfers did not qualify as a sale in accordance with U.S. GAAP. These transfers have been recognized as collateralized financing transactions. The transferred assets are recorded in trading assets and loans, and the corresponding liabilities are recorded predominantly in other borrowed funds on the Consolidated balance sheets.

Note 14 - Loans

Loan accounting framework

The accounting for a loan depends on management's strategy for the loan, and on whether the loan was creditimpaired at the date of acquisition. The Firm accounts for loans based on the following categories:

- Originated or purchased loans held-for-investment (i.e., "retained"), other than PCI loans
- Loans held-for-sale
- Loans at fair value
- PCI loans held-for-investment

The following provides a detailed accounting discussion of these loan categories:

Loans held-for-investment (other than PCI loans)

Originated or purchased loans held-for-investment, other than PCI loans, are recorded at the principal amount outstanding, net of the following: charge-offs; interest applied to principal (for loans accounted for on the cost recovery method); unamortized discounts and premiums; and net deferred loan fees or costs. Credit card loans also include billed finance charges and fees net of an allowance for uncollectible amounts.

Interest income

Interest income on performing loans held-for-investment, other than PCI loans, is accrued and recognized as interest income at the contractual rate of interest. Purchase price discounts or premiums, as well as net deferred loan fees or costs, are amortized into interest income over the contractual life of the loan to produce a level rate of return.

Nonaccrual loans

Nonaccrual loans are those on which the accrual of interest has been suspended. Loans (other than credit card loans and certain consumer loans insured by U.S. government agencies) are placed on nonaccrual status and considered nonperforming when full payment of principal and interest is not expected, regardless of delinquency status, or when principal and interest has been in default for a period of 90 days or more, unless the loan is both well-secured and in the process of collection. A loan is determined to be past due when the minimum payment is not received from the borrower by the contractually specified due date or for certain loans (e.g., residential real estate loans), when a monthly payment is due and unpaid for 30 days or more. Finally, collateral-dependent loans are typically maintained on nonaccrual status.

On the date a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, all interest accrued but not collected is reversed against interest income. In addition, the amortization of deferred amounts is suspended. Interest income on nonaccrual loans may be recognized as cash interest payments are received (i.e., on a cash basis) if the recorded loan balance is deemed fully collectible; however, if there is doubt regarding the ultimate collectibility of the recorded loan balance, all interest cash receipts are applied to reduce the carrying value of the loan (the cost recovery method). For consumer loans, application of this policy typically results in the Firm recognizing interest income on nonaccrual consumer loans on a cash basis.

A loan may be returned to accrual status when repayment is reasonably assured and there has been demonstrated performance under the terms of the loan or, if applicable, the terms of the restructured loan.

As permitted by regulatory guidance, credit card loans are generally exempt from being placed on nonaccrual status; accordingly, interest and fees related to credit card loans continue to accrue until the loan is charged off or paid in full. However, the Firm separately establishes an allowance, which is offset against loans and charged to interest income, for the estimated uncollectible portion of accrued and billed interest and fee income on credit card loans. The allowance is established with a charge to interest income and is reported as an offset to loans.

Allowance for loan losses

The allowance for loan losses represents the estimated probable credit losses inherent in the held-for-investment loan portfolio at the balance sheet date and is recognized on the balance sheet as a contra asset, which brings the recorded investment to the net carrying value. Changes in the allowance for loan losses are recorded in the provision for credit losses on the Firm's Consolidated statements of income. See Note 15 for further information on the Firm's accounting policies for the allowance for loan losses.

Charge-offs

Consumer loans, other than risk-rated business banking, risk-rated auto and PCI loans, are generally charged off or charged down to the net realizable value of the underlying collateral (i.e., fair value less costs to sell), with an offset to the allowance for loan losses, upon reaching specified stages of delinquency in accordance with standards established by the FFIEC. Residential real estate loans, nonmodified credit card loans and scored business banking loans are generally charged off no later than 180 days past due. Auto, student and modified credit card loans are charged off no later than 120 days past due.

Certain consumer loans will be charged off earlier than the FFIEC charge-off standards in certain circumstances as follows:

- A charge-off is recognized when a loan is modified in a TDR if the loan is determined to be collateral-dependent.
- Loans to borrowers who have experienced an event (e.g., bankruptcy) that suggests a loss is either known or highly certain are subject to accelerated charge-off standards. Residential real estate and auto loans are charged off when the loan becomes 60 days past due, or sooner if the loan is determined to be collateraldependent. Credit card, student and scored business banking loans are charged off within 60 days of

receiving notification of the bankruptcy filing or other event.

• Auto loans are written down to net realizable value upon repossession of the automobile and after a redemption period (i.e., the period during which a borrower may cure the loan) has passed.

Other than in certain limited circumstances, the Firm typically does not recognize charge-offs on government-guaranteed loans.

Wholesale loans, risk-rated business banking loans and riskrated auto loans are charged off when it is highly certain that a loss has been realized, including situations where a loan is determined to be both impaired and collateraldependent. The determination of whether to recognize a charge-off includes many factors, including the prioritization of the Firm's claim in bankruptcy, expectations of the workout/restructuring of the loan and valuation of the borrower's equity or the loan collateral.

When a loan is charged down to the estimated net realizable value, the determination of the fair value of the collateral depends on the type of collateral (e.g., securities, real estate). In cases where the collateral is in the form of liquid securities, the fair value is based on quoted market prices or broker quotes. For illiquid securities or other financial assets, the fair value of the collateral is estimated using a discounted cash flow model.

For residential real estate loans, collateral values are based upon external valuation sources. When it becomes likely that a borrower is either unable or unwilling to pay, the Firm obtains a broker's price opinion of the home based on an exterior-only valuation ("exterior opinions"), which is then updated at least every six months thereafter. As soon as practicable after the Firm receives the property in satisfaction of a debt (e.g., by taking legal title or physical possession), generally, either through foreclosure or upon the execution of a deed in lieu of foreclosure transaction with the borrower, the Firm obtains an appraisal based on an inspection that includes the interior of the home ("interior appraisals"). Exterior opinions and interior appraisals are discounted based upon the Firm's experience with actual liquidation values as compared with the estimated values provided by exterior opinions and interior appraisals, considering state- and product-specific factors.

For commercial real estate loans, collateral values are generally based on appraisals from internal and external valuation sources. Collateral values are typically updated every six to twelve months, either by obtaining a new appraisal or by performing an internal analysis, in accordance with the Firm's policies. The Firm also considers both borrower- and market-specific factors, which may result in obtaining appraisal updates or broker price opinions at more frequent intervals.

Loans held-for-sale

Held-for-sale loans are measured at the lower of cost or fair value, with valuation changes recorded in noninterest revenue. For consumer loans, the valuation is performed on a portfolio basis. For wholesale loans, the valuation is performed on an individual loan basis.

Interest income on loans held-for-sale is accrued and recognized based on the contractual rate of interest.

Loan origination fees or costs and purchase price discounts or premiums are deferred in a contra loan account until the related loan is sold. The deferred fees and discounts or premiums are an adjustment to the basis of the loan and therefore are included in the periodic determination of the lower of cost or fair value adjustments and/or the gain or loss recognized at the time of sale.

Held-for-sale loans are subject to the nonaccrual policies described above.

Because held-for-sale loans are recognized at the lower of cost or fair value, the Firm's allowance for loan losses and charge-off policies do not apply to these loans.

Loans at fair value

Loans used in a market-making strategy or risk managed on a fair value basis are measured at fair value, with changes in fair value recorded in noninterest revenue.

Interest income on loans is accrued and recognized based on the contractual rate of interest. Changes in fair value are recognized in noninterest revenue. Loan origination fees are recognized upfront in noninterest revenue. Loan origination costs are recognized in the associated expense category as incurred.

Because these loans are recognized at fair value, the Firm's allowance for loan losses and charge-off policies do not apply to these loans.

See Note 4 for further information on the Firm's elections of fair value accounting under the fair value option. See Note 3 and Note 4 for further information on loans carried at fair value and classified as trading assets.

<u>PCI loans</u>

PCI loans held-for-investment are initially measured at fair value. PCI loans have evidence of credit deterioration since the loan's origination date and therefore it is probable, at acquisition, that all contractually required payments will not be collected. Because PCI loans are initially measured at fair value, which includes an estimate of future credit losses, no allowance for loan losses related to PCI loans is recorded at the acquisition date. See page 219 of this Note for information on accounting for PCI loans subsequent to their acquisition.

Loan classification changes

Loans in the held-for-investment portfolio that management decides to sell are transferred to the held-for-sale portfolio at the lower of cost or fair value on the date of transfer. Credit-related losses are charged against the allowance for loan losses; non-credit related losses such as those due to changes in interest rates or foreign currency exchange rates are recognized in noninterest revenue.

In the event that management decides to retain a loan in the held-for-sale portfolio, the loan is transferred to the held-for-investment portfolio at the lower of cost or fair value on the date of transfer. These loans are subsequently assessed for impairment based on the Firm's allowance methodology. For a further discussion of the methodologies used in establishing the Firm's allowance for loan losses, see Note 15.

Loan modifications

The Firm seeks to modify certain loans in conjunction with its loss-mitigation activities. Through the modification, JPMorgan Chase grants one or more concessions to a borrower who is experiencing financial difficulty in order to minimize the Firm's economic loss, avoid foreclosure or repossession of the collateral, and to ultimately maximize payments received by the Firm from the borrower. The concessions granted vary by program and by borrowerspecific characteristics, and may include interest rate reductions, term extensions, payment deferrals, principal forgiveness, or the acceptance of equity or other assets in lieu of payments.

Such modifications are accounted for and reported as TDRs. A loan that has been modified in a TDR is generally considered to be impaired until it matures, is repaid, or is otherwise liquidated, regardless of whether the borrower performs under the modified terms. In certain limited cases, the effective interest rate applicable to the modified loan is at or above the current market rate at the time of the restructuring. In such circumstances, and assuming that the loan subsequently performs under its modified terms and the Firm expects to collect all contractual principal and interest cash flows, the loan is disclosed as impaired and as a TDR only during the year of the modification; in subsequent years, the loan is not disclosed as an impaired loan or as a TDR so long as repayment of the restructured loan under its modified terms is reasonably assured.

Loans, except for credit card loans, modified in a TDR are generally placed on nonaccrual status, although in many cases such loans were already on nonaccrual status prior to modification. These loans may be returned to performing status (the accrual of interest is resumed) if the following criteria are met: (i) the borrower has performed under the modified terms for a minimum of six months and/or six payments, and (ii) the Firm has an expectation that repayment of the modified loan is reasonably assured based on, for example, the borrower's debt capacity and level of future earnings, collateral values, LTV ratios, and other current market considerations. In certain limited and welldefined circumstances in which the loan is current at the modification date, such loans are not placed on nonaccrual status at the time of modification.

Because loans modified in TDRs are considered to be impaired, these loans are measured for impairment using the Firm's established asset-specific allowance methodology, which considers the expected re-default rates for the modified loans. A loan modified in a TDR generally remains subject to the asset-specific allowance methodology throughout its remaining life, regardless of whether the loan is performing and has been returned to accrual status and/or the loan has been removed from the impaired loans disclosures (i.e., loans restructured at market rates). For further discussion of the methodology used to estimate the Firm's asset-specific allowance, see Note 15.

Foreclosed property

The Firm acquires property from borrowers through loan restructurings, workouts, and foreclosures. Property acquired may include real property (e.g., residential real estate, land, and buildings) and commercial and personal property (e.g., automobiles, aircraft, railcars, and ships).

The Firm recognizes foreclosed property upon receiving assets in satisfaction of a loan (e.g., by taking legal title or physical possession). For loans collateralized by real property, the Firm generally recognizes the asset received at foreclosure sale or upon the execution of a deed in lieu of foreclosure transaction with the borrower. Foreclosed assets are reported in other assets on the Consolidated balance sheets and initially recognized at fair value less costs to sell. Each quarter the fair value of the acquired property is reviewed and adjusted, if necessary, to the lower of cost or fair value. Subsequent adjustments to fair value are charged/credited to noninterest revenue. Operating expense, such as real estate taxes and maintenance, are charged to other expense.

Loan portfolio

The Firm's loan portfolio is divided into three portfolio segments, which are the same segments used by the Firm to determine the allowance for loan losses: Consumer, excluding credit card; Credit card; and Wholesale. Within each portfolio segment the Firm monitors and assesses the credit risk in the following classes of loans, based on the risk characteristics of each loan class.

Consumer, excluding credit card ^(a)	Credit card	Wholesale ^(f)
Residential real estate - excluding PCI • Home equity ^(b) • Residential mortgage ^(c) Other consumer loans • Auto ^(d) • Business banking ^{(d)(e)} • Student and other Residential real estate - PCI • Home equity • Prime mortgage • Subprime mortgage • Option ARMs	• Credit card loans	 Commercial and industrial Real estate Financial institutions Government agencies Other^(g)

(a) Includes loans held in CCB, prime mortgage and home equity loans held in AWM and prime mortgage loans held in Corporate.

(b) Includes senior and junior lien home equity loans.

(c) Includes prime (including option ARMs) and subprime loans.

(d) Includes certain business banking and auto dealer risk-rated loans that apply the wholesale methodology for determining the allowance for loan losses; these loans are managed by CCB, and therefore, for consistency in presentation, are included with the other consumer loan classes.

(e) Predominantly includes Business Banking loans as well as deposit overdrafts.

(f) Includes loans held in CIB, CB, AWM and Corporate. Excludes prime mortgage and home equity loans held in AWM and prime mortgage loans held in Corporate. Classes are internally defined and may not align with regulatory definitions.

(g) Includes loans to: individuals; SPEs; holding companies; and private education and civic organizations. For more information on exposures to SPEs, see Note 16.

The following tables summarize the Firm's loan balances by portfolio segment.

December 31, 2016	Consumer, excluding			
(in millions)	credit card	Credit card(a)	Wholesale	Total
Retained	\$ 364,406	\$ 141,711	\$ 383,790	\$ 889,907
Held-for-sale	238	105	2,285	2,628
At fair value	-	-	2,230	2,230
Total	\$ 364,644	\$ 141,816	\$ 388,305	\$ 894,765
December 31, 2015	Consumer, excluding			
(in millions)	credit card	Credit card(a)	Wholesale	Total
Retained	\$ 344,355	\$ 131,387	\$ 357,050	\$ 832,792
Held-for-sale	466	76	1,104	1,646
At fair value	_	_	2,861	2,861
Total	\$ 344,821	\$ 131,463	\$ 361,015	\$ 837,299

(a) Includes billed interest and fees net of an allowance for uncollectible interest and fees.

(b) Loans (other than PCI loans and those for which the fair value option has been elected) are presented net of unearned income, unamortized discounts and premiums, and net deferred loan costs. These amounts were not material as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

The following tables provide information about the carrying value of retained loans purchased, sold and reclassified to heldfor-sale during the periods indicated. These tables exclude loans recorded at fair value. The Firm manages its exposure to credit risk on an ongoing basis. Selling loans is one way that the Firm reduces its credit exposures.

			201	6				
Year ended December 31, (in millions)	Consumer, excluding credit card Credi			W	nolesale	Total		
Purchases	\$ 4,116 (a)(b)	\$	-	\$	1,448	\$	5,564	
Sales	6,368		-		8,739		15,107	
Retained loans reclassified to held-for-sale	321		-		2,381		2,702	

	 2015									
Year ended December 31, (in millions)	er, excluding dit card	Credi	it card	Wh	olesale	Total				
Purchases	\$ 5,279 ^{(a)(b)}	\$	_	\$	2,154	\$	7,433			
Sales	5,099		_		9,188		14,287			
Retained loans reclassified to held-for-sale	1,514		79		642		2,235			

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	ner, excluding edit card	Credi	it card	Wh	olesale	Total		
Purchases	\$ 7,434 ^{(a)(b)}	\$	_	\$	885	\$	8,319	
Sales	6,655		-		7,381		14,036	
Retained loans reclassified to held-for-sale	1,190		3,039		581		4,810	

(a) Purchases predominantly represent the Firm's voluntary repurchase of certain delinquent loans from loan pools as permitted by Government National Mortgage Association ("Ginnie Mae") guidelines. The Firm typically elects to repurchase these delinquent loans as it continues to service them and/or manage the foreclosure process in accordance with applicable requirements of Ginnie Mae, FHA, RHS, and/or VA.

(b) Excludes purchases of retained loans sourced through the correspondent origination channel and underwritten in accordance with the Firm's standards. Such purchases were \$30.4 billion, \$50.3 billion and \$15.1 billion for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The following table provides information about gains and losses, including lower of cost or fair value adjustments, on loan sales by portfolio segment.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2	2016	2015	2014
Net gains/(losses) on sales of loans (including lower of cost or fair value adjustments) ^(a)				
Consumer, excluding credit card	\$	231 \$	305 \$	341
Credit card		(12)	1	(241)
Wholesale		26	34	101
Total net gains on sales of loans (including lower of cost or fair value adjustments)	\$	245 \$	340 \$	201

(a) Excludes sales related to loans accounted for at fair value.

Consumer, excluding credit card, loan portfolio

Consumer loans, excluding credit card loans, consist primarily of residential mortgages, home equity loans and lines of credit, auto loans, business banking loans, and student and other loans, with a focus on serving the prime consumer credit market. The portfolio also includes home equity loans secured by junior liens, prime mortgage loans with an interest-only payment period, and certain paymentoption loans that may result in negative amortization.

The table below provides information about retained consumer loans, excluding credit card, by class.

December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015
Residential real estate - excluding PCI		
Home equity	\$ 39,063	\$ 45,559
Residential mortgage	192,163	166,239
Other consumer loans		
Auto	65,814	60,255
Business banking	22,698	21,208
Student and other	8,989	10,096
Residential real estate - PCI		
Home equity	12,902	14,989
Prime mortgage	7,602	8,893
Subprime mortgage	2,941	3,263
Option ARMs	12,234	13,853
Total retained loans	\$ 364,406	\$ 344,355

Delinquency rates are a primary credit quality indicator for consumer loans. Loans that are more than 30 days past due provide an early warning of borrowers who may be experiencing financial difficulties and/or who may be unable or unwilling to repay the loan. As the loan continues to age, it becomes more clear that the borrower is likely either unable or unwilling to pay. In the case of residential real estate loans, late-stage delinquencies (greater than 150 days past due) are a strong indicator of loans that will ultimately result in a foreclosure or similar liquidation transaction. In addition to delinquency rates, other credit quality indicators for consumer loans vary based on the class of loan, as follows:

 For residential real estate loans, including both non-PCI and PCI portfolios, the current estimated LTV ratio, or the combined LTV ratio in the case of junior lien loans, is an indicator of the potential loss severity in the event of default. Additionally, LTV or combined LTV ratios can provide insight into a borrower's continued willingness to pay, as the delinquency rate of high-LTV loans tends to be greater than that for loans where the borrower has equity in the collateral. The geographic distribution of the loan collateral also provides insight as to the credit quality of the portfolio, as factors such as the regional economy, home price changes and specific events such as natural disasters, will affect credit quality. The borrower's current or "refreshed" FICO score is a secondary credit-quality indicator for certain loans, as FICO scores are an indication of the borrower's credit payment history. Thus, a loan to a borrower with a low FICO score (660 or below) is considered to be of higher risk than a loan to a borrower with a high FICO score. Further, a loan to a borrower with a high LTV ratio and a low FICO score is at greater risk of default than a loan to a borrower that has both a high LTV ratio and a high FICO score.

- For scored auto, scored business banking and student loans, geographic distribution is an indicator of the credit performance of the portfolio. Similar to residential real estate loans, geographic distribution provides insights into the portfolio performance based on regional economic activity and events.
- Risk-rated business banking and auto loans are similar to wholesale loans in that the primary credit quality indicators are the risk rating that is assigned to the loan and whether the loans are considered to be criticized and/or nonaccrual. Risk ratings are reviewed on a regular and ongoing basis by Credit Risk Management and are adjusted as necessary for updated information about borrowers' ability to fulfill their obligations. For further information about risk-rated wholesale loan credit quality indicators, see pages 224-225 of this Note.

Residential real estate - excluding PCI loans

The following table provides information by class for residential real estate – excluding retained PCI loans in the consumer, excluding credit card, portfolio segment.

The following factors should be considered in analyzing certain credit statistics applicable to the Firm's residential real estate – excluding PCI loans portfolio: (i) junior lien home equity loans may be fully charged off when the loan becomes 180 days past due, and the value of the collateral does not support the repayment of the loan, resulting in relatively high charge-off rates for this product class; and (ii) the lengthening of loss-mitigation timelines may result in higher delinquency rates for loans carried at the net realizable value of the collateral that remain on the Firm's Consolidated balance sheets.

Residential real estate - excluding PCI loans

December 31.	Hom	e eq	uity ^(g)	Re	esidentia	l moi	rtgage ^(g)		tal resid ate - ex		
(in millions, except ratios)	2016		2015		2016		2015	2	016		2015
Loan delinquency ^(a)											
Current	\$ 37,943	LŞ	\$ 44,299	\$1	83,819	\$1	56,463	\$22	1,760	\$20	00,762
30-149 days past due	640	5	708		3,824		4,042	4	4,470		4,750
150 or more days past due	470	5	552		4,520		5,734	4	4,996		6,286
Total retained loans	\$ 39,063	3	\$ 45,559	\$1	92,163	\$ 1	66,239	\$23	1,226	\$2:	11,798
% of 30+ days past due to total retained loans ^(b)	2.8	7%	2.77%		0.75%	6	1.03%		1.11%)	1.40%
90 or more days past due and government guaranteed ^(c)	\$-	- 4	\$ -	\$	4,858	\$	6,056	\$ 4	4,858	\$	6,056
Nonaccrual loans	1,84	5	2,191		2,247		2,503	4	4,092		4,694
Current estimated LTV ratios ^{(d)(e)}											
Greater than 125% and refreshed FICO scores:											
Equal to or greater than 660	\$ 70) ;	\$ 165	\$	30	\$	58	\$	100	\$	223
Less than 660	1!	5	32		48		77		63		109
101% to 125% and refreshed FICO scores:											
Equal to or greater than 660	668	3	1,344		135		274		803		1,618
Less than 660	22:	L	434		177		291		398		725
80% to 100% and refreshed FICO scores:											
Equal to or greater than 660	2,963	L	4,537		4,026		3,159	(5,987		7,696
Less than 660	94	5	1,409		718		996	:	1,663		2,405
Less than 80% and refreshed FICO scores:											
Equal to or greater than 660	27,31	7	29,648	1	69,579	1	42,241	190	5,896	17	71,889
Less than 660	4,380)	4,934		6,759		6,797	1	1,139		11,731
No FICO/LTV available	2,480	5	3,056		1,327		1,658	2	3,813		4,714
U.S. government-guaranteed	-	-	-		9,364		10,688	9	9,364		10,688
Total retained loans	\$ 39,063	3	\$ 45,559	\$1	92,163	\$ 1	.66,239	\$23	1,226	\$2:	11,798
Geographic region											
California	\$ 7,644	1	\$ 8,945	\$	59,785	\$	47,263	\$ 6	7,429	\$!	56,208
New York	7,978	3	9,147		24,813		21,462	32	2,791	3	30,609
Illinois	2,94	7	3,420		13,115		11,524	10	5,062		14,944
Texas	2,22	5	2,532		10,717		9,128	17	2,942		11,660
Florida	2,13	3	2,409		8,387		7,177	10	0,520		9,586
New Jersey	2,253	3	2,590		6,371		5,567	8	8,624		8,157
Colorado	67	7	807		6,304		5,409	(5,981		6,216
Washington	1,229)	1,451		5,443		4,176	(5,672		5,627
Massachusetts	37:	L	459		5,833		5,340	(5,204		5,799
Arizona	1,772	2	2,143		3,577		3,155	!	5,349		5,298
All other ^(f)	9,834	۱ 	11,656		47,818		46,038	5	7,652	!	57,694
Total retained loans	\$ 39,063	3	\$ 45,559	\$1	92,163	\$1	.66,239	\$23:	1,226	\$2	11,798

(a) Individual delinquency classifications include mortgage loans insured by U.S. government agencies as follows: current included \$2.5 billion and \$2.6 billion; 30-149 days past due included \$3.1 billion and \$3.2 billion; and 150 or more days past due included \$3.8 billion and \$4.9 billion at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

(b) At December 31, 2016 and 2015, residential mortgage loans excluded mortgage loans insured by U.S. government agencies of \$6.9 billion and \$8.1 billion, respectively, that are 30 or more days past due. These amounts have been excluded based upon the government guarantee.

(c) These balances, which are 90 days or more past due, were excluded from nonaccrual loans as the loans are guaranteed by U.S government agencies. Typically the principal balance of the loans is insured and interest is guaranteed at a specified reimbursement rate subject to meeting agreed-upon servicing guidelines. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, these balances included \$2.2 billion and \$3.4 billion, respectively, of loans that are no longer accruing interest based on the agreed-upon servicing guidelines. For the remaining balance, interest is being accrued at the guaranteed reimbursement rate. There were no loans that were not guaranteed by U.S. government agencies that are 90 or more days past due and still accruing interest at December 31, 2016 and 2015.

(d) Represents the aggregate unpaid principal balance of loans divided by the estimated current property value. Current property values are estimated, at a minimum, quarterly, based on home valuation models using nationally recognized home price index valuation estimates incorporating actual data to the extent available and forecasted data where actual data is not available. These property values do not represent actual appraised loan level collateral values; as such, the resulting ratios are necessarily imprecise and should be viewed as estimates. Current estimated combined LTV for junior lien home equity loans considers all available lien positions, as well as unused lines, related to the property.

(e) Refreshed FICO scores represent each borrower's most recent credit score, which is obtained by the Firm on at least a quarterly basis.

(f) At December 31, 2016 and 2015, included mortgage loans insured by U.S. government agencies of \$9.4 billion and \$10.7 billion, respectively.

(g) Includes residential real estate loans to private banking clients in AWM, for which the primary credit quality indicators are the borrower's financial position and LTV.

The following table represents the Firm's delinquency statistics for junior lien home equity loans and lines as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

	Total loa	ns	Total 30+ day delinquency rate			
December 31, (in millions except ratios)	2016	2015	2016	2015		
HELOCs: ^(a)						
Within the revolving period ^(b)	\$ 10,304 \$	17,050	1.27%	1.57%		
Beyond the revolving period	13,272	11,252	3.05	3.10		
HELOANS	1,861	2,409	2.85	3.03		
Total	\$ 25,437 \$	30,711	2.32%	2.25%		

(a) These HELOCs are predominantly revolving loans for a 10-year period, after which time the HELOC converts to a loan with a 20-year amortization period, but also include HELOCs that allow interest-only payments beyond the revolving period.

(b) The Firm manages the risk of HELOCs during their revolving period by closing or reducing the undrawn line to the extent permitted by law when borrowers are experiencing financial difficulty or when the collateral does not support the loan amount.

HELOCs beyond the revolving period and HELOANs have higher delinquency rates than HELOCs within the revolving period. That is primarily because the fully-amortizing payment that is generally required for those products is higher than the minimum payment options available for HELOCs within the revolving period. The higher delinquency rates associated with amortizing HELOCs and HELOANs are factored into the Firm's allowance for loan losses.

Impaired loans

The table below sets forth information about the Firm's residential real estate impaired loans, excluding PCI loans. These loans are considered to be impaired as they have been modified in a TDR. All impaired loans are evaluated for an asset-specific allowance as described in Note 15.

December 31.	Home equ	ity	T	Total residential real estate - excluding PCI					
(in millions)	2016	2015	2016		2015		2016		2015
Impaired loans									
With an allowance	\$ 1,266 \$	1,293	\$	4,689	\$	5,243	\$	5,955 \$	6,536
Without an allowance ^(a)	998	1,065		1,343		1,447		2,341	2,512
Total impaired loans ^{(b)(c)}	\$ 2,264 \$	2,358	\$	6,032	\$	6,690	\$	8,296 \$	9,048
Allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans	\$ 121 \$	138	\$	68	\$	108	\$	189 \$	246
Unpaid principal balance of impaired loans ^(d)	3,847	3,960		8,285		9,082		12,132	13,042
Impaired loans on nonaccrual status ^(e)	1,116	1,220		1,755		1,957		2,871	3,177

(a) Represents collateral-dependent residential real estate loans that are charged off to the fair value of the underlying collateral less cost to sell. The Firm reports, in accordance with regulatory guidance, residential real estate loans that have been discharged under Chapter 7 bankruptcy and not reaffirmed by the borrower ("Chapter 7 loans") as collateral-dependent nonaccrual TDRs, regardless of their delinquency status. At December 31, 2016, Chapter 7 residential real estate loans included approximately 12% home equity and 16% of residential mortgages that were 30 days or more past due.

(b) At December 31, 2016 and 2015, \$3.4 billion and \$3.8 billion, respectively, of loans modified subsequent to repurchase from Ginnie Mae in accordance with the standards of the appropriate government agency (i.e., FHA, VA, RHS) are not included in the table above. When such loans perform subsequent to modification in accordance with Ginnie Mae guidelines, they are generally sold back into Ginnie Mae loan pools. Modified loans that do not re-perform become subject to foreclosure.

(c) Predominantly all residential real estate impaired loans, excluding PCI loans, are in the U.S.

(d) Represents the contractual amount of principal owed at December 31, 2016 and 2015. The unpaid principal balance differs from the impaired loan balances due to various factors including charge-offs, net deferred loan fees or costs, and unamortized discounts or premiums on purchased loans.

(e) As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, nonaccrual loans included \$2.3 billion and \$2.5 billion, respectively, of TDRs for which the borrowers were less than 90 days past due. For additional information about loans modified in a TDR that are on nonaccrual status refer, to the Loan accounting framework on pages 208-210 of this Note.

The following table presents average impaired loans and the related interest income reported by the Firm.

Year ended December 31,	Average impaired loans							st income ired loans ⁽	Interest income on impaired loans on a cash basis ^(a)								
(in millions)	2016		2015		2014		2016	2015		015 2014		2016		2015		2014	
Home equity	\$ 2,311	\$	2,369	\$	2,435	\$	125	\$	128	\$	137	\$	80	\$	85	\$	90
Residential mortgage	6,376		7,697		10,174		305		348		444		77		87		105
Total residential real estate - excluding PCI	\$ 8,687	\$	10,066	\$	12,609	\$	430	\$	476	\$	581	\$	157	\$	172	\$	195

(a) Generally, interest income on loans modified in TDRs is recognized on a cash basis until such time as the borrower has made a minimum of six payments under the new terms, unless the loan is deemed to be collateral-dependent.

Loan modifications

Modifications of residential real estate loans, excluding PCI loans, are generally accounted for and reported as TDRs. There were no additional commitments to lend to borrowers whose residential real estate loans, excluding PCI loans, have been modified in TDRs.

The following table presents new TDRs reported by the Firm.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Home equity	\$ 385	\$ 401	\$ 321
Residential mortgage	254	267	411
Total residential real estate - excluding PCI	\$ 639	\$ 668	\$ 732

Nature and extent of modifications

The U.S. Treasury's Making Home Affordable programs, as well as the Firm's proprietary modification programs, generally provide various concessions to financially troubled borrowers including, but not limited to, interest rate reductions, term or payment extensions and deferral of principal and/or interest payments that would otherwise have been required under the terms of the original agreement.

The following table provides information about how residential real estate loans, excluding PCI loans, were modified under the Firm's loss mitigation programs described above during the periods presented. This table excludes Chapter 7 loans where the sole concession granted is the discharge of debt.

	н	Home equity			ential mortga	ge	Total residential real estate - excluding PCI			
Year ended December 31,	2016	2015	2014	2016	2015	2014	2016	2015	2014	
Number of loans approved for a trial modification	3,760	3,933	1,565	1,945	2,711	3,108	5,705	6,644	4,673	
Number of loans permanently modified	4,824	4,296	3,984	3,338	3,145	5,648	8,162	7,441	9,632	
Concession granted: ^(a)										
Interest rate reduction	75%	66%	75%	76%	71%	45%	76%	68%	58%	
Term or payment extension	83	89	78	90	81	52	86	86	63	
Principal and/or interest deferred	19	23	21	16	27	15	18	24	18	
Principal forgiveness	9	7	26	26	28	52	16	16	41	
Other ^(b)	6	-	-	25	11	10	14	5	6	

(a) Represents concessions granted in permanent modifications as a percentage of the number of loans permanently modified. The sum of the percentages exceeds 100% because predominantly all of the modifications include more than one type of concession. A significant portion of trial modifications include interest rate reductions and/or term or payment extensions.

(b) Represents variable interest rate to fixed interest rate modifications.

Financial effects of modifications and redefaults

The following table provides information about the financial effects of the various concessions granted in modifications of residential real estate loans, excluding PCI, under the loss mitigation programs described above and about redefaults of certain loans modified in TDRs for the periods presented. Because the specific types and amounts of concessions offered to borrowers frequently change between the trial modification and the permanent modification, the following table presents only the financial effects of permanent modifications. This table also excludes Chapter 7 loans where the sole concession granted is the discharge of debt.

Year ended December 31,	Home equity				Residential mortgage					Total residential real estate - excluding PCI							
(in millions, except weighted-average data and number of loans)	20)16		2015		2014		2016		2015		2014	2016		2015		2014
Weighted-average interest rate of loans with interest rate reductions - before TDR		4.99%	5	5.20%	б	5.27%		5.59%	Ď	5.67%)	5.74%	5.36%)	5.51%)	5.61%
Weighted-average interest rate of loans with interest rate reductions - after TDR		2.34		2.35		2.30		2.93		2.79		2.96	2.70		2.64		2.78
Weighted-average remaining contractual term (in years) of loans with term or payment extensions - before TDR		18		18		19		24		25		24	22		22		23
Weighted-average remaining contractual term (in years) of loans with term or payment extensions - after TDR		38		35		33		38		37		36	38		36		36
Charge-offs recognized upon permanent modification	\$	1	\$	4	\$	27	\$	4	\$	11	\$	12	\$ 5	\$	15	\$	39
Principal deferred		23		27		16		30		58		58	53		85		74
Principal forgiven		7		6		35		44		66		172	51		72		207
Balance of loans that redefaulted within one year of permanent modification ^(a)	\$	40	\$	21	\$	29	\$	98	\$	133	\$	214	\$ 138	\$	154	\$	243

(a) Represents loans permanently modified in TDRs that experienced a payment default in the periods presented, and for which the payment default occurred within one year of the modification. The dollar amounts presented represent the balance of such loans at the end of the reporting period in which such loans defaulted. For residential real estate loans modified in TDRs, payment default is deemed to occur when the loan becomes two contractual payments past due. In the event that a modified loan redefaults, it is probable that the loan will ultimately be liquidated through foreclosure or another similar type of liquidation transaction. Redefaults of loans modified within the last 12 months may not be representative of ultimate redefault levels.

At December 31, 2016, the weighted-average estimated remaining lives of residential real estate loans, excluding PCI loans, permanently modified in TDRs were 9 years for home equity and 10 years for residential mortgage. The estimated remaining lives of these loans reflect estimated prepayments, both voluntary and involuntary (i.e., foreclosures and other forced liquidations).

Active and suspended foreclosure

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Firm had non-PCI residential real estate loans, excluding those insured by U.S. government agencies, with a carrying value of \$932 million and \$1.2 billion, respectively, that were not included in REO, but were in the process of active or suspended foreclosure.

Other consumer loans

The table below provides information for other consumer retained loan classes, including auto, business banking and student loans.

December 31.	Au	uto	Business	banking	Student	and other	Total other	consumer
(in millions, except ratios)	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015
Loan delinquency ^(a)								
Current	\$65,029	\$ 59,442	\$ 22,312	\$ 20,887	\$ 8,397	\$ 9,405	\$95,738	\$ 89,734
30-119 days past due	773	804	247	215	374	445	1,394	1,464
120 or more days past due	12	9	139	106	218	246	369	361
Total retained loans	\$65,814	\$ 60,255	\$ 22,698	\$ 21,208	\$ 8,989	\$ 10,096	\$97,501	\$ 91,559
% of 30+ days past due to total retained loans	1.19%	1.35%	1.70%	1.51%	1.38% (^{d)} 1.63% ^(d)	1.33% ^(d)	1.42%
90 or more days past due and still accruing $^{(b)}$	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 263	\$ 290	\$ 263	\$ 290
Nonaccrual loans	214	116	286	263	175	242	675	621
Geographic region								
California	\$ 7,975	\$ 7,186	\$ 4,158	\$ 3,530	\$ 935	\$ 1,051	\$13,068	\$ 11,767
Texas	7,041	6,457	2,769	2,622	739	839	10,549	9,918
New York	4,078	3,874	3,510	3,359	1,187	1,224	8,775	8,457
Illinois	3,984	3,678	1,627	1,459	582	679	6,193	5,816
Florida	3,374	2,843	1,068	941	475	516	4,917	4,300
Ohio	2,194	2,340	1,366	1,363	490	559	4,050	4,262
Arizona	2,209	2,033	1,270	1,205	202	236	3,681	3,474
Michigan	1,567	1,550	1,308	1,361	355	415	3,230	3,326
New Jersey	2,031	1,998	546	500	320	366	2,897	2,864
Louisiana	1,814	1,713	961	997	120	134	2,895	2,844
All other	29,547	26,583	4,115	3,871	3,584	4,077	37,246	34,531
Total retained loans	\$65,814	\$ 60,255	\$ 22,698	\$ 21,208	\$ 8,989	\$ 10,096	\$97,501	\$ 91,559
Loans by risk ratings ^(c)								
Noncriticized	\$13,899	\$ 11,277	\$ 16,858	\$ 15,505	NA	NA	\$30,757	\$ 26,782
Criticized performing	201	76	816	815	NA	NA	1,017	891
Criticized nonaccrual	94	-	217	210	NA	NA	311	210

(a) Student loan delinquency classifications included loans insured by U.S. government agencies under the FFELP as follows: current included \$3.3 billion and \$3.8 billion; 30-119 days past due included \$257 million and \$299 million; and 120 or more days past due included \$211 million and \$227 million at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

(b) These amounts represent student loans, insured by U.S. government agencies under the FFELP. These amounts were accruing as reimbursement of insured amounts is proceeding normally.

(c) For risk-rated business banking and auto loans, the primary credit quality indicator is the risk rating of the loan, including whether the loans are considered to be criticized and/or nonaccrual.

(d) December 31, 2016 and 2015, excluded loans 30 days or more past due and still accruing, that are insured by U.S. government agencies under the FFELP, of \$468 million and \$526 million, respectively. These amounts were excluded as reimbursement of insured amounts is proceeding normally.

Other consumer impaired loans and loan modifications

The following table sets forth information about the Firm's other consumer impaired loans, including risk-rated business banking and auto loans that have been placed on nonaccrual status, and loans that have been modified in TDRs.

December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015
Impaired loans		
With an allowance	\$ 614	\$ 527
Without an allowance ^(a)	30	31
Total impaired loans ^{(b)(c)}	\$ 644	\$ 558
Allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans	\$ 119	\$ 118
Unpaid principal balance of impaired loans ^(d)	753	668
Impaired loans on nonaccrual status	508	449

(a) When discounted cash flows, collateral value or market price equals or exceeds the recorded investment in the loan, the loan does not require an allowance. This typically occurs when the impaired loans have been partially charged off and/or there have been interest payments received and applied to the loan balance.

(b) Predominantly all other consumer impaired loans are in the U.S.

(c) Other consumer average impaired loans were \$635 million, \$566 million and \$599 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The related interest income on impaired loans, including those on a cash basis, was not material for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

(d) Represents the contractual amount of principal owed at December 31, 2016 and 2015. The unpaid principal balance differs from the impaired loan balances due to various factors, including charge-offs, interest payments received and applied to the principal balance, net deferred loan fees or costs and unamortized discounts or premiums on purchased loans.

Loan modifications

Certain other consumer loan modifications are considered to be TDRs as they provide various concessions to borrowers who are experiencing financial difficulty. All of these TDRs are reported as impaired loans in the table above.The following table provides information about the Firm's other consumer loans modified in TDRs. New TDRs were not material for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015.

December 31, (in millions)	2	016	2015
Loans modified in TDRs ^{(a)(b)}	\$	362 \$	384
TDRs on nonaccrual status		226	275

(a) The impact of these modifications was not material to the Firm for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015.

(b) Additional commitments to lend to borrowers whose loans have been modified in TDRs as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 were immaterial.

Purchased credit-impaired loans

PCI loans are initially recorded at fair value at acquisition. PCI loans acquired in the same fiscal quarter may be aggregated into one or more pools, provided that the loans have common risk characteristics. A pool is then accounted for as a single asset with a single composite interest rate and an aggregate expectation of cash flows. With respect to the Washington Mutual transaction, all of the consumer PCI loans were aggregated into pools of loans with common risk characteristics.

On a quarterly basis, the Firm estimates the total cash flows (both principal and interest) expected to be collected over the remaining life of each pool. These estimates incorporate assumptions regarding default rates, loss severities, the amounts and timing of prepayments and other factors that reflect then-current market conditions. Probable decreases in expected cash flows (i.e., increased credit losses) trigger the recognition of impairment, which is then measured as the present value of the expected principal loss plus any related forgone interest cash flows, discounted at the pool's effective interest rate. Impairments are recognized through the provision for credit losses and an increase in the allowance for loan losses. Probable and significant increases in expected cash flows (e.g., decreased credit losses, the net benefit of modifications) would first reverse any previously recorded allowance for loan losses with any remaining increases recognized prospectively as a yield adjustment over the remaining estimated lives of the underlying loans. The impacts of (i) prepayments, (ii) changes in variable interest rates, and (iii) any other changes in the timing of expected cash flows are recognized prospectively as adjustments to interest income.

The Firm continues to modify certain PCI loans. The impact of these modifications is incorporated into the Firm's quarterly assessment of whether a probable and significant change in expected cash flows has occurred, and the loans continue to be accounted for and reported as PCI loans. In evaluating the effect of modifications on expected cash flows, the Firm incorporates the effect of any forgone interest and also considers the potential for redefault. The Firm develops product-specific probability of default estimates, which are used to compute expected credit losses. In developing these probabilities of default, the Firm considers the relationship between the credit quality characteristics of the underlying loans and certain assumptions about home prices and unemployment based upon industry-wide data. The Firm also considers its own historical loss experience to-date based on actual redefaulted modified PCI loans.

The excess of cash flows expected to be collected over the carrying value of the underlying loans is referred to as the accretable yield. This amount is not reported on the Firm's Consolidated balance sheets but is accreted into interest income at a level rate of return over the remaining estimated lives of the underlying pools of loans.

If the timing and/or amounts of expected cash flows on PCI loan pools were determined not to be reasonably estimable, no interest would be accreted and the loan pools would be reported as nonaccrual loans; however, since the timing and amounts of expected cash flows for the Firm's PCI consumer loan pools are reasonably estimable, interest is being accreted and the loan pools are being reported as performing loans.

The liquidation of PCI loans, which may include sales of loans, receipt of payment in full from the borrower, or foreclosure, results in removal of the loans from the underlying PCI pool. When the amount of the liquidation proceeds (e.g., cash, real estate), if any, is less than the unpaid principal balance of the loan, the difference is first applied against the PCI pool's nonaccretable difference for principal losses (i.e., the lifetime credit loss estimate established as a purchase accounting adjustment at the acquisition date). When the nonaccretable difference for a particular loan pool has been fully depleted, any excess of the unpaid principal balance of the loan over the liquidation proceeds is written off against the PCI pool's allowance for loan losses. Beginning in 2014, write-offs of PCI loans also include other adjustments, primarily related to interest forgiveness modifications. Because the Firm's PCI loans are accounted for at a pool level, the Firm does not recognize charge-offs of PCI loans when they reach specified stages of delinguency (i.e., unlike non-PCI consumer loans, these loans are not charged off based on FFIEC standards).

The PCI portfolio affects the Firm's results of operations primarily through: (i) contribution to net interest margin; (ii) expense related to defaults and servicing resulting from the liquidation of the loans; and (iii) any provision for loan losses. The PCI loans acquired in the Washington Mutual transaction were funded based on the interest rate characteristics of the loans. For example, variable-rate loans were funded with variable-rate liabilities and fixedrate loans were funded with fixed-rate liabilities with a similar maturity profile. A net spread will be earned on the declining balance of the portfolio, which is estimated as of December 31, 2016, to have a remaining weighted-average life of 8 years.

Residential real estate - PCI loans

The table below sets forth information about the Firm's consumer, excluding credit card, PCI loans.

December 21	Home	equity	Prime n	nortgage	Subprime	e mortgage	Optio	n ARMs	Tot	al PCI
December 31, (in millions, except ratios)	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015
Carrying value ^(a)	\$12,902	\$14,989	\$ 7,602	\$ 8,893	\$ 2,941	\$ 3,263	\$12,234	\$13,853	\$35,679	\$40,998
Related allowance for loan losses ^(b)	1,433	1,708	829	985	_	_	49	49	2,311	2,742
Loan delinquency (based on unpaid principal b	alance)									
Current	\$12,423	\$14,387	\$ 6,840	\$ 7,894	\$ 3,005	\$ 3,232	\$11,074	\$12,370	\$33,342	\$37,883
30-149 days past due	291	322	336	424	361	439	555	711	1,543	1,896
150 or more days past due	478	633	451	601	240	380	917	1,272	2,086	2,886
Total loans	\$13,192	\$15,342	\$ 7,627	\$ 8,919	\$ 3,606	\$ 4,051	\$12,546	\$14,353	\$36,971	\$42,665
% of 30+ days past due to total loans	5.83%	6.22%	10.32%	11.49%	16.67%	6 20.22%	11.73%	6 13.82%	9.82%	6 11.21%
Current estimated LTV ratios (based on unpaid	l principal bal	ance) ^{(c)(d)}								
Greater than 125% and refreshed FICO scores:										
Equal to or greater than 660	\$69	\$ 153	\$6	\$ 10	\$7	\$ 10	\$ 12	\$ 19	\$ 94	\$ 192
Less than 660	39	80	17	28	31	55	18	36	105	199
101% to 125% and refreshed FICO scores:										
Equal to or greater than 660	555	942	52	120	39	77	83	166	729	1,305
Less than 660	256	444	84	152	135	220	144	239	619	1,055
80% to 100% and refreshed FICO scores:										
Equal to or greater than 660	1,860	2,709	442	816	214	331	558	977	3,074	4,833
Less than 660	804	1,136	381	614	439	643	609	1,050	2,233	3,443
Lower than 80% and refreshed FICO scores:										
Equal to or greater than 660	6,676	6,724	3,967	4,243	919	863	6,754	7,073	18,316	18,903
Less than 660	2,183	2,265	2,287	2,438	1,645	1,642	3,783	4,065	9,898	10,410
No FICO/LTV available	750	889	391	498	177	210	585	728	1,903	2,325
Total unpaid principal balance	\$13,192	\$15,342	\$ 7,627	\$ 8,919	\$ 3,606	\$ 4,051	\$12,546	\$14,353	\$36,971	\$42,665
Geographic region (based on unpaid principal	balance)									
California	\$ 7,899	\$ 9,205	\$ 4,396	\$ 5,172	\$ 899	\$ 1,005	\$ 7,128	\$ 8,108	\$20,322	\$23,490
Florida	1,306	1,479	501	586	332	373	1,026	1,183	3,165	3,621
New York	697	788	515	580	363	400	711	813	2,286	2,581
Washington	673	819	167	194	68	81	290	339	1,198	1,433
New Jersey	280	310	210	238	125	139	401	470	1,016	1,157
Illinois	314	358	226	263	178	196	282	333	1,000	1,150
Massachusetts	94	112	173	199	110	125	346	398	723	834
Maryland	64	73	144	159	145	161	267	297	620	690
Arizona	241	281	124	143	68	76	181	203	614	703
Virginia	77	88	142	170	56	62	314	354	589	674
All other	1,547	1,829	1,029	1,215	1,262	1,433	1,600	1,855	5,438	6,332
Total unpaid principal balance	\$13,192	\$15,342	\$ 7,627	\$ 8,919	\$ 3,606	\$ 4,051	\$12,546	\$14,353	\$36,971	\$42,665

(a) Carrying value includes the effect of fair value adjustments that were applied to the consumer PCI portfolio at the date of acquisition.

(b) Management concluded as part of the Firm's regular assessment of the PCI loan pools that it was probable that higher expected credit losses would result in a decrease in expected cash flows. As a result, an allowance for loan losses for impairment of these pools has been recognized.

(c) Represents the aggregate unpaid principal balance of loans divided by the estimated current property value. Current property values are estimated, at a minimum, quarterly, based on home valuation models using nationally recognized home price index valuation estimates incorporating actual data to the extent available and forecasted data where actual data is not available. These property values do not represent actual appraised loan level collateral values; as such, the resulting ratios are necessarily imprecise and should be viewed as estimates. Current estimated combined LTV for junior lien home equity loans considers all available lien positions, as well as unused lines, related to the property.

(d) Refreshed FICO scores represent each borrower's most recent credit score, which is obtained by the Firm on at least a quarterly basis.

Approximately 24% of the PCI home equity portfolio are senior lien loans; the remaining balance are junior lien HELOANs or HELOCs. The following table sets forth delinquency statistics for PCI junior lien home equity loans and lines of credit based on the unpaid principal balance as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

	Tota	l Ioans	Total 30+ day delinquency ra			
December 31,	2016		2016	2015		
(in millions, except ratios)						
HELOCs: ^(a)						
Within the revolving period ^(b)	\$ 2,126	\$ 5,000	3.67%	4.10%		
Beyond the revolving period ^(c)	7,452	6,252	4.03	4.46		
HELOANS	465	582	5.38	5.33		
Total	\$ 10,043	\$ 11,834	4.01%	4.35%		

(a) In general, these HELOCs are revolving loans for a 10-year period, after which time the HELOC converts to an interest-only loan with a balloon payment at the end of the loan's term.

(b) Substantially all undrawn HELOCs within the revolving period have been closed.

(c) Includes loans modified into fixed rate amortizing loans.

The table below sets forth the accretable yield activity for the Firm's PCI consumer loans for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, and represents the Firm's estimate of gross interest income expected to be earned over the remaining life of the PCI loan portfolios. The table excludes the cost to fund the PCI portfolios, and therefore the accretable yield does not represent net interest income expected to be earned on these portfolios.

Year ended December 31,					Total PCI									
(in millions, except ratios)	_	2016			2015		2014							
Beginning balance	\$	\$	13,491	\$	14,592	\$	16,167							
Accretion into interest income			(1,555)		(1,700)		(1,934)							
Changes in interest rates on variable-rate loans			260		279		(174)							
Other changes in expected cash flows ^(a)			(428)		230		533							
Reclassification from nonaccretable difference ^(b)			-		90		-							
Balance at December 31	\$	\$	11,768	\$	13,491	\$	14,592							
Accretable yield percentage			4.35%		4.20%		4.19%							

(a) Other changes in expected cash flows may vary from period to period as the Firm continues to refine its cash flow model, for example cash flows expected to be collected due to the impact of modifications and changes in prepayment assumptions.

(b) Reclassifications from the nonaccretable difference in the year ended December 31, 2015 were driven by continued improvement in home prices and delinquencies, as well as increased granularity in the impairment estimates.

Active and suspended foreclosure

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Firm had PCI residential real estate loans with an unpaid principal balance of \$1.7 billion and \$2.3 billion, respectively, that were not included in REO, but were in the process of active or suspended foreclosure.

Credit card loan portfolio

The credit card portfolio segment includes credit card loans originated and purchased by the Firm. Delinquency rates are the primary credit quality indicator for credit card loans as they provide an early warning that borrowers may be experiencing difficulties (30 days past due); information on those borrowers that have been delinquent for a longer period of time (90 days past due) is also considered. In addition to delinquency rates, the geographic distribution of the loans provides insight as to the credit quality of the portfolio based on the regional economy.

While the borrower's credit score is another general indicator of credit quality, the Firm does not view credit scores as a primary indicator of credit quality because the borrower's credit score tends to be a lagging indicator. However, the distribution of such scores provides a general indicator of credit quality trends within the portfolio. Refreshed FICO score information, which is obtained at least quarterly, for a statistically significant random sample of the credit card portfolio is indicated in the following table; FICO is considered to be the industry benchmark for credit scores.

The Firm generally originates new card accounts to prime consumer borrowers. However, certain cardholders' FICO scores may decrease over time, depending on the performance of the cardholder and changes in credit score technology. The table below sets forth information about the Firm's credit card loans.

As of or for the year ended December 31, (in millions, except ratios)		2016		2015
Net charge-offs	\$	3,442	\$	3,122
% of net charge-offs to retained loans		2.63%		2.51%
Loan delinquency				
Current and less than 30 days past due and still accruing	\$	139,434	\$	129,502
30-89 days past due and still accruing		1,134		941
90 or more days past due and still accruing		1,143		944
Total retained credit card loans	\$	141,711	\$	131,387
Loan delinquency ratios				
% of 30+ days past due to total retained loans		1.61%		1.43%
% of 90+ days past due to total retained loans		0.81		0.72
Credit card loans by geographic region				
California	\$	20,571	\$	18,802
Texas		13,220		11,847
New York		12,249		11,360
Florida		8,585		7,806
Illinois		8,189		7,655
New Jersey		6,271		5,879
Ohio		4,906		4,700
Pennsylvania		4,787		4,533
Michigan		3,741		3,562
Colorado		3,699		3,399
All other		55,493		51,844
Total retained credit card loans	\$	141,711	\$	131,387
Percentage of portfolio based on carrying value with estimated refreshed FICO scores ^(a)				
Equal to or greater than 660		84.4%		84.4%
Less than 660		14.2		13.1
No FICO available		1.4		2.5
(a) The current period percentage of portfolio based	on d	arrving valu	e w	ith

(a) The current period percentage of portfolio based on carrying value with estimated refreshed FICO scores disclosures have been updated to reflect where the FICO score is unavailable. The prior period amounts have been revised to conform with the current presentation.

Credit card impaired loans and loan modifications

The table below sets forth information about the Firm's impaired credit card loans. All of these loans are considered to be impaired as they have been modified in TDRs.

December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015
Impaired credit card loans with an allowance ^{(a)(b)}		
Credit card loans with modified payment terms ^(c)	\$ 1,098 \$	1,286
Modified credit card loans that have reverted to pre-modification payment terms ^(d)	142	179
Total impaired credit card loans ^(e)	\$ 1,240 \$	1,465
Allowance for loan losses related to impaired credit card loans	\$ 358 \$	460

(a) The carrying value and the unpaid principal balance are the same for credit card impaired loans.

(b) There were no impaired loans without an allowance.

(c) Represents credit card loans outstanding to borrowers enrolled in a credit card modification program as of the date presented.

- (d) Represents credit card loans that were modified in TDRs but that have subsequently reverted back to the loans' pre-modification payment terms. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, \$94 million and \$113 million, respectively, of loans have reverted back to the pre-modification payment terms of the loans due to noncompliance with the terms of the modified loans. The remaining \$48 million and \$66 million at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, of these loans are to borrowers who have successfully completed a short-term modification program. The Firm continues to report these loans as TDRs since the borrowers' credit lines remain closed.
- (e) Predominantly all impaired credit card loans are in the U.S.

The following table presents average balances of impaired credit card loans and interest income recognized on those loans.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Average impaired credit card loans	\$ 1,325	\$ 1,710	\$ 2,503
Interest income on impaired credit card loans	63	82	123

Loan modifications

JPMorgan Chase may offer one of a number of loan modification programs to credit card borrowers who are experiencing financial difficulty. Most of the credit card loans have been modified under long-term programs for borrowers who are experiencing financial difficulties. Modifications under long-term programs involve placing the customer on a fixed payment plan, generally for 60 months. The Firm may also offer short-term programs for borrowers who may be in need of temporary relief; however, none are currently being offered. Modifications under all short- and long-term programs typically include reducing the interest rate on the credit card. Substantially all modifications are considered to be TDRs. If the cardholder does not comply with the modified payment terms, then the credit card loan agreement reverts back to its pre-modification payment terms. Assuming that the cardholder does not begin to perform in accordance with those payment terms, the loan continues to age and will ultimately be charged-off in accordance with the Firm's standard charge-off policy. In addition, if a borrower successfully completes a short-term modification program, then the loan reverts back to its pre-modification payment terms. However, in most cases, the Firm does not reinstate the borrower's line of credit.

New enrollments in these loan modification programs for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, were \$636 million, \$638 million and \$807 million, respectively.

Financial effects of modifications and redefaults

The following table provides information about the financial effects of the concessions granted on credit card loans modified in TDRs and redefaults for the periods presented.

	_			_	
Year ended December 31, (in millions, except weighted-average data)		2016	2015		2014
Weighted-average interest rate of loans - before TDR		15.56%	15.08%		14.96%
Weighted-average interest rate of loans - after TDR		4.76	4.40		4.40
Loans that redefaulted within one year of modification ^(a)	\$	79	\$ 85	\$	119

(a) Represents loans modified in TDRs that experienced a payment default in the periods presented, and for which the payment default occurred within one year of the modification. The amounts presented represent the balance of such loans as of the end of the quarter in which they defaulted.

For credit card loans modified in TDRs, payment default is deemed to have occurred when the loans become two payments past due. A substantial portion of these loans is expected to be charged-off in accordance with the Firm's standard charge-off policy. Based on historical experience, the estimated weighted-average default rate for modified credit card loans was expected to be 28.87%, 25.61% and 27.91% as of December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Wholesale loan portfolio

Wholesale loans include loans made to a variety of customers, ranging from large corporate and institutional clients to high-net-worth individuals.

The primary credit quality indicator for wholesale loans is the risk rating assigned to each loan. Risk ratings are used to identify the credit quality of loans and differentiate risk within the portfolio. Risk ratings on loans consider the PD and the LGD. The PD is the likelihood that a loan will default. The LGD is the estimated loss on the loan that would be realized upon the default of the borrower and takes into consideration collateral and structural support for each credit facility.

Management considers several factors to determine an appropriate risk rating, including the obligor's debt capacity and financial flexibility, the level of the obligor's earnings, the amount and sources for repayment, the level and nature of contingencies, management strength, and the industry and geography in which the obligor operates. The Firm's definition of criticized aligns with the banking regulatory definition of criticized exposures, which consist of special mention, substandard and doubtful categories. Risk ratings generally represent ratings profiles similar to those defined by S&P and Moody's. Investment-grade ratings range from "AAA/Aaa" to "BBB-/Baa3." Noninvestment-grade ratings are classified as noncriticized ("BB+/Ba1 and B-/B3") and criticized ("CCC+"/"Caa1 and below"), and the criticized portion is further subdivided into performing and nonaccrual loans, representing management's assessment of the collectibility of principal and interest. Criticized loans have a higher probability of default than noncriticized loans.

Risk ratings are reviewed on a regular and ongoing basis by Credit Risk Management and are adjusted as necessary for updated information affecting the obligor's ability to fulfill its obligations.

As noted above, the risk rating of a loan considers the industry in which the obligor conducts its operations. As part of the overall credit risk management framework, the Firm focuses on the management and diversification of its industry and client exposures, with particular attention paid to industries with actual or potential credit concern. See Note 5 for further detail on industry concentrations. The table below provides information by class of receivable for the retained loans in the Wholesale portfolio segment.

As of or for the year ended December 31,		nercial dustrial	Real	estate		ncial utions	Governme	nt agencies	Oth	ner ^(d)		otal ed Ioans
(in millions, except ratios)	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015
Loans by risk ratings												
Investment grade	\$ 64,949	\$ 62,150	\$ 88,434	\$ 74,330	\$23,562	\$21,786	\$15,935	\$11,363	\$ 97,043	\$ 98,107	\$289,923	\$267,736
Noninvestment grade:												
Noncriticized	47,149	45,632	16,883	17,008	8,317	7,667	439	256	11,772	11,390	84,560	81,953
Criticized performing	6,161	4,542	798	1,251	200	320	6	7	188	253	7,353	6,373
Criticized nonaccrual	1,482	608	200	231	9	10	-	_	263	139	1,954	988
Total noninvestment grade	54,792	50,782	17,881	18,490	8,526	7,997	445	263	12,223	11,782	93,867	89,314
Total retained loans	\$119,741	\$112,932	\$106,315	\$ 92,820	\$ 32,088	\$29,783	\$16,380	\$11,626	\$109,266	\$109,889	\$383,790	\$357,050
% of total criticized to total retained loans	6.38%	4. 56%	0.94%	1.60%	0.65%	1.11%	0.04%	0.06%	0.41%	6 0.36%	2.43%	2.06%
% of nonaccrual loans to total retained loans	1.24	0.54	0.19	0.25	0.03	0.03	-	-	0.24	0.13	0.51	0.28
Loans by geographic distribution ^(a)												
Total non-U.S.	\$ 30,259	\$ 30,063	\$ 3,292	\$ 3,003	\$14,741	\$17,166	\$ 3,726	\$ 1,788	\$ 39,496	\$ 42,031	\$ 91,514	\$ 94,051
Total U.S.	89,482	82,869	103,023	89,817	17,347	12,617	12,654	9,838	69,770	67,858	292,276	262,999
Total retained loans	\$119,741	\$112,932	\$106,315	\$ 92,820	\$ 32,088	\$29,783	\$16,380	\$11,626	\$109,266	\$109,889	\$383,790	\$357,050
Net charge-offs/ (recoveries)	\$ 335	\$ 26	\$ (7)	\$ (14)	\$ (2)	\$ (5)	\$ (1)	\$ (8)	\$ 16	\$ 11	\$ 341	\$ 10
% of net charge-offs/ (recoveries) to end-of-period retained loans	0.28%	0.02%	(0.01)%	6 (0.02)%	(0.01)%	0.02)%	(0.01)%	0.07)%	0.01%	6 0.01%	0.09%	o –%
Loan delinquency ^(b)												
Current and less than 30 days past due and still accruing	\$117,905	\$112,058	\$105,958	\$ 92,381	\$32,036	\$29,713	\$16,269	\$11,565	\$108,350	\$108,734	\$380,518	\$354,451
30-89 days past due and still accruing	268	259	155	193	22	49	107	55	634	988	1,186	1,544
90 or more days past due and still accruing ^(c)	86	7	2	15	21	11	4	6	19	28	132	67
Criticized nonaccrual	1,482	608	200	231	9	10	_	_	263	139	1,954	988
Total retained loans	\$119,741	\$112,932	\$106,315	\$ 92,820	\$ 32,088	\$29,783	\$16,380	\$11,626	\$109,266	\$109,889	\$383,790	\$357,050

(a) The U.S. and non-U.S. distribution is determined based predominantly on the domicile of the borrower.
 (b) The credit quality of wholesale loans is assessed primarily through ongoing review and monitoring of an obligor's ability to meet contractual obligations rather than relying on the past due status, which is generally a lagging indicator of credit quality.
 (c) Represents loans that are considered well-collateralized and therefore still accruing interest.

(d) Other includes individuals, SPEs, holding companies, and private education and civic organizations. For more information on exposures to SPEs, see Note 16.

The following table presents additional information on the real estate class of loans within the Wholesale portfolio for the periods indicated. Exposure consists primarily of secured commercial loans, of which multifamily is the largest segment. Multifamily lending finances acquisition, leasing and construction of apartment buildings, and includes exposure to real estate investment trusts ("REITs"). Other commercial lending largely includes financing for acquisition, leasing and construction, largely for office, retail and industrial real estate, and includes exposure to REITs. Included in real estate loans is \$9.2 billion and \$7.3 billion as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, of construction and development exposure consisting of loans originally purposed for construction and development, general purpose loans for builders, as well as loans for land subdivision and pre-development.

December 31.	 Multi	fami	ly	Other Co	mm	ercial	Total real e	estat	e loans
(in millions, except ratios)	2016		2015	2016		2015	2016		2015
Real estate retained loans	\$ 71,978	\$	64,271	\$ 34,337	\$	28,549	\$ 106,315	\$	92,820
Criticized	539		562	459		920	998		1,482
% of criticized to total real estate retained loans	0.75%	5	0.87%	1.34%)	3.22%	0.94%)	1.60%
Criticized nonaccrual	\$ 57	\$	85	\$ 143	\$	146	\$ 200	\$	231
% of criticized nonaccrual to total real estate retained loans	0.08%	5	0.13%	0.42%)	0.51%	0.19%)	0.25%

Wholesale impaired loans and loan modifications

Wholesale impaired loans consist of loans that have been placed on nonaccrual status and/or that have been modified in a TDR. All impaired loans are evaluated for an asset-specific allowance as described in Note 15.

The table below sets forth information about the Firm's wholesale impaired loans.

December 31.		Comn and in				Real	esta	te		Fina institi					nment ncies			Ot	her		retaiı	Fotal ned I		s	
(in millions)	2	016	2	015	2	016	2	015	20)16	20	015	20	016	20	15	2	2016	2	015	2016		2	015	
Impaired loans																									
With an allowance	\$	1,119	\$	522	\$	125	\$	148	\$	9	\$	10	\$	_	\$	-	\$	187	\$	46	\$ 1,440		\$	726	
Without an allowance ^(a)		414		98		87		106		-		-		-		-		76		94	577			298	
Total impaired loans	\$	1,533	\$	620	\$	212	\$	254	\$	9	\$	10	\$	_	\$	-	\$	263	\$	140	\$ 2,017	(c)	\$	1,024	(c)
Allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans	\$	258	\$	220	\$	18	\$	27	\$	3	\$	3	\$	_	\$	-	\$	63	\$	24	\$ 342		\$	274	
Unpaid principal balance of impaired loans ^(b)		1,754		669		295		363		12		13		_		_		284		164	2,345			1,209	_

(a) When the discounted cash flows, collateral value or market price equals or exceeds the recorded investment in the loan, the loan does not require an allowance. This typically occurs when the impaired loans have been partially charged-off and/or there have been interest payments received and applied to the loan balance.

(b) Represents the contractual amount of principal owed at December 31, 2016 and 2015. The unpaid principal balance differs from the impaired loan balances due to various factors, including charge-offs; interest payments received and applied to the carrying value; net deferred loan fees or costs; and unamortized discount or premiums on purchased loans.

(c) Based upon the domicile of the borrower, largely consists of loans in the U.S.

The following table presents the Firm's average impaired loans for the years ended 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Commercial and industrial	\$ 1,480	\$ 453	\$ 243
Real estate	217	250	297
Financial institutions	13	13	20
Government agencies	-	-	-
Other	213	129	155
Total ^(a)	\$ 1,923	\$ 845	\$ 715

(a) The related interest income on accruing impaired loans and interest income recognized on a cash basis were not material for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014. Certain loan modifications are considered to be TDRs as they provide various concessions to borrowers who are experiencing financial difficulty. All TDRs are reported as impaired loans in the tables above. TDRs were \$733 million and \$208 million as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Note 15 - Allowance for credit losses

JPMorgan Chase's allowance for loan losses covers the consumer, including credit card, portfolio segments (primarily scored) and wholesale (risk-rated) portfolio, and represents management's estimate of probable credit losses inherent in the Firm's retained loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses includes a formula-based component, an asset-specific component, and a component related to PCI loans, as described below. Management also estimates an allowance for wholesale and certain consumer lendingrelated commitments using methodologies similar to those used to estimate the allowance on the underlying loans. During 2016, the Firm did not make any significant changes to the methodologies or policies used to determine its allowance for credit losses; such policies are described in the following paragraphs.

Determining the appropriateness of the allowance is complex and requires judgment by management about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. Subsequent evaluations of the loan portfolio, in light of the factors then prevailing, may result in significant changes in the allowances for loan losses and lending-related commitments in future periods. At least quarterly, the allowance for credit losses is reviewed by the CRO, the CFO and the Controller of the Firm and discussed with the DRPC and the Audit Committee. As of December 31, 2016, JPMorgan Chase deemed the allowance for credit losses to be appropriate (i.e., sufficient to absorb probable credit losses inherent in the portfolio).

Formula-based component

The formula-based component is based on a statistical calculation to provide for incurred credit losses in all consumer loans and performing risk-rated loans, except for any loans restructured in TDRs and PCI loans, which are calculated as a part of the asset-specific and PCI components, respectively, and are discussed later in this Note. See Note 14 for more information on TDRs and PCI loans.

Formula-based component - Consumer loans and certain lending-related commitments

The formula-based allowance for credit losses for the consumer portfolio segments is calculated by applying statistical credit loss factors (estimated PD and loss severities) to the recorded investment balances or loanequivalent amounts of pools of loan exposures with similar risk characteristics over a loss emergence period to arrive at an estimate of incurred credit losses. Estimated loss emergence periods may vary by product and may change over time; management applies judgment in estimating loss emergence periods, using available credit information and trends. In addition, management applies judgment to the statistical loss estimates for each loan portfolio category, using delinquency trends and other risk characteristics to estimate the total incurred credit losses in the portfolio. Management uses additional statistical methods and considers actual portfolio performance, including actual

losses recognized on defaulted loans and collateral valuation trends, to review the appropriateness of the primary statistical loss estimate. The economic impact of potential modifications of residential real estate loans is not included in the statistical calculation because of the uncertainty regarding the type and results of such modifications.

The statistical calculation is then adjusted to take into consideration model imprecision, external factors and current economic events that have occurred but that are not yet reflected in the factors used to derive the statistical calculation; these adjustments are accomplished in part by analyzing the historical loss experience for each major product segment. However, it is difficult to predict whether historical loss experience is indicative of future loss levels. Management applies judgment in making this adjustment, taking into account uncertainties associated with current macroeconomic and political conditions, quality of underwriting standards, borrower behavior, the potential impact of payment recasts within the HELOC portfolio, and other relevant internal and external factors affecting the credit quality of the portfolio. In certain instances, the interrelationships between these factors create further uncertainties. For example, the performance of a HELOC that experiences a payment recast may be affected by both the quality of underwriting standards applied in originating the loan and the general economic conditions in effect at the time of the payment recast. For junior lien products, management considers the delinguency and/or modification status of any senior liens in determining the adjustment. The application of different inputs into the statistical calculation, and the assumptions used by management to adjust the statistical calculation, are subject to management judgment, and emphasizing one input or assumption over another, or considering other inputs or assumptions, could affect the estimate of the allowance for credit losses for the consumer credit portfolio.

Overall, the allowance for credit losses for the consumer portfolio, including credit card, is sensitive to changes in the economic environment (e.g., unemployment rates), delinguency rates, the realizable value of collateral (e.g., housing prices), FICO scores, borrower behavior and other risk factors. While all of these factors are important determinants of overall allowance levels, changes in the various factors may not occur at the same time or at the same rate, or changes may be directionally inconsistent such that improvement in one factor may offset deterioration in the other. In addition, changes in these factors would not necessarily be consistent across all geographies or product types. Finally, it is difficult to predict the extent to which changes in these factors would ultimately affect the frequency of losses, the severity of losses or both.

Formula-based component - Wholesale loans and lendingrelated commitments

The Firm's methodology for determining the allowance for loan losses and the allowance for lending-related commitments involves the early identification of credits that are deteriorating. The formula-based component of the allowance for wholesale loans and lending-related commitments is calculated by applying statistical credit loss factors (estimated PD and LGD) to the recorded investment balances or loan-equivalent amount over a loss emergence period to arrive at an estimate of incurred credit losses.

The Firm assesses the credit quality of its borrower or counterparty and assigns a risk rating. Risk ratings are assigned at origination or acquisition, and if necessary, adjusted for changes in credit quality over the life of the exposure. In assessing the risk rating of a particular loan or lending-related commitment, among the factors considered are the obligor's debt capacity and financial flexibility, the level of the obligor's earnings, the amount and sources for repayment, the level and nature of contingencies, management strength, and the industry and geography in which the obligor operates. These factors are based on an evaluation of historical and current information and involve subjective assessment and interpretation. Determining risk ratings involves significant judgment; emphasizing one factor over another or considering additional factors could affect the risk rating assigned by the Firm.

PD estimates are based on observable external throughthe-cycle data, using credit rating agency default statistics.

An LGD estimate is assigned to each loan or lending-related commitment. The estimate represents the amount of economic loss if the obligor were to default. The type of obligor, quality of collateral, and the seniority of the Firm's lending exposure in the obligor's capital structure affect LGD. LGD estimates are based on the Firm's history of actual credit losses over more than one credit cycle. Changes to the time period used for PD and LGD estimates (for example, point-in-time loss versus longer-term views of the credit cycle) could also affect the allowance for credit losses.

The Firm applies judgment in estimating PD, LGD, loss emergence period and loan-equivalent amounts used in calculating the allowance for credit losses. Wherever possible, the Firm uses independent, verifiable data or the Firm's own historical loss experience in its models for estimating the allowances, but differences in characteristics between the Firm's specific loans or lending-related commitments and those reflected in external and Firmspecific historical data could affect loss estimates. Estimates of PD, LGD, loss emergence period and loanequivalent used are subject to periodic refinement based on any changes to underlying external or Firm-specific historical data. The use of different inputs, estimates or methodologies could change the amount of the allowance for credit losses determined appropriate by the Firm. In addition to the modeled loss estimates applied to wholesale loans and lending-related commitments, management applies its judgment to adjust the modeled loss estimates for wholesale loans, taking into consideration model imprecision, external factors and economic events that have occurred but are not yet reflected in the loss factors. Historical experience of both LGD and PD are considered when estimating these adjustments. Factors related to concentrated and deteriorating industries also are incorporated where relevant. These estimates are based on management's view of uncertainties that relate to current macroeconomic, quality of underwriting standards and other relevant internal and external factors affecting the credit quality of the current portfolio.

Asset-specific component

The asset-specific component of the allowance relates to loans considered to be impaired, which includes loans that have been modified in TDRs as well as risk-rated loans that have been placed on nonaccrual status. To determine the asset-specific component of the allowance, larger loans are evaluated individually, while smaller loans are evaluated as pools using historical loss experience for the respective class of assets. Scored loans (i.e., consumer loans) are pooled by product type, while risk-rated loans (primarily wholesale loans) are segmented by risk rating.

The Firm generally measures the asset-specific allowance as the difference between the recorded investment in the loan and the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected, discounted at the loan's original effective interest rate. Subsequent changes in impairment are reported as an adjustment to the allowance for loan losses. In certain cases, the asset-specific allowance is determined using an observable market price, and the allowance is measured as the difference between the recorded investment in the loan and the loan's fair value. Impaired collateral-dependent loans are charged down to the fair value of collateral less costs to sell. For any of these impaired loans, the amount of the asset-specific allowance required to be recorded, if any, is dependent upon the recorded investment in the loan (including prior charge-offs), expected cash flows and/or fair value of assets. See Note 14 for more information about charge-offs and collateral-dependent loans.

The asset-specific component of the allowance for impaired loans that have been modified in TDRs incorporates the effects of forgone interest, if any, in the present value calculation and also incorporates the effect of the modification on the loan's expected cash flows, which considers the potential for redefault. For residential real estate loans modified in TDRs, the Firm develops productspecific probability of default estimates, which are applied at a loan level to compute expected losses. In developing these probabilities of default, the Firm considers the relationship between the credit quality characteristics of the underlying loans and certain assumptions about home prices and unemployment, based upon industry-wide data. The Firm also considers its own historical loss experience to date based on actual redefaulted modified loans. For credit card loans modified in TDRs, expected losses incorporate projected redefaults based on the Firm's historical experience by type of modification program. For wholesale loans modified in TDRs, expected losses incorporate management's expectation of the borrower's ability to repay under the modified terms.

Estimating the timing and amounts of future cash flows is highly judgmental as these cash flow projections rely upon estimates such as loss severities, asset valuations, default rates (including redefault rates on modified loans), the amounts and timing of interest or principal payments (including any expected prepayments) or other factors that are reflective of current and expected market conditions. These estimates are, in turn, dependent on factors such as the duration of current overall economic conditions, industry-, portfolio-, or borrower-specific factors, the expected outcome of insolvency proceedings as well as, in certain circumstances, other economic factors, including the level of future home prices. All of these estimates and assumptions require significant management judgment and certain assumptions are highly subjective.

PCI loans

In connection with the Washington Mutual transaction, JPMorgan Chase acquired certain PCI loans, which are accounted for as described in Note 14. The allowance for loan losses for the PCI portfolio is based on quarterly estimates of the amount of principal and interest cash flows expected to be collected over the estimated remaining lives of the loans.

These cash flow projections are based on estimates regarding default rates (including redefault rates on modified loans), loss severities, the amounts and timing of prepayments and other factors that are reflective of current and expected future market conditions. These estimates are dependent on assumptions regarding the level of future home prices, and the duration of current overall economic conditions, among other factors. These estimates and assumptions require significant management judgment and certain assumptions are highly subjective.

Allowance for credit losses and related information

The table below summarizes information about the allowances for loan losses, and lending-relating commitments, and includes a breakdown of loans and lending-related commitments by impairment methodology.

			201	L 6			
Year ended December 31.	onsumer, excluding						
(in millions)	edit card	C	redit card	۷	Vholesale		Total
Allowance for loan losses							
Beginning balance at January 1,	\$ 5,806	\$	3,434	\$	4,315	\$	13,555
Gross charge-offs	1,500		3,799		398		5,697
Gross recoveries	(591)		(357)		(57)		(1,005
Net charge-offs/(recoveries)	909		3,442		341		4,692
Write-offs of PCI loans ^(a)	156		_		-		156
Provision for loan losses	467		4,042		571		5,080
Other	(10)		-		(1)		(11
Ending balance at December 31,	\$ 5,198	\$	4,034	\$	4,544	\$	13,776
Allowance for loan losses by impairment methodology							
Asset-specific ^(b)	\$ 308	\$	358 ^(c)	\$	342	\$	1,008
Formula-based	2,579		3,676		4,202		10,457
PCI	2,311		-		-		2,311
Total allowance for loan losses	\$ 5,198	\$	4,034	\$	4,544	\$	13,776
Loans by impairment methodology							
Asset-specific	\$ 8,940	\$	1,240	\$	2,017	\$	12,197
Formula-based	319,787		140,471		381,770		842,028
PCI	35,679		_		3		35,682
Total retained loans	\$ 364,406	\$	141,711	\$	383,790	\$	889,907
Impaired collateral-dependent loans							
Net charge-offs	\$ 98	\$	_	\$	7	\$	105
Loans measured at fair value of collateral less cost to sell	2,391		-		300		2,691
Allowance for lending-related commitments							
Beginning balance at January 1,	\$ 14	\$	_	\$	772	\$	786
Provision for lending-related commitments	_		_		281		281
Other	12		-		(1)		11
Ending balance at December 31,	\$ 26	\$	_	\$	1,052	\$	1,078
Allowance for lending-related commitments by impairment methodology							
Asset-specific	\$ _	\$	_	\$	169	\$	169
Formula-based	26		_		883		909
Total allowance for lending-related commitments	\$ 26	\$	_	\$	1,052	\$	1,078
Lending-related commitments by impairment methodology							
Asset-specific	\$ _	\$	_	\$	506	\$	506
Formula-based	54,797	•	553,891	•	367,508	•	976,196
Total lending-related commitments	\$ 54,797	\$	553,891	\$	368,014	\$	976,702

(a) Write-offs of PCI loans are recorded against the allowance for loan losses when actual losses for a pool exceed estimated losses that were recorded as purchase accounting adjustments at the time of acquisition. A write-off of a PCI loan is recognized when the underlying loan is removed from a pool (e.g., upon liquidation). During the fourth quarter of 2014, the Firm recorded a \$291 million adjustment to reduce the PCI allowance and the recorded investment in the Firm's PCI loan portfolio, primarily reflecting the cumulative effect of interest forgiveness modifications. This adjustment had no impact to the Firm's Consolidated statements of income.

(b) Includes risk-rated loans that have been placed on nonaccrual status and loans that have been modified in a TDR.

(c) The asset-specific credit card allowance for loan losses is related to loans that have been modified in a TDR; such allowance is calculated based on the loans' original contractual interest rates and does not consider any incremental penalty rates.

(d) Effective January 1, 2015, the Firm no longer includes within its disclosure of wholesale lending-related commitments the unused amount of advised uncommitted lines of credit as it is within the Firm's discretion whether or not to make a loan under these lines, and the Firm's approval is generally required prior to funding. Prior period amounts have been revised to conform with the current period presentation.

				2015							20	14			
e	onsumer, excluding redit card	C	redit card	W	/holesale		Total	e	onsumer, excluding redit card	С	redit card	V	Vholesale		Total
\$	7,050	\$	3,439	\$	3,696	\$	14,185	\$	8,456	\$	3,795	\$	4,013	\$	16,264
	1,658		3,488		95		5,241		2,132		3,831		151		6,114
	(704)		(366)		(85)		(1,155)		(814)		(402)		(139)		(1,355
	954		3,122		10		4,086		1,318		3,429		12		4,759
	208		-		-		208		533		-		-		533
	(82)		3,122		623		3,663		414		3,079		(269)		3,224
	-		(5)		6		1		31		(6)		(36)		(11
\$	5,806	\$	3,434	\$	4,315	\$	13,555	\$	7,050	\$	3,439	\$	3,696	\$	14,185
\$	364	\$	460	^(c) \$	274	\$	1,098	\$	539	\$	500 ^(c)	\$	87	\$	1,126
r	2,700	,	2,974	r	4,041	r	9,715	r	3,186	,	2,939	,	3,609	,	9,734
	2,742				_		2,742		3,325				_		3,325
\$	5,806	\$	3,434	\$	4,315	\$	13,555	\$	7,050	\$	3,439	\$	3,696	\$	14,185
7	5,000	Ŷ	5,151	Ψ	.,010	Ψ	10,000	Ŷ	7,000	Ψ	0,107	Ψ	5,670		1,100
\$	9,606	\$	1,465	\$	1,024	\$	12,095	\$	12,020	\$	2,029	\$	637	\$	14,686
	293,751		129,922		356,022		779,695		236,263		125,998		323,861		686,122
	40,998		-		4		41,002		46,696		-		4		46,700
\$	344,355	\$	131,387	\$	357,050	\$	832,792	\$	294,979	\$	128,027	\$	324,502	\$	747,508
\$	104	\$	_	\$	16	\$	120	\$	133	\$	_	\$	21	\$	154
	2,566		_		283		2,849		3,025		-		326		3,351
¢	12	¢		¢	(00	¢	(22	¢	0	¢		¢	(07	¢	705
\$	13	\$	_	\$	609	\$	622	\$	8	\$	_	\$	697	\$	705
	1		-		163		164		5		_		(90)		(85
#		<i>t</i>		<i>t</i>		<i>d</i>	-	#	-	#		#	2	#	2
\$	14	\$	_	\$	772	\$	786	\$	13	\$	_	\$	609	\$	622
\$	_	\$	-	\$	73	\$	73	\$	_	\$	_	\$	60	\$	60
	14		-		699		713		13		-		549		562
\$	14	\$	_	\$	772	\$	786	\$	13	\$	_	\$	609	\$	622
\$	_	\$	_	\$	193	\$	193	\$	_	\$	_	\$	103	\$	103
r	58,478	r	515,518	7	366,206		940,202	r	58,153	ŕ	525,963	r	366,778 (950,894
\$	58,478	\$	515,518	\$	366,399	\$	940,395	\$	58,153	\$	525,963	\$	366,881	\$	950,997

(table continued from previous page)

Note 16 - Variable interest entities

For a further description of JPMorgan Chase's accounting policies regarding consolidation of VIEs, see Note 1.

The following table summarizes the most significant types of Firm-sponsored VIEs by business segment. The Firm considers a "sponsored" VIE to include any entity where: (1) JPMorgan Chase is the primary beneficiary of the structure; (2) the VIE is used by JPMorgan Chase to securitize Firm assets; (3) the VIE issues financial instruments with the JPMorgan Chase name; or (4) the entity is a JPMorgan Chase-administered asset-backed commercial paper conduit.

Line of Business	Transaction Type	Activity	Annual Report page references
CCD	Credit card securitization trusts	Securitization of both originated and purchased credit card receivables	232
ССВ	Mortgage securitization trusts	Servicing and securitization of both originated and purchased residential mortgages	233-235
	Mortgage and other securitization trusts	Securitization of both originated and purchased residential and commercial mortgages and student loans	233-235
CIB	Multi-seller conduits Investor intermediation activities:	Assist clients in accessing the financial markets in a cost-efficient manner and structures transactions to meet investor needs	235-237
	Municipal bond vehicles		235-236

The Firm's other business segments are also involved with VIEs, but to a lesser extent, as follows:

- Asset & Wealth Management: AWM sponsors and manages certain funds that are deemed VIEs. As asset manager of the funds, AWM earns a fee based on assets managed; the fee varies with each fund's investment objective and is competitively priced. For fund entities that qualify as VIEs, AWM's interests are, in certain cases, considered to be significant variable interests that result in consolidation of the financial results of these entities.
- Commercial Banking: CB makes investments in and provides lending to community development entities that may meet the definition of a VIE. In addition, CB provides financing and lending-related services to certain client-sponsored VIEs. In general, CB does not control the activities of these entities and does not consolidate these entities.
- Corporate: Corporate is involved with entities that may meet the definition of VIEs; however these entities are generally subject to specialized investment company accounting, which does not require the consolidation of investments, including VIEs.

The Firm also invests in and provides financing and other services to VIEs sponsored by third parties, as described on page 237 of this Note.

Significant Firm-sponsored variable interest entities

Credit card securitizations

The Card business securitizes both originated and purchased credit card loans, primarily through the Chase Issuance Trust (the "Trust"). The Firm's continuing involvement in credit card securitizations includes servicing the receivables, retaining an undivided seller's interest in the receivables, retaining certain senior and subordinated securities and maintaining escrow accounts.

The Firm is considered to be the primary beneficiary of these Firm-sponsored credit card securitization trusts based on the Firm's ability to direct the activities of these VIEs through its servicing responsibilities and other duties, including making decisions as to the receivables that are transferred into those trusts and as to any related modifications and workouts. Additionally, the nature and extent of the Firm's other continuing involvement with the trusts, as indicated above, obligates the Firm to absorb losses and gives the Firm the right to receive certain benefits from these VIEs that could potentially be significant. The underlying securitized credit card receivables and other assets of the securitization trusts are available only for payment of the beneficial interests issued by the securitization trusts; they are not available to pay the Firm's other obligations or the claims of the Firm's creditors.

The agreements with the credit card securitization trusts require the Firm to maintain a minimum undivided interest in the credit card trusts (generally 5%). As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Firm held undivided interests in Firmsponsored credit card securitization trusts of \$8.9 billion and \$13.6 billion, respectively. The Firm maintained an average undivided interest in principal receivables owned by those trusts of approximately 16% and 22% for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. As of both December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Firm did not retain any senior securities and retained \$5.3 billion of subordinated securities in certain of its credit card securitization trusts. The Firm's undivided interests in the credit card trusts and securities retained are eliminated in consolidation.

Firm-sponsored mortgage and other securitization trusts

The Firm securitizes (or has securitized) originated and purchased residential mortgages, commercial mortgages and other consumer loans (including student loans) primarily in its CCB and CIB businesses. Depending on the particular transaction, as well as the respective business involved, the Firm may act as the servicer of the loans and/ or retain certain beneficial interests in the securitization trusts.

The following table presents the total unpaid principal amount of assets held in Firm-sponsored private-label securitization entities, including those in which the Firm has continuing involvement, and those that are consolidated by the Firm. Continuing involvement includes servicing the loans, holding senior interests or subordinated interests, recourse or guarantee arrangements, and derivative transactions. In certain instances, the Firm's only continuing involvement is servicing the loans. See Securitization activity on page 238 of this Note for further information regarding the Firm's cash flows with and interests retained in nonconsolidated VIEs, and pages 238-239 of this Note for information on the Firm's loan sales to U.S. government agencies.

	 Princ	ipal a	amount out	tstar	nding	0	e interest in onsolidated		
December 31, 2016 (in millions)	otal assets held by curitization VIEs	ł con	Assets held in solidated uritization VIEs	no S	ssets held in nconsolidated ecuritization VIEs with continuing nvolvement	Trading assets	AFS securities	by .	Total rests held IPMorgan Chase
Securitization-related ^(a)									
Residential mortgage:									
Prime/Alt-A and option ARMs	\$ 76,789	\$	4,209	\$	57,543	\$ 226	\$ 1,334	\$	1,560
Subprime	21,542		-		19,903	76	-		76
Commercial and other ^(b)	101,265		107		71,464	509	2,064		2,573
Total	\$ 199,596	\$	4,316	\$	148,910	\$ 811	\$ 3,398	\$	4,209

	Princ	ipal amount ou	tstar	nding	0	hase interest ir onconsolidatec		
December 31, 2015 (in millions)	tal assets held by uritization VIEs	Assets held in consolidated securitization VIEs	no S	Assets held in Inconsolidated Incorritization VIEs with Continuing Involvement	 Trading assets	AFS securities	by	Total erests held JPMorgan Chase
Securitization-related ^(a)								
Residential mortgage:								
Prime/Alt-A and option ARMs	\$ 85,687	\$ 1,400	\$	66,708	\$ 394	\$ 1,619	\$	2,013
Subprime	24,389	64		22,549	109	-		109
Commercial and other ^(b)	123,474	107		80,319	447	3,451		3,898
Total	\$ 233,550	\$ 1,571	\$	169,576	\$ 950	\$ 5,070	\$	6,020

(a) Excludes U.S. government agency securitizations and re-securitizations, which are not Firm-sponsored. See pages 238-239 of this Note for information on the Firm's loan sales to U.S. government agencies.

(b) Consists of securities backed by commercial loans (predominantly real estate) and non-mortgage-related consumer receivables purchased from third parties.

(c) Excludes the following: retained servicing (see Note 17 for a discussion of MSRs); securities retained from loan sales to U.S. government agencies; interest rate and foreign exchange derivatives primarily used to manage interest rate and foreign exchange risks of securitization entities (See Note 6 for further information on derivatives); senior and subordinated securities of \$180 million and \$49 million, respectively, at December 31, 2016, and \$163 million and \$73 million, respectively, at December 31, 2015, which the Firm purchased in connection with CIB's secondary market-making activities.
 (d) Instructed by the processing of the procesing of the processi

(d) Includes interests held in re-securitization transactions.

(e) As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, 61% and 76%, respectively, of the Firm's retained securitization interests, which are carried at fair value, were riskrated "A" or better, on an S&P-equivalent basis. The retained interests in prime residential mortgages consisted of \$1.5 billion and \$1.9 billion of investment-grade and \$77 million and \$93 million of noninvestment-grade retained interests at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The retained interests in commercial and other securitizations trusts consisted of \$2.4 billion and \$3.7 billion of investment-grade and \$210 million and \$198 million of noninvestment-grade retained interests at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Residential mortgage

The Firm securitizes residential mortgage loans originated by CCB, as well as residential mortgage loans purchased from third parties by either CCB or CIB. CCB generally retains servicing for all residential mortgage loans it originated or purchased, and for certain mortgage loans purchased by CIB. For securitizations of loans serviced by CCB, the Firm has the power to direct the significant activities of the VIE because it is responsible for decisions related to loan modifications and workouts. CCB may also retain an interest upon securitization.

In addition, CIB engages in underwriting and trading activities involving securities issued by Firm-sponsored securitization trusts. As a result, CIB at times retains senior and/or subordinated interests (including residual interests) in residential mortgage securitizations at the time of securitization, and/or reacquires positions in the secondary market in the normal course of business. In certain instances, as a result of the positions retained or reacquired by CIB or held by CCB, when considered together with the servicing arrangements entered into by CCB, the Firm is deemed to be the primary beneficiary of certain securitization trusts. See the table on page 237 of this Note for more information on consolidated residential mortgage securitizations.

The Firm does not consolidate a residential mortgage securitization (Firm-sponsored or third-party-sponsored) when it is not the servicer (and therefore does not have the power to direct the most significant activities of the trust) or does not hold a beneficial interest in the trust that could potentially be significant to the trust. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Firm did not consolidate the assets of certain Firm-sponsored residential mortgage securitization VIEs, in which the Firm had continuing involvement, primarily due to the fact that the Firm did not hold an interest in these trusts that could potentially be significant to the trusts. See the table on page 237 of this Note for more information on the consolidated residential mortgage securitizations, and the table on the previous page of this Note for further information on interests held in nonconsolidated residential mortgage securitizations.

Commercial mortgages and other consumer securitizations CIB originates and securitizes commercial mortgage loans, and engages in underwriting and trading activities involving the securities issued by securitization trusts. CIB may retain unsold senior and/or subordinated interests in commercial mortgage securitizations at the time of securitization but, generally, the Firm does not service commercial loan securitizations. For commercial mortgage securitizations the power to direct the significant activities of the VIE generally is held by the servicer or investors in a specified class of securities ("controlling class"). The Firm generally does not retain an interest in the controlling class in its sponsored commercial mortgage securitization transactions. See the table on page 237 of this Note for more information on the consolidated commercial mortgage securitizations, and the table on the previous

page of this Note for further information on interests held in nonconsolidated securitizations.

The Firm retains servicing responsibilities for certain student loan securitizations. The Firm has the power to direct the activities of these VIEs through these servicing responsibilities. See the table on page 237 of this Note for more information on the consolidated student loan securitizations, and the table on the previous page of this Note for further information on interests held in nonconsolidated securitizations.

Re-securitizations

The Firm engages in certain re-securitization transactions in which debt securities are transferred to a VIE in exchange for new beneficial interests. These transfers occur in connection with both agency (Federal National Mortgage Association ("Fannie Mae"), Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac") and Government National Mortgage Association ("Ginnie Mae")) and nonagency (private-label) sponsored VIEs, which may be backed by either residential or commercial mortgages. The Firm's consolidation analysis is largely dependent on the Firm's role and interest in the re-securitization trusts. During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Firm transferred \$11.2 billion, \$21.9 billion and \$22.7 billion, respectively, of securities to agency VIEs, and \$647 million, \$777 million and \$1.1 billion, respectively, of securities to private-label VIEs.

Most re-securitizations with which the Firm is involved are client-driven transactions in which a specific client or group of clients is seeking a specific return or risk profile. For these transactions, the Firm has concluded that the decision-making power of the entity is shared between the Firm and its clients, considering the joint effort and decisions in establishing the re-securitization trust and its assets, as well as the significant economic interest the client holds in the re-securitization trust; therefore the Firm does not consolidate the re-securitization VIE.

In more limited circumstances, the Firm creates a nonagency re-securitization trust independently and not in conjunction with specific clients. In these circumstances, the Firm is deemed to have the unilateral ability to direct the most significant activities of the re-securitization trust because of the decisions made during the establishment and design of the trust; therefore, the Firm consolidates the re-securitization VIE if the Firm holds an interest that could potentially be significant.

Additionally, the Firm may invest in beneficial interests of third-party re-securitizations and generally purchases these interests in the secondary market. In these circumstances, the Firm does not have the unilateral ability to direct the most significant activities of the re-securitization trust, either because it was not involved in the initial design of the trust, or the Firm is involved with an independent thirdparty sponsor and demonstrates shared power over the creation of the trust; therefore, the Firm does not consolidate the re-securitization VIE. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, total assets (including the notional amount of interest-only securities) of nonconsolidated Firm-sponsored private-label resecuritization entities in which the Firm has continuing involvement were \$875 million and \$2.2 billion, respectively. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Firm held \$2.0 billion and \$4.6 billion, respectively, of interests in nonconsolidated agency re-securitization entities. The Firm's exposure to non-consolidated private-label resecuritization entities as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 was not material. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Firm did not consolidate any agency re-securitizations. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Firm consolidated an insignificant amount of assets and liabilities of Firmsponsored private-label re-securitizations.

Multi-seller conduits

Multi-seller conduit entities are separate bankruptcy remote entities that provide secured financing, collateralized by pools of receivables and other financial assets, to customers of the Firm. The conduits fund their financing facilities through the issuance of highly rated commercial paper. The primary source of repayment of the commercial paper is the cash flows from the pools of assets. In most instances, the assets are structured with dealspecific credit enhancements provided to the conduits by the customers (i.e., sellers) or other third parties. Dealspecific credit enhancements are generally structured to cover a multiple of historical losses expected on the pool of assets, and are typically in the form of overcollateralization provided by the seller. The deal-specific credit enhancements mitigate the Firm's potential losses on its agreements with the conduits.

To ensure timely repayment of the commercial paper, and to provide the conduits with funding to provide financing to customers in the event that the conduits do not obtain funding in the commercial paper market, each asset pool financed by the conduits has a minimum 100% dealspecific liquidity facility associated with it provided by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. also provides the multi-seller conduit vehicles with uncommitted program-wide liquidity facilities and program-wide credit enhancement in the form of standby letters of credit. The amount of program-wide credit enhancement required is based upon commercial paper issuance and approximates 10% of the outstanding balance of commercial paper.

The Firm consolidates its Firm-administered multi-seller conduits, as the Firm has both the power to direct the significant activities of the conduits and a potentially significant economic interest in the conduits. As administrative agent and in its role in structuring transactions, the Firm makes decisions regarding asset types and credit quality, and manages the commercial paper funding needs of the conduits. The Firm's interests that could potentially be significant to the VIEs include the fees received as administrative agent and liquidity and program-wide credit enhancement provider, as well as the potential exposure created by the liquidity and credit enhancement facilities provided to the conduits. See page 237 of this Note for further information on consolidated VIE assets and liabilities.

In the normal course of business, JPMorgan Chase makes markets in and invests in commercial paper issued by the Firm-administered multi-seller conduits. The Firm held \$21.2 billion and \$15.7 billion of the commercial paper issued by the Firm-administered multi-seller conduits at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The Firm's investments reflect the Firm's funding needs and capacity and were not driven by market illiquidity. The Firm is not obligated under any agreement to purchase the commercial paper issued by the Firm-administered multi-seller conduits.

Deal-specific liquidity facilities, program-wide liquidity and credit enhancement provided by the Firm have been eliminated in consolidation. The Firm or the Firmadministered multi-seller conduits provide lending-related commitments to certain clients of the Firm-administered multi-seller conduits. The unfunded commitments were \$7.4 billion and \$5.6 billion at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and are reported as off-balance sheet lending-related commitments. For more information on offbalance sheet lending-related commitments, see Note 29.

VIEs associated with investor intermediation activities

As a financial intermediary, the Firm creates certain types of VIEs and also structures transactions with these VIEs, typically using derivatives, to meet investor needs. The Firm may also provide liquidity and other support. The risks inherent in the derivative instruments or liquidity commitments are managed similarly to other credit, market or liquidity risks to which the Firm is exposed. The principal types of VIEs for which the Firm is engaged in on behalf of clients are municipal bond vehicles.

Municipal bond vehicles

Municipal bond vehicles or tender option bond ("TOB") trusts allow investors to finance their municipal bond investments at short-term rates. In a typical TOB transaction, the trust purchases highly rated municipal bond(s) of a single issuer and funds the purchase by issuing two types of securities: (1) puttable floating-rate certificates ("Floaters") and (2) inverse floating-rate residual interests ("Residuals"). The Floaters are typically purchased by money market funds or other short-term investors and may be tendered, with requisite notice, to the TOB trust. The Residuals are retained by the investor seeking to finance its municipal bond investment. TOB transactions where the Residual is held by a third party investor are typically known as Customer TOB trusts, and Non-Customer TOB trusts are transactions where the Residual is retained by the Firm. The Firm serves as sponsor for all Non-Customer TOB transactions and certain Customer TOB transactions established prior to 2014. The Firm may provide various services to a TOB trust, including remarketing agent, liquidity or tender option provider, and/ or sponsor.

J.P. Morgan Securities LLC may serve as a remarketing agent on the Floaters for TOB trusts. The remarketing agent is responsible for establishing the periodic variable rate on the Floaters, conducting the initial placement and remarketing tendered Floaters. The remarketing agent may, but is not obligated to, make markets in Floaters. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Firm held an insignificant amount of Floaters on its Consolidated balance sheets and did not hold any significant amounts during 2016 and 2015.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. or J.P. Morgan Securities LLC often serves as the sole liquidity or tender option provider for the TOB trusts. The liquidity provider's obligation to perform is conditional and is limited by certain events ("Termination Events"), which include bankruptcy or failure to pay by the municipal bond issuer or credit enhancement provider, an event of taxability on the municipal bonds or the immediate downgrade of the municipal bond to below investment grade. In addition, the liquidity provider's exposure is typically further limited by the high credit quality of the underlying municipal bonds, the excess collateralization in the vehicle, or, in certain transactions, the reimbursement agreements with the Residual holders. Holders of the Floaters may "put," or tender, their Floaters to the TOB trust. If the remarketing agent cannot successfully remarket the Floaters to another investor, the liquidity provider either provides a loan to the TOB trust for the TOB trust's purchase of the Floaters, or it directly purchases the tendered Floaters. In certain Customer TOB transactions, the Firm, as liquidity provider, has entered into a reimbursement agreement with the Residual holder. In those transactions, upon the termination of the vehicle, if the proceeds from the sale of the underlying municipal bonds are not sufficient to repay amounts owed to the Firm, as liquidity or tender option provider, the Firm has recourse to the third party Residual holders for any shortfall. Residual holders with reimbursement agreements are required to post collateral with the Firm to support such reimbursement obligations should the market value of the underlying municipal bonds decline. The Firm does not have any intent to protect Residual holders from potential losses on any of the underlying municipal bonds.

TOB trusts are considered to be variable interest entities. The Firm consolidates Non-Customer TOB trusts because as the Residual holder, the Firm has the right to make decisions that significantly impact the economic performance of the municipal bond vehicle, and it has the right to receive benefits and bear losses that could potentially be significant to the municipal bond vehicle. The Firm does not consolidate Customer TOB trusts, since the Firm does not have the power to make decisions that significantly impact the economic performance of the municipal bond vehicle. Certain non-consolidated Customer TOB trusts are sponsored by a third party, and not the Firm. See page 237 of this Note for further information on consolidated municipal bond vehicles.

The Firm's exposure to nonconsolidated municipal bond VIEs at December 31, 2016 and 2015, including the ratings profile of the VIEs' assets, was as follows.

December 31, (in millions)					ie of asset by VIEs	ts	Liquidity	facili	ities	Exce	ss/(d	deficit) ^(a)		Maximum exposure
Nonconsolidated municipal bond vehicles														
2016			\$		1,0	96	\$		662	\$		434	\$	662
2015					6,9	37			3,794			3,143		3,794
			Rati	<u> </u>	profile of \ grade	/IE a	assets ^(b)				_			Wt. avg.
December 31, (in millions, except where otherwise noted)	 AAA to AAA-	AA	+ to AA-		+ to A-	l	BBB+ to BBB-		Unrat	ed ^(c)		air value assets hel by VIEs		expected life of assets (years)
2016	\$ 264	\$	700	\$	43	\$	24	\$		65	5\$	1,0	96	1.6
2015	1,743		4,631		448		24			91	1\$	6,9	37	4.0

(a) Represents the excess/(deficit) of the fair values of municipal bond assets available to repay the liquidity facilities, if drawn.

(b) The ratings scale is presented on an S&P-equivalent basis.

(c) These security positions have been defeased by the municipality and no longer carry credit ratings, but are backed by high-quality assets such as U.S. treasuries and cash.

VIEs sponsored by third parties

The Firm enters into transactions with VIEs structured by other parties. These include, for example, acting as a derivative counterparty, liquidity provider, investor, underwriter, placement agent, remarketing agent, trustee or custodian. These transactions are conducted at arm'slength, and individual credit decisions are based on the analysis of the specific VIE, taking into consideration the quality of the underlying assets. Where the Firm does not have the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance, or a variable interest that could potentially be significant, the Firm records and reports these positions on its Consolidated balance sheets in the same manner it would record and report positions in respect of any other third-party transaction.

Consolidated VIE assets and liabilities

The following table presents information on assets and liabilities related to VIEs consolidated by the Firm as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

		As	sets	;				Lia	bilities		
December 31, 2016 (in millions)	rading assets	Loans		Other ^(c)	Total assets ^(d)	in	eneficial terests in E assets ^(e)	0	ther ^(f)	li	Total abilities
VIE program type ^(a)											
Firm-sponsored credit card trusts	\$ _	\$ 45,919	\$	790	\$ 46,709	\$	31,181	\$	18	\$	31,199
Firm-administered multi-seller conduits	-	23,760		43	23,803		2,719		33		2,752
Municipal bond vehicles	2,897	-		8	2,905		2,969		2		2,971
Mortgage securitization entities ^(b)	143	4,246		103	4,492		468		313		781
Student loan securitization entities	-	1,689		59	1,748		1,527		4		1,531
Other	145	-		2,318	 2,463		183		120		303
Total	\$ 3,185	\$ 75,614	\$	3,321	\$ 82,120	\$	39,047	\$	490	\$	39,537

		Ass	sets	5				L	iabilities		
December 31, 2015 (in millions)	Trading assets	Loans		Other ^(c)	Total assets ^(d)	in	eneficial terests in E assets ^(e)		Other ^(f)	li	Total abilities
VIE program type ^(a)											
Firm-sponsored credit card trusts	\$ -	\$ 47,358	\$	718	\$ 48,076	\$	27,906	\$	15	\$	27,921
Firm-administered multi-seller conduits	-	24,388		37	24,425		8,724		19		8,743
Municipal bond vehicles	2,686	-		5	2,691		2,597		1		2,598
Mortgage securitization entities ^(b)	840	1,433		27	2,300		777		643		1,420
Student loan securitization entities	-	1,925		62	1,987		1,760		5		1,765
Other	210	-		1,916	2,126		115		126		241
Total	\$ 3,736	\$ 75,104	\$	2,765	\$ 81,605	\$	41,879	\$	809	\$	42,688

(a) Excludes intercompany transactions, which are eliminated in consolidation.

(b) Includes residential and commercial mortgage securitizations as well as re-securitizations.

(c) Includes assets classified as cash, AFS securities, and other assets on the Consolidated balance sheets.

(d) The assets of the consolidated VIEs included in the program types above are used to settle the liabilities of those entities. The difference between total assets and total liabilities recognized for consolidated VIEs represents the Firm's interest in the consolidated VIEs for each program type.

(e) The interest-bearing beneficial interest liabilities issued by consolidated VIEs are classified in the line item on the Consolidated balance sheets titled, "Beneficial interests issued by consolidated variable interest entities." The holders of these beneficial interests do not have recourse to the general credit of JPMorgan Chase. Included in beneficial interests in VIE assets are long-term beneficial interests of \$33.4 billion and \$30.6 billion at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The maturities of the long-term beneficial interests as of December 31, 2016, were as follows: \$11.6 billion under one year, \$19.1 billion between one and five years, and \$2.7 billion over five years.

(f) Includes liabilities classified as accounts payable and other liabilities on the Consolidated balance sheets.

Loan securitizations

The Firm has securitized and sold a variety of loans, including residential mortgage, credit card, student and commercial (primarily related to real estate) loans, as well as debt securities. The purposes of these securitization transactions were to satisfy investor demand and to generate liquidity for the Firm.

For loan securitizations in which the Firm is not required to consolidate the trust, the Firm records the transfer of the loan receivable to the trust as a sale when all of the following accounting criteria for a sale are met: (1) the transferred financial assets are legally isolated from the Firm's creditors; (2) the transferee or beneficial interest holder can pledge or exchange the transferred financial assets; and (3) the Firm does not maintain effective control over the transferred financial assets (e.g., the Firm cannot repurchase the transferred assets before their maturity and it does not have the ability to unilaterally cause the holder to return the transferred assets).

For loan securitizations accounted for as a sale, the Firm recognizes a gain or loss based on the difference between the value of proceeds received (including cash, beneficial interests, or servicing assets received) and the carrying value of the assets sold. Gains and losses on securitizations are reported in noninterest revenue.

Securitization activity

The following table provides information related to the Firm's securitization activities for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, related to assets held in Firm-sponsored securitization entities that were not consolidated by the Firm, and where sale accounting was achieved based on the accounting rules in effect at the time of the securitization.

	2016		 2015		2014					
Year ended December 31, (in millions, except rates)		idential tgage ^{(c)(d)}	mmercial I other ^{(d)(e)}	sidential tgage ^{(c)(d)}		ommercial d other ^{(d)(e)}		esidential ortgage ^{(c)(d)}		mmercial I other ^{(d)(e)}
Principal securitized	\$	1,817	\$ 8,964	\$ 3,008	\$	11,933	\$	2,558	\$	11,911
All cash flows during the period: ^(a)										
Proceeds received from loan sales as cash	\$	-	\$ -	\$ _	\$	-	\$	-	\$	568
Proceeds received from loan sales as securities										
Level 2		1,831	9,092	2,963		11,968		2,384		11,381
Level 3		-	2	59		43		185		130
Total proceeds received from loan sales	\$	1,831	\$ 9,094	\$ 3,022	\$	12,011	\$	2,569	\$	12,079
Servicing fees collected		477	3	528		3		557		4
Purchases of previously transferred financial assets (or the underlying collateral) ^(b)		37	_	3		_		121		_
Cash flows received on interests		482	1,441	407		597		179		578

(a) Excludes re-securitization transactions.

(b) Includes cash paid by the Firm to reacquire assets from off-balance sheet, nonconsolidated entities - for example, loan repurchases due to representation and warranties and servicer "clean-up" calls.

(c) Includes prime/Alt-A, subprime, and option ARMs. Excludes certain loan securitization transactions entered into with Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

(d) Key assumptions used to measure residential mortgage retained interests originated during the year included weighted-average life (in years) of 4.5, 4.2 and 5.9 for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and weighted-average discount rate of 4.2%, 2.9% and 3.4% for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Key assumptions used to measure commercial and other retained interests originated during the year included weighted-average life (in years) of 6.2, 6.2 and 6.5 for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and weighted-average discount rate of 5.8%, 4.1% and 4.8% for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

(e) Includes commercial mortgage and student loan securitizations.

Loans and excess MSRs sold to U.S. governmentsponsored enterprises, loans in securitization transactions pursuant to Ginnie Mae guidelines, and other third-party-sponsored securitization entities

In addition to the amounts reported in the securitization activity tables above, the Firm, in the normal course of business, sells originated and purchased mortgage loans and certain originated excess MSRs on a nonrecourse basis, predominantly to U.S. government sponsored enterprises ("U.S. GSEs"). These loans and excess MSRs are sold primarily for the purpose of securitization by the U.S. GSEs, who provide certain guarantee provisions (e.g., credit enhancement of the loans). The Firm also sells loans into securitization transactions pursuant to Ginnie Mae guidelines; these loans are typically insured or guaranteed by another U.S. government agency. The Firm does not consolidate the securitization vehicles underlying these transactions as it is not the primary beneficiary. For a limited number of loan sales, the Firm is obligated to share a portion of the credit risk associated with the sold loans with the purchaser. See Note 29 for additional information about the Firm's loan sales- and securitization-related indemnifications.

See Note 17 for additional information about the impact of the Firm's sale of certain excess MSRs.

The following table summarizes the activities related to loans sold to the U.S. GSEs, loans in securitization transactions pursuant to Ginnie Mae guidelines, and other third-party-sponsored securitization entities.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016		2016 2015		2014		
Carrying value of loans sold	\$	52,869	\$	42,161	\$	55,802	
Proceeds received from loan sales as cash	\$	592	\$	313	\$	260	
Proceeds from loans sales as securities ^(a)		51,852		41,615		55,117	
Total proceeds received from loan sales ^(b)	\$	52,444	\$	41,928	\$	55,377	
Gains on loan sales ^{(c)(d)}	\$	222	\$	299	\$	316	

(a) Predominantly includes securities from U.S. GSEs and Ginnie Mae that are generally sold shortly after receipt.

(b) Excludes the value of MSRs retained upon the sale of loans.

(c) Gains on loan sales include the value of MSRs.

(d) The carrying value of the loans accounted for at fair value approximated the proceeds received upon loan sale.

Options to repurchase delinquent loans In addition to the Firm's obligation to repurchase certain loans due to material breaches of representations and warranties as discussed in Note 29, the Firm also has the option to repurchase delinguent loans that it services for Ginnie Mae loan pools, as well as for other U.S. government agencies under certain arrangements. The Firm typically elects to repurchase delinguent loans from Ginnie Mae loan pools as it continues to service them and/or manage the foreclosure process in accordance with the applicable requirements, and such loans continue to be insured or guaranteed. When the Firm's repurchase option becomes exercisable, such loans must be reported on the Consolidated balance sheets as a loan with a corresponding liability. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Firm had recorded on its Consolidated balance sheets \$9.6 billion and \$11.1 billion, respectively, of loans that either had been repurchased or for which the Firm had an option to repurchase. Predominantly all of these amounts relate to loans that have been repurchased from Ginnie Mae loan pools. Additionally, at December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Firm had real estate owned of \$142 million and \$343 million, respectively, and certain foreclosed governmentguaranteed residential mortgage loans included in accrued interest and accounts receivable of \$1.0 billion and \$1.1 billion, respectively, resulting from voluntary repurchases of loans. Substantially all of these loans and real estate are insured or guaranteed by U.S. government agencies. For additional information, refer to Note 14.

Loan delinquencies and liquidation losses

The table below includes information about components of nonconsolidated securitized financial assets held in Firm-sponsored private-label securitization entities, in which the Firm has continuing involvement, and delinquencies as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

	Securitiz	Securitized assets		ast due	Liquidation losses		
As of or for the year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	
Securitized loans ^(a)							
Residential mortgage:							
Prime/ Alt-A & option ARMs	\$ 57,543	\$ 66,708	\$ 6,169	\$ 8,325	\$ 1,160 \$	5 1,946	
Subprime	19,903	22,549	4,186	5,448	1,087	1,431	
Commercial and other	71,464	80,319	1,755	1,808	643	375	
Total loans securitized	\$ 148,910	\$ 169,576	\$ 12,110	\$ 15,581	\$ 2,890 \$	\$ 3,752	

(a) Total assets held in securitization-related SPEs were \$199.6 billion and \$233.6 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2016 and 2015. The \$148.9 billion and \$169.6 billion, respectively, of loans securitized at December 31, 2016 and 2015, excludes: \$46.4 billion and \$62.4 billion, respectively, of securitized loans in which the Firm has no continuing involvement, and \$4.3 billion and \$1.6 billion, respectively, of loan securitizations consolidated on the Firm's Consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Note 17 - Goodwill and Mortgage servicing rights Goodwill

Goodwill is recorded upon completion of a business combination as the difference between the purchase price and the fair value of the net assets acquired. Subsequent to initial recognition, goodwill is not amortized but is tested for impairment during the fourth quarter of each fiscal year, or more often if events or circumstances, such as adverse changes in the business climate, indicate there may be impairment.

The goodwill associated with each business combination is allocated to the related reporting units, which are determined based on how the Firm's businesses are managed and how they are reviewed by the Firm's Operating Committee. The following table presents goodwill attributed to the business segments.

December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Consumer & Community Banking	\$ 30,797	\$ 30,769	\$30,941
Corporate & Investment Bank	6,772	6,772	6,780
Commercial Banking	2,861	2,861	2,861
Asset & Wealth Management	6,858	6,923	6,964
Corporate	-	-	101
Total goodwill	\$ 47,288	\$ 47,325	\$47,647

The following table presents changes in the carrying amount of goodwill.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 47,325	\$ 47,647	\$ 48,081
Changes during the period from:			
Business combinations	-	28	43
Dispositions ^(a)	(72)	(160)	(80)
Other ^(b)	35	(190)	(397)
Balance at December 31,	\$ 47,288	\$ 47,325	\$ 47,647

(a) For 2016, represents AWM goodwill, which was disposed of as part of AWM sales completed in March 2016. For 2015 includes \$101 million of Private Equity goodwill, which was disposed of as part of the Private Equity sale completed in January 2015.

(b) Includes foreign currency translation adjustments, other tax-related adjustments, and, for 2014, goodwill impairment associated with the Firm's Private Equity business of \$276 million.

Impairment testing

The Firm's goodwill was not impaired at December 31, 2016 and 2015. Further, except for goodwill related to its heritage Private Equity business of \$276 million, the Firm's goodwill was not impaired at December 31, 2014.

The goodwill impairment test is performed in two steps. In the first step, the current fair value of each reporting unit is compared with its carrying value, including goodwill. If the fair value is in excess of the carrying value (including goodwill), then the reporting unit's goodwill is considered not to be impaired. If the fair value is less than the carrying value (including goodwill), then a second step is performed. In the second step, the implied current fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is determined by comparing the fair value of the reporting unit (as determined in step one) to the fair value of the net assets of the reporting unit, as if the reporting unit were being acquired in a business combination. The resulting implied current fair value of goodwill is then compared with the carrying value of the reporting unit's goodwill. If the carrying value of the goodwill exceeds its implied current fair value, then an impairment charge is recognized for the excess. If the carrying value of goodwill is less than its implied current fair value, then no goodwill impairment is recognized.

The Firm uses the reporting units' allocated equity plus goodwill capital as a proxy for the carrying amounts of equity for the reporting units in the goodwill impairment testing. Reporting unit equity is determined on a similar basis as the allocation of equity to the Firm's lines of business, which takes into consideration the capital the business segment would require if it were operating independently, incorporating sufficient capital to address regulatory capital requirements (including Basel III) and capital levels for similarly rated peers. Proposed line of business equity levels are incorporated into the Firm's annual budget process, which is reviewed by the Firm's Board of Directors. Allocated equity is further reviewed on a periodic basis and updated as needed. The primary method the Firm uses to estimate the fair value of its reporting units is the income approach. This approach projects cash flows for the forecast period and uses the perpetuity growth method to calculate terminal values. These cash flows and terminal values are then discounted using an appropriate discount rate. Projections of cash flows are based on the reporting units' earnings forecasts, which include the estimated effects of regulatory and legislative changes, and which are reviewed with the senior management of the Firm. The discount rate used for each reporting unit represents an estimate of the cost of equity for that reporting unit and is determined considering the Firm's overall estimated cost of equity (estimated using the Capital Asset Pricing Model), as adjusted for the risk characteristics specific to each reporting unit (for example, for higher levels of risk or uncertainty associated with the business or management's forecasts and assumptions). To assess the reasonableness of the discount rates used for each reporting unit management compares the discount rate to the estimated cost of equity for publicly traded institutions with similar businesses and risk characteristics. In addition, the weighted average cost of equity (aggregating the various reporting units) is compared with the Firms' overall estimated cost of equity to ensure reasonableness.

The valuations derived from the discounted cash flow analysis are then compared with market-based trading and transaction multiples for relevant competitors. Trading and transaction comparables are used as general indicators to assess the general reasonableness of the estimated fair values, although precise conclusions generally cannot be drawn due to the differences that naturally exist between the Firm's businesses and competitor institutions. Management also takes into consideration a comparison between the aggregate fair values of the Firm's reporting units and JPMorgan Chase's market capitalization. In evaluating this comparison, management considers several factors, including (i) a control premium that would exist in a market transaction, (ii) factors related to the level of execution risk that would exist at the firmwide level that do not exist at the reporting unit level and (iii) short-term market volatility and other factors that do not directly affect the value of individual reporting units.

Declines in business performance, increases in credit losses, increases in equity capital requirements, as well as deterioration in economic or market conditions, estimates of adverse regulatory or legislative changes or increases in the estimated market cost of equity, could cause the estimated fair values of the Firm's reporting units or their associated goodwill to decline in the future, which could result in a material impairment charge to earnings in a future period related to some portion of the associated goodwill.

Mortgage servicing rights

MSRs represent the fair value of expected future cash flows for performing servicing activities for others. The fair value considers estimated future servicing fees and ancillary revenue, offset by estimated costs to service the loans, and generally declines over time as net servicing cash flows are received, effectively amortizing the MSR asset against contractual servicing and ancillary fee income. MSRs are either purchased from third parties or recognized upon sale or securitization of mortgage loans if servicing is retained.

As permitted by U.S. GAAP, the Firm has elected to account for its MSRs at fair value. The Firm treats its MSRs as a single class of servicing assets based on the availability of market inputs used to measure the fair value of its MSR asset and its treatment of MSRs as one aggregate pool for risk management purposes. The Firm estimates the fair value of MSRs using an option-adjusted spread ("OAS") model, which projects MSR cash flows over multiple interest rate scenarios in conjunction with the Firm's prepayment model, and then discounts these cash flows at risk-adjusted rates. The model considers portfolio characteristics. contractually specified servicing fees, prepayment assumptions, delinquency rates, costs to service, late charges and other ancillary revenue, and other economic factors. The Firm compares fair value estimates and assumptions to observable market data where available, and also considers recent market activity and actual portfolio experience.

The fair value of MSRs is sensitive to changes in interest rates, including their effect on prepayment speeds. MSRs typically decrease in value when interest rates decline because declining interest rates tend to increase prepayments and therefore reduce the expected life of the net servicing cash flows that comprise the MSR asset. Conversely, securities (e.g., mortgage-backed securities), principal-only certificates and certain derivatives (i.e., those for which the Firm receives fixed-rate interest payments) increase in value when interest rates decline. JPMorgan Chase uses combinations of derivatives and securities to manage the risk of changes in the fair value of MSRs. The intent is to offset any interest-rate related changes in the fair value of MSRs with changes in the fair value of the related risk management instruments.

The following table summarizes MSR activity for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

As of or for the year ended December 31, (in millions, except where otherwise noted)	2016	2015	2014
Fair value at beginning of period	\$ 6,608	\$ 7,436	\$ 9,614
MSR activity:			
Originations of MSRs	679	550	757
Purchase of MSRs	_	435	11
Disposition of MSRs ^(a)	(109)	(486)	(209)
Net additions	570	499	559
Changes due to collection/realization of expected cash flows	(919)	(922)	(911)
Changes in valuation due to inputs and assumptions:			
Changes due to market interest rates and other ^(b)	(72)	(160)	(1,608)
Changes in valuation due to other inputs and assumptions:			
Projected cash flows (e.g., cost to service)	(35)	(112)	133
Discount rates	7	(10)	(459) ⁽
Prepayment model changes and other ^(c)	(63)	(123)	108
Total changes in valuation due to other inputs and assumptions	(91)	(245)	(218)
Total changes in valuation due to inputs and assumptions	(163)	(405)	(1,826)
Fair value at December 31,	\$ 6,096	\$ 6,608	\$ 7,436
Change in unrealized gains/(losses) included in income related to MSRs held at December 31,	\$ (163)	\$ (405)	\$ (1,826)
Contractual service fees, late fees and other ancillary fees included in income	2,124	2,533	2,884
Third-party mortgage loans serviced at December 31, (in billions)	593.3	677.0	756.1
Servicer advances, net of an allowance for uncollectible amounts, at December 31, (in billions) ^(d)	4.7	6.5	8.5

(a) Includes excess MSRs transferred to agency-sponsored trusts in exchange for stripped mortgage backed securities ("SMBS"). In each transaction, a portion of the SMBS was acquired by third parties at the transaction date; the Firm acquired the remaining balance of those SMBS as trading securities.

(b) Represents both the impact of changes in estimated future prepayments due to changes in market interest rates, and the difference between actual and expected prepayments.

(c) Represents changes in prepayments other than those attributable to changes in market interest rates.

(d) Represents amounts the Firm pays as the servicer (e.g., scheduled principal and interest, taxes and insurance), which will generally be reimbursed within a short period of time after the advance from future cash flows from the trust or the underlying loans. The Firm's credit risk associated with these servicer advances is minimal because reimbursement of the advances is typically senior to all cash payments to investors. In addition, the Firm maintains the right to stop payment to investors if the collateral is insufficient to cover the advance. However, certain of these servicer advances may not be recoverable if they were not made in accordance with applicable rules and agreements.

(e) For the year ending December 31, 2014, the negative impact was primarily related to higher capital allocated to the Mortgage Servicing business, which, in turn, resulted in an increase in the OAS. The resulting OAS assumption was consistent with capital and return requirements the Firm believed a market participant would consider, taking into account factors such as the operating risk environment and regulatory and economic capital requirements.

The following table presents the components of mortgage fees and related income (including the impact of MSR risk management activities) for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Year ended December 31,			
(in millions)	2016	2015	2014
CCB mortgage fees and related income			
Net production revenue	\$ 853	\$ 769	\$1,190
Net mortgage servicing revenue:			
Operating revenue:			
Loan servicing revenue	2,336	2,776	3,303
Changes in MSR asset fair value due to collection/realization of			
expected cash flows	(916)	(917)	(905)
Total operating revenue	1,420	1,859	2,398
Risk management:			
Changes in MSR asset fair value due to market interest rates and other ^(a)	(72)	(160)	(1,606)
Other changes in MSR asset fair value due to other inputs and assumptions in model ^(b)	(91)	(245)	(218)
Change in derivative fair value and other	380	288	1,796
Total risk management	217	(117)	(28)
Total net mortgage servicing revenue	1,637	1,742	2,370
Total CCB mortgage fees and related income	2,490	2,511	3,560
All other	1	2	3
Mortgage fees and related income	\$2,491	\$ 2,513	\$3,563

(a) Represents both the impact of changes in estimated future prepayments due to changes in market interest rates, and the difference between actual and expected prepayments.

(b) Represents the aggregate impact of changes in model inputs and assumptions such as projected cash flows (e.g., cost to service), discount rates and changes in prepayments other than those attributable to changes in market interest rates (e.g., changes in prepayments due to changes in home prices). The table below outlines the key economic assumptions used to determine the fair value of the Firm's MSRs at December 31, 2016 and 2015, and outlines the sensitivities of those fair values to immediate adverse changes in those assumptions, as defined below.

December 31, (in millions, except rates)	2016	2015
Weighted-average prepayment speed assumption ("CPR")	9.41%	9.81%
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	\$ (231)	\$ (275)
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change	(445)	(529)
Weighted-average option adjusted spread	8.55%	9.54%
Impact on fair value of 100 basis points adverse change	\$ (248)	\$ (258)
Impact on fair value of 200 basis points adverse change	(477)	(498)

CPR: Constant prepayment rate.

The sensitivity analysis in the preceding table is hypothetical and should be used with caution. Changes in fair value based on variation in assumptions generally cannot be easily extrapolated, because the relationship of the change in the assumptions to the change in fair value are often highly interrelated and may not be linear. In this table, the effect that a change in a particular assumption may have on the fair value is calculated without changing any other assumption. In reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another, which would either magnify or counteract the impact of the initial change.

Note 18 - Premises and equipment

Premises and equipment, including leasehold improvements, are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. JPMorgan Chase computes depreciation using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of an asset. For leasehold improvements, the Firm uses the straight-line method computed over the lesser of the remaining term of the leased facility or the estimated useful life of the leased asset.

JPMorgan Chase capitalizes certain costs associated with the acquisition or development of internal-use software. Once the software is ready for its intended use, these costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over the software's expected useful life and reviewed for impairment on an ongoing basis.

Note 19 - Deposits

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, noninterest-bearing and interest-bearing deposits were as follows.

			-
December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	
U.S. offices			-
Noninterest-bearing	\$ 400,831	\$ 392,721	
Interest-bearing (included \$12,245 and \$10,916 at fair value) ^(a)	737,949	663,004	
Total deposits in U.S. offices	1,138,780	1,055,725	
Non-U.S. offices			-
Noninterest-bearing	14,764	14,489	(b)
Interest-bearing (included \$1,667 and \$1,600 at fair value) ^(a)	221,635	209,501	(b)
Total deposits in non-U.S. offices	236,399	223,990	
Total deposits	\$ 1,375,179	\$1,279,715	-

(a) Includes structured notes classified as deposits for which the fair value option has been elected. For further discussion, see Note 4.

(b) Prior periods have been revised to conform with current period presentation.

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, time deposits in denominations of \$250,000 or more were as follows.

December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015
U.S. offices	\$ 26,180	\$ 64,519
Non-U.S. offices	55,249	48,091
Total	\$ 81,429	\$ 112,610

At December 31, 2016, the maturities of interest-bearing time deposits were as follows.

December 31, 2016 (in millions)	u.s.	Non-U.S.	Total
2017	\$ 31,531	\$ 54,846	\$ 86,377
2018	4,433	176	4,609
2019	2,066	68	2,134
2020	2,005	39	2,044
2021	3,988	188	4,176
After 5 years	3,889	-	3,889
Total	\$ 47,912	\$ 55,317	\$ 103,229

Note 20 - Accounts payable and other liabilities

Accounts payable and other liabilities consist of brokerage payables, which includes payables to customers, dealers and clearing organizations, and payables from security purchases that did not settle; income taxes payables; accrued expense, including interest-bearing liabilities; and all other liabilities, including litigation reserves and obligations to return securities received as collateral.

The following table details the components of accounts payable and other liabilities.

December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015
Brokerage payables	\$ 109,842	\$ 107,632
Accounts payable and other liabilities	80,701	70,006
Total	\$ 190,543	\$ 177,638

Note 21 - Long-term debt

JPMorgan Chase issues long-term debt denominated in various currencies, predominantly U.S. dollars, with both fixed and variable interest rates. Included in senior and subordinated debt below are various equity-linked or other indexed instruments, which the Firm has elected to measure at fair value. Changes in fair value are recorded in principal transactions revenue in the Consolidated statements of income. The following table is a summary of long-term debt carrying values (including unamortized premiums and discounts, issuance costs, valuation adjustments and fair value adjustments, where applicable) by remaining contractual maturity as of December 31, 2016.

By remaining maturity at		2016								_	2015			
December 31, (in millions, except rates)			Under 1 year		1-5 years		After 5 years		Total			Total		
Parent company														
Senior debt:	Fixed rate	\$	12,109	\$	57,938	\$	58,920	\$	128,967		\$	117,758		
	Variable rate		11,870		15,497		7,399		34,766			44,178		
	Interest rates ^(a)	0.09-6.40%		0.17-7.25%		0.45-6.40%		0.09-7.25%		0.16-7.25%				
Subordinated debt:	Fixed rate	\$	2,096	\$	152	\$	14,563	\$	16,811		\$	16,250		
	Variable rate	864			372		9				1,047			
	Interest rates ^(a)	0.82-6.13%		1.93-8.53%		3.38-8.00%		0.82-8.53%		1.06-8.53%				
	Subtotal	\$	26,939	\$	73,959	\$	80,891	\$	181,789		\$	179,233		
Subsidiaries														
Federal Home Loan Banks advances:	Fixed rate	\$	5	\$	31	\$	143	\$	179		\$	191		
	Variable rate		11,340		57,000		11,000		79,340			71,390		
	Interest rates ^(a)	0.84-1.01%		0.83-1.21%		0.41-0.67%		0.41-1.21%		0.17-0.72%				
Senior debt:	Fixed rate	\$	339	\$	3,100	\$	4,890	\$	8,329		\$	5,550		
	Variable rate		4,520		11,860		2,999		19,379			20,588		
	Interest rates ^(a)	1	1.29-1.49%		0.00-7.50%		1.30-7.50%		0.00-7.50%		0.47-7.28%			
Subordinated debt:	Fixed rate	\$	3,562	\$	_	\$	322	\$	3,884		\$	6,580		
	Variable rate		_		_		_		_			1,150		
	Interest rates ^(a)		6.00%		-%		8.25%		6.00-8.25%		0	.83-8.25%		
	Subtotal	\$	19,766	\$	71,991	\$	19,354	\$	111,111		\$	105,449		
Junior subordinated debt:	Fixed rate	\$	_	\$	_	\$	706	\$	706		\$	717		
	Variable rate		-		-		1,639		1,639			3,252		
	Interest rates ^(a)		-%		-%		1.39-8.75%		1.39-8.75%		0	.83-8.75%		
	Subtotal	\$	_	\$	_	\$	2,345	\$	2,345		\$	3,969		
Total long-term debt ^{(b)(c)(d)}		\$	46,705	\$	145,950	\$	102,590	\$	295,245	(f)(g)	\$	288,651		
Long-term beneficial interests:	Fixed rate	\$	5,164	\$	12,766	\$	748	\$	18,678		\$	14,199		
	Variable rate		6,438		6,281		1,962		14,681			16,358		
	Interest rates	(0.74-5.23%		0.98-7.87%		0.39-5.94%		0.39-7.87%		0.00-15.94%			
Total long-term beneficial interests ^(e)		\$	11,602	\$	19,047	\$	2,710	\$	33,359		\$	30,557		

(a) The interest rates shown are the range of contractual rates in effect at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, including non-U.S. dollar fixed- and variable-rate issuances, which excludes the effects of the associated derivative instruments used in hedge accounting relationships, if applicable. The use of these derivative instruments modifies the Firm's exposure to the contractual interest rates disclosed in the table above. Including the effects of the hedge accounting derivatives, the range of modified rates in effect at December 31, 2016, for total long-term debt was (0.18)% to 8.88%, versus the contractual range of 0.00% to 8.75% presented in the table above. The interest rate ranges shown exclude structured notes accounted for at fair value.

(b) Included long-term debt of \$82.2 billion and \$76.6 billion secured by assets totaling \$205.6 billion and \$171.6 billion at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The amount of long-term debt secured by assets does not include amounts related to hybrid instruments.

(c) Included \$37.7 billion and \$33.1 billion of long-term debt accounted for at fair value at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

(d) Included \$7.5 billion and \$5.5 billion of outstanding zero-coupon notes at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The aggregate principal amount of these notes at their respective maturities is \$25.1 billion and \$16.2 billion, respectively. The aggregate principal amount reflects the contractual principal payment at maturity, which may exceed the contractual principal payment at the Firm's next call date, if applicable.

(e) Included on the Consolidated balance sheets in beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs. Also included \$120 million and \$787 million accounted for at fair value at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Excluded short-term commercial paper and other short-term beneficial interests of \$5.7 billion and \$11.3 billion at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

(f) At December 31, 2016, long-term debt in the aggregate of \$81.8 billion was redeemable at the option of JPMorgan Chase, in whole or in part, prior to maturity, based on the terms specified in the respective instruments.

(g) The aggregate carrying values of debt that matures in each of the five years subsequent to 2016 is \$46.7 billion in 2017, \$49.4 billion in 2018, \$32.2 billion in 2019, \$33.8 billion in 2020 and \$30.6 billion in 2021.

The weighted-average contractual interest rates for total long-term debt excluding structured notes accounted for at fair value were 2.49% and 2.34% as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. In order to modify exposure to interest rate and currency exchange rate movements, JPMorgan Chase utilizes derivative instruments, primarily interest rate and cross-currency interest rate swaps, in conjunction with some of its debt issues. The use of these instruments modifies the Firm's interest expense on the associated debt. The modified weighted-average interest rates for total long-term debt, including the effects of related derivative instruments, were 2.01% and 1.64% as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

JPMorgan Chase & Co. has guaranteed certain long-term debt of its subsidiaries, including both long-term debt and structured notes. These guarantees rank on parity with the Firm's other unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness. The amount of such guaranteed long-term debt and structured notes was \$3.9 billion and \$152 million at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

The Firm's unsecured debt does not contain requirements that would call for an acceleration of payments, maturities or changes in the structure of the existing debt, provide any limitations on future borrowings or require additional collateral, based on unfavorable changes in the Firm's credit ratings, financial ratios, earnings or stock price.

Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures held by trusts that issued guaranteed capital debt securities At December 31, 2016, the Firm had outstanding eight wholly-owned Delaware statutory business trusts ("issuer trusts") that had issued trust preferred securities.

The junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures issued by the Firm to the issuer trusts, totaling \$2.3 billion and \$4.0 billion at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, were reflected on the Firm's Consolidated balance sheets in long-term debt, and in the table on the preceding page under the caption "Junior subordinated debt." The Firm also records the common capital securities issued by the issuer trusts in other assets in its Consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2016 and 2015. Beginning in 2014, the debentures issued to the issuer trusts by the Firm, less the common capital securities of the issuer trusts, began being phased out from inclusion as Tier 1 capital under Basel III and they were fully phased out as of December 31, 2016. As of December 31, 2015, \$992 million of these debentures qualified as Tier 1 capital. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, \$1.4 billion and \$3.0 billion, respectively, qualified as Tier 2 capital.

The Firm redeemed \$1.6 billion and \$1.5 billion of trust preferred securities in the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Note 22 – Preferred stock

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, JPMorgan Chase was authorized to issue 200 million shares of preferred stock, in one or more series, with a par value of \$1 per share.

In the event of a liquidation or dissolution of the Firm, JPMorgan Chase's preferred stock then outstanding takes precedence over the Firm's common stock with respect to the payment of dividends and the distribution of assets.

The following is a summary of JPMorgan Chase's non-cumulative preferred stock outstanding as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

	Shares at December 31, 2016 and 2015 ^(a)	[rrying value at December 31, 016 and 2015 (in millions)	Issue date	Contractual rate in effect at December 31, 2016	Earliest redemption date	Date at which dividend rate becomes floating	Floating annual rate of three-month LIBOR plus:
Fixed-rate:								
Series O	125,750	\$	1,258	8/27/2012	5.500%	9/1/2017	NA	NA
Series P	90,000		900	2/5/2013	5.450	3/1/2018	NA	NA
Series T	92,500		925	1/30/2014	6.700	3/1/2019	NA	NA
Series W	88,000		880	6/23/2014	6.300	9/1/2019	NA	NA
Series Y	143,000		1,430	2/12/2015	6.125	3/1/2020	NA	NA
Series AA	142,500		1,425	6/4/2015	6.100	9/1/2020	NA	NA
Series BB	115,000		1,150	7/29/2015	6.150	9/1/2020	NA	NA
Fixed-to-floating-rate:								
Series I	600,000		6,000	4/23/2008	7.900%	4/30/2018	4/30/2018	LIBOR + 3.47%
Series Q	150,000		1,500	4/23/2013	5.150	5/1/2023	5/1/2023	LIBOR + 3.25
Series R	150,000		1,500	7/29/2013	6.000	8/1/2023	8/1/2023	LIBOR + 3.30
Series S	200,000		2,000	1/22/2014	6.750	2/1/2024	2/1/2024	LIBOR + 3.78
Series U	100,000		1,000	3/10/2014	6.125	4/30/2024	4/30/2024	LIBOR + 3.33
Series V	250,000		2,500	6/9/2014	5.000	7/1/2019	7/1/2019	LIBOR + 3.32
Series X	160,000		1,600	9/23/2014	6.100	10/1/2024	10/1/2024	LIBOR + 3.33
Series Z	200,000		2,000	4/21/2015	5.300	5/1/2020	5/1/2020	LIBOR + 3.80
Total preferred stock	2,606,750	\$	26,068					

(a) Represented by depositary shares.

Each series of preferred stock has a liquidation value and redemption price per share of \$10,000, plus accrued but unpaid dividends.

Dividends on fixed-rate preferred stock are payable quarterly. Dividends on fixed-to-floating-rate preferred stock are payable semiannually while at a fixed rate, and become payable quarterly after converting to a floating rate.

Redemption rights

Each series of the Firm's preferred stock may be redeemed on any dividend payment date on or after the earliest redemption date for that series. All outstanding preferred stock series except Series I may also be redeemed following a "capital treatment event", as described in the terms of each series. Any redemption of the Firm's preferred stock is subject to non-objection from the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve").

Note 23 - Common stock

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, JPMorgan Chase was authorized to issue 9.0 billion shares of common stock with a par value of \$1 per share.

Common shares issued (newly issued or distributed from treasury) by JPMorgan Chase during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 were as follows.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Total issued - balance at January 1	4,104.9	4,104.9	4,104.9
Treasury - balance at January 1	(441.4)	(390.1)	(348.8)
Purchase of treasury stock	(140.4)	(89.8)	(82.3)
Issued from treasury:			
Employee benefits and compensation plans	26.0	32.8	39.8
Warrant exercise	11.1	4.7	-
Employee stock purchase plans	1.0	1.0	1.2
Total issued from treasury	38.1	38.5	41.0
Total treasury - balance at December 31	(543.7)	(441.4)	(390.1)
Outstanding at December 31	3,561.2	3,663.5	3,714.8

At December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively, the Firm had 24.9 million, 47.4 million and 59.8 million warrants outstanding to purchase shares of common stock (the "Warrants"). The Warrants are currently traded on the New York Stock Exchange, and they are exercisable, in whole or in part, at any time and from time to time until October 28, 2018. The original warrant exercise price was \$42.42 per share. The number of shares issuable upon the exercise of each warrant and the warrant exercise price is subject to adjustment upon the occurrence of certain events, including, but not limited to, the extent to which regular quarterly cash dividends exceed \$0.38 per share. As a result of the Firm's quarterly common stock dividend exceeding \$0.38 per share commencing with the second guarter of 2014, the exercise price of the Warrants has been adjusted each subsequent quarter. As of December 31, 2016 the exercise price was \$42.073 and the Warrant share number was 1.01.

On June 29, 2016, in conjunction with the Federal Reserve's release of its 2016 CCAR results, the Firm's Board of Directors authorized a \$10.6 billion common equity (i.e., common stock and warrants) repurchase program. As of December 31, 2016, \$6.1 billion (on a settlement-date basis) of authorized repurchase capacity remained under the program. This authorization includes shares repurchased to offset issuances under the Firm's equity-based compensation plans.

The following table sets forth the Firm's repurchases of common equity for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, on a settlement-date basis. There were no warrants repurchased during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Total number of shares of common stock repurchased	140.4	89.8	82.3
Aggregate purchase price of common stock repurchases	\$ 9,082	\$ 5,616	\$ 4,760

The Firm may, from time to time, enter into written trading plans under Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to facilitate repurchases in accordance with the common equity repurchase program. A Rule 10b5-1 repurchase plan allows the Firm to repurchase its equity during periods when it would not otherwise be repurchasing common equity – for example, during internal trading "blackout periods." All purchases under a Rule 10b5-1 plan must be made according to a predefined plan established when the Firm is not aware of material nonpublic information. For additional information regarding repurchases of the Firm's equity securities, see Part II, Item 5: Market for registrant's common equity, related stockholder matters and issuer purchases of equity securities, on page 22.

As of December 31, 2016, approximately 154 million shares of common stock were reserved for issuance under various employee incentive, compensation, option and stock purchase plans, director compensation plans, and the Warrants.

Note 24 - Earnings per share

Earnings per share ("EPS") is calculated under the two-class method under which all earnings (distributed and undistributed) are allocated to each class of common stock and participating securities based on their respective rights to receive dividends. JPMorgan Chase grants restricted stock and RSUs to certain employees under its stock-based compensation programs, which entitle recipients to receive nonforfeitable dividends during the vesting period on a basis equivalent to the dividends paid to holders of common stock; these unvested awards meet the definition of participating securities.

The following table presents the calculation of basic and diluted EPS for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Year ended December 31, (in millions, except per share amounts)	2016	2015	2014
Basic earnings per share			
Net income	\$ 24,733	\$ 24,442	\$ 21,745
Less: Preferred stock dividends	1,647	1,515	1,125
Net income applicable to common equity	23,086	22,927	20,620
Less: Dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities	503	521	543
Net income applicable to common stockholders	\$ 22,583	\$ 22,406	\$ 20,077
Total weighted-average basic shares outstanding	3,618.5	3,700.4	3,763.5
Net income per share	\$ 6.24	\$ 6.05	\$ 5.33
Diluted earnings per share			
Net income applicable to common stockholders	\$ 22,583	\$ 22,406	\$ 20,077
Total weighted-average basic shares outstanding	3,618.5	3,700.4	3,763.5
Add: Employee stock options, SARs, warrants and PSUs ^(a)	31.3	32.4	34.0
Total weighted-average diluted shares outstanding ^(b)	3,649.8	3,732.8	3,797.5
Net income per share	\$ 6.19	\$ 6.00	\$ 5.29

(a) Excluded from the computation of diluted EPS (due to the antidilutive effect) were certain options issued under employee benefit plans. The aggregate number of shares issuable upon the exercise of such options was not material for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 and were 1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014.

(b) Participating securities were included in the calculation of diluted EPS using the two-class method, as this computation was more dilutive than the calculation using the treasury stock method.

Note 25 - Accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss)

AOCI includes the after-tax change in unrealized gains and losses on investment securities, foreign currency translation adjustments (including the impact of related derivatives), cash flow hedging activities, and net loss and prior service costs/(credit) related to the Firm's defined benefit pension and OPEB plans.

Effective January 1, 2016, the Firm adopted new accounting guidance related to the recognition and measurement of financial liabilities where the fair value option has been elected. This guidance requires the portion of the total change in fair value caused by changes in the Firm's own credit risk ("DVA") to be presented separately in OCI; previously these amounts were recognized in net income. The guidance was required to be applied as of the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption by means of a cumulative effect adjustment to the Consolidated balance sheets, which resulted in a reclassification from retained earnings to AOCI.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	Unrealized gains/(losses) on investment securities ^(a)	adj	anslation ustments, of hedges	ash flow hedges	l benefit pension l OPEB plans	DVA on fair value option elected liabilities	comp	imulated other rehensive ne/(loss)
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ 2,798	\$	(136)	\$ (139)	\$ (1,324)	NA	\$	1,199
Net change	1,975		(11)	44	(1,018)	NA		990
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$ 4,773	\$	(147)	\$ (95)	\$ (2,342)	NA	\$	2,189
Net change	(2,144)		(15)	51	111	NA		(1,997)
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$ 2,629	\$	(162)	\$ (44)	\$ (2,231)	NA	\$	192
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	-		-	-	-	154		154
Net change	(1,105)		(2)	(56)	(28)	(330)		(1,521)
Balance at December 31, 2016	\$ 1,524	\$	(164)	\$ (100)	\$ (2,259)	(176)	\$	(1,175)

(a) Represents the after-tax difference between the fair value and amortized cost of securities accounted for as AFS, including net unamortized unrealized gains and losses related to AFS securities transferred to HTM.

The following table presents the pre-tax and after-tax changes in the components of OCI.

		2016			2015			2014	
Year ended December 31, (in millions)	Pre-tax	Tax effect	After-tax	Pre-tax	Tax effect	After-tax	Pre-tax	Tax effect	After-tax
Unrealized gains/(losses) on investment securities:									
Net unrealized gains/(losses) arising during the period	\$ (1,628)	\$ 611	\$ (1,017)	\$ (3,315)	\$ 1,297	\$ (2,018)	\$ 3,193	\$ (1,170)	\$ 2,023
Reclassification adjustment for realized (gains)/losses included in net income ^(a)	(141)	53	(88)	(202)	76	(126)	(77)	29	(48)
Net change	(1,769)	664	(1,105)	(3,517)	1,373	(2,144)	3,116	(1,141)	1,975
Translation adjustments:									
Translation ^(b)	(261)	99	(162)	(1,876)	682	(1,194)	(1,638)	588	(1,050)
Hedges ^(b)	262	(102) 160	1,885	(706)	1,179	1,698	(659)	1,039
Net change	1	(3) (2)	9	(24)	(15)	60	(71)	(11)
Cash flow hedges:									
Net unrealized gains/(losses) arising during the period	(450)	168	(282)	(97)	35	(62)	98	(39)	59
Reclassification adjustment for realized (gains)/losses included in net income ^{(c)(d)}	360	(134) 226	180	(67)	113	(24)	9	(15)
Net change	(90)	34	(56)	83	(32)	51	74	(30)	44
Defined benefit pension and OPEB plans:									
Prior service credits arising during the period	-	-		-	-	-	(53)	21	(32)
Net gains/(losses) arising during the period	(366)	145	(221)	29	(47)	(18)	(1,697)	688	(1,009)
Reclassification adjustments included in net income ^(e) :									
Amortization of net loss	257	(97) 160	282	(106)	176	72	(29)	43
Prior service costs/(credits)	(36)	14	(22)	(36)	14	(22)	(44)	17	(27)
Settlement loss/(gain)	4	(1) 3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Foreign exchange and other	77	(25) 52	33	(58)	(25)	39	(32)	7
Net change	(64)	30	(28)	308	(197)	111	(1,683)	665	(1,018)
DVA on fair value option elected liabilities, net change:	\$ (529)	\$ 199	\$ (330)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total other comprehensive income/(loss)	\$ (2,451)	\$ 930	\$ (1,521)	\$ (3,117)	\$ 1,120	\$ (1,997)	\$ 1,567	\$ (577)	\$ 990

(a) The pre-tax amount is reported in securities gains in the Consolidated statements of income.

(b) Reclassifications of pre-tax realized gains/(losses) on translation adjustments and related hedges are reported in other income/expense in the Consolidated statements of income. The amounts were not material for the periods presented.

(c) The pre-tax amounts are predominantly recorded in net interest income in the Consolidated statements of income.

(d) In 2015, the Firm reclassified approximately \$150 million of net losses from AOCI to other income because the Firm determined that it is probable that the forecasted interest payment cash flows will not occur. For additional information, see Note 6.

(e) The pre-tax amount is reported in compensation expense in the Consolidated statements of income.

Note 26 - Income taxes

JPMorgan Chase and its eligible subsidiaries file a consolidated U.S. federal income tax return. JPMorgan Chase uses the asset and liability method to provide income taxes on all transactions recorded in the Consolidated Financial Statements. This method requires that income taxes reflect the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets or liabilities for book and tax purposes. Accordingly, a deferred tax asset or liability for each temporary difference is determined based on the tax rates that the Firm expects to be in effect when the underlying items of income and expense are realized. JPMorgan Chase's expense for income taxes includes the current and deferred portions of that expense. A valuation allowance is established to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount the Firm expects to realize.

Due to the inherent complexities arising from the nature of the Firm's businesses, and from conducting business and being taxed in a substantial number of jurisdictions, significant judgments and estimates are required to be made. Agreement of tax liabilities between JPMorgan Chase and the many tax jurisdictions in which the Firm files tax returns may not be finalized for several years. Thus, the Firm's final tax-related assets and liabilities may ultimately be different from those currently reported.

Effective tax rate and expense

A reconciliation of the applicable statutory U.S. income tax rate to the effective tax rate for each of the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, is presented in the following table.

Effective tax rate

Year ended December 31,	2016	2015	2014
Statutory U.S. federal tax rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
Increase/(decrease) in tax rate resulting from:			
U.S. state and local income taxes, net of U.S. federal income tax benefit	2.4	1.5	2.7
Tax-exempt income	(3.1)	(3.3)	(3.1)
Non-U.S. subsidiary earnings ^(a)	(1.7)	(3.9)	(2.0)
Business tax credits	(3.9)	(3.7)	(3.3)
Nondeductible legal expense	0.3	0.8	2.3
Tax audit resolutions	-	(5.7)	(1.4)
Other, net	(0.6)	(0.3)	(1.0)
Effective tax rate	28.4%	20.4%	29.2%

(a) Predominantly includes earnings of U.K. subsidiaries that are deemed to be reinvested indefinitely.

The components of income tax expense/(benefit) included in the Consolidated statements of income were as follows for each of the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014.

Income tax expense/(benefit)

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Current income tax expense/(benefit)			
U.S. federal	\$ 2,488	\$ 3,160	\$ 2,382
Non-U.S.	1,760	1,220	1,353
U.S. state and local	904	547	857
Total current income tax expense/ (benefit)	5,152	4,927	4,592
Deferred income tax expense/(benefit)			
U.S. federal	4,364	1,213	3,890
Non-U.S.	(73)	(95)	71
U.S. state and local	360	215	401
Total deferred income tax expense/(benefit)	4,651	1,333	4,362
Total income tax expense	\$ 9,803	\$ 6,260	\$ 8,954

Total income tax expense includes \$55 million, \$2.4 billion and \$451 million of tax benefits recorded in 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively, as a result of tax audit resolutions.

Tax effect of items recorded in stockholders' equity The preceding table does not reflect the tax effect of certain items that are recorded each period directly in stockholders' equity. The tax effect of all items recorded directly to stockholders' equity resulted in an increase of \$925 million in 2016, an increase of \$1.5 billion in 2015, and a decrease of \$140 million in 2014. Effective January 1, 2016, the Firm adopted new accounting guidance related to employee share-based payments. As a result of the adoption of this new guidance, all excess tax benefits (including tax benefits from dividends or dividend equivalents) on share-based payment awards are recognized within income tax expense in the Consolidated statements of income. In prior years these tax benefits were recorded as increases to additional paid-in capital.

Results from Non-U.S. earnings

The following table presents the U.S. and non-U.S. components of income before income tax expense for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2014
U.S.	\$26,651	\$23,191	\$23,422
Non-U.S. ^(a)	7,885	7,511	7,277
Income before income tax expense	\$34,536	\$30,702	\$ 30,699

(a) For purposes of this table, non-U.S. income is defined as income generated from operations located outside the U.S.

U.S. federal income taxes have not been provided on the undistributed earnings of certain non-U.S. subsidiaries, to the extent that such earnings have been reinvested abroad for an indefinite period of time. Based on JPMorgan Chase's ongoing review of the business requirements and capital needs of its non-U.S. subsidiaries, combined with the formation of specific strategies and steps taken to fulfill these requirements and needs, the Firm has determined that the undistributed earnings of certain of its subsidiaries would be indefinitely reinvested to fund current and future growth of the related businesses. As management does not intend to use the earnings of these subsidiaries as a source of funding for its U.S. operations, such earnings will not be distributed to the U.S. in the foreseeable future. For 2016, pretax earnings of \$3.8 billion were generated and will be indefinitely reinvested in these subsidiaries. At December 31, 2016, the cumulative amount of undistributed pretax earnings in these subsidiaries were \$38.4 billion. If the Firm were to record a deferred tax liability associated with these undistributed earnings, the amount would be \$8.8 billion at December 31, 2016.

These undistributed earnings are related to subsidiaries located predominantly in the U.K. where the 2016 tax rate was 28%.

Affordable housing tax credits

The Firm recognized \$1.7 billion, \$1.6 billion and \$1.6 billion of tax credits and other tax benefits associated with investments in affordable housing projects within income tax expense for the years 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The amount of amortization of such investments reported in income tax expense under the current period presentation during these years was \$1.2 billion, \$1.1 billion and \$1.1 billion, respectively. The carrying value of these investments, which are reported in other assets on the Firm's Consolidated balance sheets, was \$8.8 billion and \$7.7 billion at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The amount of commitments related to these investments, which are reported in accounts payable and other liabilities on the Firm's Consolidated balance sheets, was \$2.8 billion and \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Deferred taxes

Deferred income tax expense/(benefit) results from differences between assets and liabilities measured for financial reporting purposes versus income tax return purposes. Deferred tax assets are recognized if, in management's judgment, their realizability is determined to be more likely than not. If a deferred tax asset is determined to be unrealizable, a valuation allowance is established. The significant components of deferred tax assets and liabilities are reflected in the following table as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015
Deferred tax assets		
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 5,534	\$ 5,343
Employee benefits	2,911	2,972
Accrued expenses and other	6,831	7,299
Non-U.S. operations	5,368	5,365
Tax attribute carryforwards	2,155	2,602
Gross deferred tax assets	22,799	23,581
Valuation allowance	(785)	(735)
Deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance	\$ 22,014	\$ 22,846
Deferred tax liabilities	 	
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 3,294	\$ 3,167
Mortgage servicing rights, net of hedges	4,807	4,968
Leasing transactions	4,053	3,042
Non-U.S. operations	4,572	4,285
Other, net	5,493	4,419
Gross deferred tax liabilities	22,219	19,881
Net deferred tax (liabilities)/assets	\$ (205)	\$ 2,965

JPMorgan Chase has recorded deferred tax assets of \$2.2 billion at December 31, 2016, in connection with U.S. federal and non-U.S. NOL carryforwards and foreign tax credit carryforwards. At December 31, 2016, total U.S. federal NOL carryforwards were approximately \$3.8 billion and non-U.S. NOL carryforwards were \$142 million. If not utilized, the U.S. federal NOL carryforwards were \$142 million. If not utilized, the U.S. federal NOL carryforwards were \$142 million. If not utilized, the U.S. federal NOL carryforwards will expire between 2025 and 2036 and the non-U.S. NOL carryforwards will expire in 2017. Foreign tax credit carryforwards were \$776 million and will expire between 2022 and 2026.

The valuation allowance at December 31, 2016, was due to losses associated with non-U.S. subsidiaries.

Unrecognized tax benefits

At December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, JPMorgan Chase's unrecognized tax benefits, excluding related interest expense and penalties, were \$3.5 billion, \$3.5 billion and \$4.9 billion, respectively, of which \$2.6 billion, \$2.1 billion and \$3.5 billion, respectively, if recognized, would reduce the annual effective tax rate. Included in the amount of unrecognized tax benefits are certain items that would not affect the effective tax rate if they were recognized in the Consolidated statements of income. These unrecognized items include the tax effect of certain temporary differences, the portion of gross state and local unrecognized tax benefits that would be offset by the benefit from associated U.S. federal income tax deductions. and the portion of gross non-U.S. unrecognized tax benefits that would have offsets in other jurisdictions. JPMorgan Chase is presently under audit by a number of taxing authorities, most notably by the Internal Revenue Service as summarized in the Tax examination status table below. As JPMorgan Chase is presently under audit by a number of taxing authorities, it is reasonably possible that over the next 12 months the resolution of these examinations may increase or decrease the gross balance of unrecognized tax benefits by as much as \$800 million. Upon settlement of an audit, the change in the unrecognized tax benefit would result from payment or income statement recognition.

The following table presents a reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Balance at January 1,	\$ 3,497	\$ 4,911	\$ 5,535
Increases based on tax positions related to the current period	262	408	810
Increases based on tax positions related to prior periods	583	1,028	477
Decreases based on tax positions related to prior periods	(785)	(2,646)	(1,902)
Decreases related to cash settlements with taxing authorities	(56)	(204)	(9)
Decreases related to a lapse of applicable statute of limitations	(51)	-	-
Balance at December 31,	\$ 3,450	\$ 3,497	\$ 4,911

After-tax interest expense/(benefit) and penalties related to income tax liabilities recognized in income tax expense were \$86 million, \$(156) million and \$17 million in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, in addition to the liability for unrecognized tax benefits, the Firm had accrued \$687 million and \$578 million, respectively, for income taxrelated interest and penalties.

Tax examination status

JPMorgan Chase is continually under examination by the Internal Revenue Service, by taxing authorities throughout the world, and by many state and local jurisdictions throughout the U.S. The following table summarizes the status of significant income tax examinations of JPMorgan Chase and its consolidated subsidiaries as of December 31, 2016.

December 31, 2016	Periods under examination	Status
JPMorgan Chase - U.S.	2003 - 2005	At Appellate level
JPMorgan Chase - U.S.	2006 - 2010	Field examination of amended returns; certain matters at Appellate level
JPMorgan Chase - U.S.	2011 - 2013	Field Examination
JPMorgan Chase - New York State	2008 - 2011	Field Examination
JPMorgan Chase - New York City	2008 - 2011	Field Examination
JPMorgan Chase - California	2011 - 2012	Field Examination
JPMorgan Chase - U.K.	2006 - 2014	Field examination of certain select entities

Note 27 - Restrictions on cash and intercompany funds transfers

The business of JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association ("JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.") is subject to examination and regulation by the OCC. The Bank is a member of the U.S. Federal Reserve System, and its deposits in the U.S. are insured by the FDIC, subject to applicable limits.

The Federal Reserve requires depository institutions to maintain cash reserves with a Federal Reserve Bank. The average required amount of reserve balances deposited by the Firm's bank subsidiaries with various Federal Reserve Banks was approximately \$19.3 billion and \$14.4 billion in 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Restrictions imposed by U.S. federal law prohibit JPMorgan Chase & Co. ("Parent Company") and certain of its affiliates from borrowing from banking subsidiaries unless the loans are secured in specified amounts. Such secured loans provided by any banking subsidiary to the Parent Company or to any particular affiliate, together with certain other transactions with such affiliate, (collectively referred to as "covered transactions"), are generally limited to 10% of the banking subsidiary's total capital, as determined by the riskbased capital guidelines; the aggregate amount of covered transactions between any banking subsidiary and all of its affiliates is limited to 20% of the banking subsidiary's total capital.

Prior to the establishment of the IHC in the fourth guarter of 2016, the principal sources of the Parent Company's income were dividends and interest from the various bank and non-bank subsidiaries of the Firm: the principal source of the Parent Company's income, commencing with the fourth quarter, will be dividends from the IHC and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., the two principal subsidiaries of the Parent Company. In addition to dividend restrictions set forth in statutes and regulations, the Federal Reserve, the OCC and the FDIC have authority under the Financial Institutions Supervisory Act to prohibit or to limit the payment of dividends by the banking organizations they supervise, including JPMorgan Chase and its subsidiaries that are banks or bank holding companies, if, in the banking regulator's opinion, payment of a dividend would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice in light of the financial condition of the banking organization.

At January 1, 2017, JPMorgan Chase's banking subsidiaries could pay, in the aggregate, approximately \$20 billion in dividends to their respective bank holding companies without the prior approval of their relevant banking regulators. The capacity to pay dividends in 2017 will be supplemented by the banking subsidiaries' earnings during the year.

In compliance with rules and regulations established by U.S. and non-U.S. regulators, as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, cash in the amount of \$13.4 billion and \$13.2 billion, respectively, were segregated in special bank accounts for the benefit of securities and futures brokerage customers. Also, as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Firm had receivables within other assets of \$16.1 billion

and \$15.6 billion, respectively, consisting of cash deposited with clearing organizations for the benefit of customers. Securities with a fair value of \$19.3 billion and \$20.0 billion, respectively, were also restricted in relation to customer activity. In addition, as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Firm had other restricted cash of \$3.6 billion and \$3.1 billion, respectively, primarily representing cash reserves held at non-U.S. central banks and held for other general purposes. Prior period amounts for segregated cash, receivables within other assets, and other restricted cash have been revised to conform with the current period presentation.

Note 28 - Regulatory capital

The Federal Reserve establishes capital requirements, including well-capitalized standards, for the consolidated financial holding company. The OCC establishes similar minimum capital requirements and standards for the Firm's national banks, including JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Chase Bank USA, N.A.

Capital rules under Basel III establish minimum capital ratios and overall capital adequacy standards for large and internationally active U.S. bank holding companies and banks, including the Firm and its IDI subsidiaries. Basel III presents two comprehensive methodologies for calculating RWA: a general (standardized) approach ("Basel III Standardized") and an advanced approach ("Basel III Advanced"). Certain of the requirements of Basel III are subject to phase-in periods that began on January 1, 2014 and continue through the end of 2018 ("transitional period").

There are three categories of risk-based capital under the Basel III Transitional rules: CET1 capital, as well as Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital. CET1 capital predominantly includes common stockholders' equity (including capital for AOCI related to debt and equity securities classified as AFS as well as for defined-benefit pension and OPEB plans), less certain deductions for goodwill, MSRs and deferred tax assets that arise from NOL and tax credit carryforwards. Tier 1 capital predominantly consists of CET1 capital as well as perpetual preferred stock. Tier 2 capital includes longterm debt qualifying as Tier 2 and qualifying allowance for credit losses. Total capital is Tier 1 capital plus Tier 2 capital.

The following tables present the regulatory capital, assets and risk-based capital ratios for JPMorgan Chase and its significant national bank subsidiaries under both Basel III Standardized Transitional and Basel III Advanced Transitional at December 31, 2016 and 2015.

	JPMorgan Chase & Co.									
	Basel III Sta Transi		Basel III Advanced Transitional							
(in millions, except ratios)	Dec 31, 2016	Dec 31, 2015	Dec 31, 2016	Dec 31, 2015						
Regulatory capital										
CET1 capital	\$ 182,967	\$ 175,398	\$ 182,967	\$ 175,398						
Tier 1 capital ^(a)	208,112	200,482	208,112	200,482						
Total capital	239,553	234,413	228,592	224,616						
Assets										
Risk-weighted	1,464,981	1,465,262	1,476,915	1,485,336						
Adjusted average ^(b)	2,484,631	2,358,471	2,484,631	2,358,471						
Capital ratios ^(c)										
CET1	12.5%	12.0%	12.4%	11.8%						
Tier 1 ^(a)	14.2	13.7	14.1	13.5						
Total	16.4	16.0	15.5	15.1						
Tier 1 leverage ^(d)	8.4	8.5	8.4	8.5						

		JPMorgan Cha	ase Bank, N.A.	
	Basel III Sta Transi		Basel III / Transi	
(in millions, except ratios)	Dec 31, 2016	Dec 31, 2015	Dec 31, 2016	Dec 31, 2015
Regulatory capital				
CET1 capital	\$ 179,319	\$ 168,857	\$ 179,319	\$ 168,857
Tier 1 capital ^(a)	179,341	169,222	179,341	169,222
Total capital	191,662	183,262	184,637	176,423
Assets				
Risk-weighted	1,293,203	1,264,056	1,262,613	1,249,607
Adjusted average ^(b)	2,088,851	1,910,934	2,088,851	1,910,934
Capital ratios ^(c)				
CET1	13.9%	13.4%	14.2%	13.5%
Tier 1 ^(a)	13.9	13.4	14.2	13.5
Total	14.8	14.5	14.6	14.1
Tier 1 leverage ^(d)	8.6	8.9	8.6	8.9

		Chase Ban	k USA, N.A.	
		Standardized Isitional		Advanced itional
(in millions, except ratios)	Dec 31, 2016	Dec 31, 2015	Dec 31, 2016	Dec 31, 2015
Regulatory capital				
CET1 capital	\$ 16,784	\$ 15,419	\$ 16,784	\$ 15,419
Tier 1 capital ^(a)	16,784	15,419	16,784	15,419
Total capital	22,862	21,418	21,434	20,069
Assets				
Risk-weighted	112,297	105,807	186,378	181,775
Adjusted average ^(b)	120,304	134,152	120,304	134,152
Capital ratios ^(c)				
CET1	14.9%	6 14.6%	9.0%	8.5%
Tier 1 ^(a)	14.9	14.6	9.0	8.5
Total	20.4	20.2	11.5	11.0
Tier 1 leverage ^(d)	14.0	11.5	14.0	11.5

(a) Includes the deduction associated with the permissible holdings of covered funds (as defined by the Volcker Rule) acquired after December 31, 2013. The deduction was not material as of December 31, 2016.

(b) Adjusted average assets, for purposes of calculating the Tier 1 leverage ratio, includes total quarterly average assets adjusted for unrealized gains/(losses) on AFS securities, less deductions for goodwill and other intangible assets, defined benefit pension plan assets, and deferred tax assets related to NOL and tax credit carryforwards.

- (c) For each of the risk-based capital ratios, the capital adequacy of the Firm and its national bank subsidiaries are evaluated against the Basel III approach, Standardized or Advanced, resulting in the lower ratio (the "Collins Floor"), as required by the Collins Amendment of the Dodd-Frank Act.
- (d) The Tier 1 leverage ratio is not a risk-based measure of capital. This ratio is calculated by dividing Tier 1 capital by adjusted average assets.
- Note: Rating agencies allow measures of capital to be adjusted upward for deferred tax liabilities, which have resulted from both non-taxable business combinations and from tax-deductible goodwill. The Firm had deferred tax liabilities resulting from non-taxable business combinations of \$83 million and \$105 million at December 31, 2016, and 2015, respectively; and deferred tax liabilities resulting from tax-deductible goodwill of \$3.1 billion and \$3.0 billion at December 31, 2016, and 2015, respectively.

Under the risk-based capital guidelines of the Federal Reserve, JPMorgan Chase is required to maintain minimum ratios of CET1, Tier 1 and Total capital to RWA, as well as a minimum leverage ratio (which is defined as Tier 1 capital divided by adjusted quarterly average assets). Failure to meet these minimum requirements could cause the Federal Reserve to take action. National bank subsidiaries also are subject to these capital requirements by their respective primary regulators. The following table presents the minimum ratios to which the Firm and its national bank subsidiaries are subject as of December 31, 2016.

	Minimum cap	ital ratios	Well-capitaliz	ed ratios
	BHC ^(a)	IDI ^(b)	BHC ^(c)	IDI ^(d)
Capital ratios				
CET1	6.25%	5.125%	-%	6.5%
Tier 1	7.75	6.625	6.0	8.0
Total	9.75	8.625	10.0	10.0
Tier 1 leverage	4.0	4.0	-	5.0

Note: The ratios presented in the table above are as defined by the regulations issued by the Federal Reserve, OCC and FDIC and to which the Firm and its national bank subsidiaries are subject.

- (a) Represents the transitional minimum capital ratios applicable to the Firm under Basel III at December 31, 2016. Commencing in the first quarter of 2016, the CET1 minimum capital ratio includes 0.625% resulting from the phase in of the Firm's 2.5% capital conservation buffer, and 1.125% resulting from the phase in of the Firm's 4.5% GSIB surcharge.
- (b) Represents requirements for JPMorgan Chase's banking subsidiaries. The CET1 minimum capital ratio includes 0.625% resulting from the phase in of the 2.5% capital conservation buffer that is applicable to the banking subsidiaries. The banking subsidiaries are not subject to the GSIB surcharge.
- (c) Represents requirements for bank holding companies pursuant to regulations issued by the Federal Reserve.
- (d) Represents requirements for bank subsidiaries pursuant to regulations issued under the FDIC Improvement Act.

As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, JPMorgan Chase and all of its banking subsidiaries were well-capitalized and met all capital requirements to which each was subject.

Note 29 - Off-balance sheet lending-related financial instruments, guarantees, and other commitments

JPMorgan Chase provides lending-related financial instruments (e.g., commitments and guarantees) to meet the financing needs of its customers. The contractual amount of these financial instruments represents the maximum possible credit risk to the Firm should the counterparty draw upon the commitment or the Firm be required to fulfill its obligation under the guarantee, and should the counterparty subsequently fail to perform according to the terms of the contract. Most of these commitments and guarantees are refinanced, extended, cancelled, or expire without being drawn or a default occurring. As a result, the total contractual amount of these instruments is not, in the Firm's view, representative of its actual future credit exposure or funding requirements.

To provide for probable credit losses inherent in wholesale and certain consumer lending-commitments, an allowance for credit losses on lending-related commitments is maintained. See Note 15 for further information regarding the allowance for credit losses on lending-related commitments. The following table summarizes the contractual amounts and carrying values of off-balance sheet lending-related financial instruments, guarantees and other commitments at December 31, 2016 and 2015. The amounts in the table below for credit card and home equity lending-related commitments represent the total available credit for these products. The Firm has not experienced. and does not anticipate, that all available lines of credit for these products will be utilized at the same time. The Firm can reduce or cancel credit card lines of credit by providing the borrower notice or, in some cases as permitted by law, without notice. In addition, the Firm typically closes credit card lines when the borrower is 60 days or more past due. The Firm may reduce or close HELOCs when there are significant decreases in the value of the underlying property, or when there has been a demonstrable decline in the creditworthiness of the borrower.

Off-balance sheet lending-related financial instruments, guarantees and other commitments

			Contractu	ual amount			Carrying	value ^(g)
			2016			2015	2016	2015
By remaining maturity at December 31, (in millions)	Expires in 1 year or less	Expires after 1 year through 3 years	Expires after 3 years through 5 years	Expires after 5 years	Total	Total		
Lending-related								
Consumer, excluding credit card:								
Home equity	\$ 4,247	\$ 3,578	\$ 1,035	\$ 12,854	\$ 21,714	\$ 22,756	\$ 12	\$ -
Residential mortgage ^(a)	11,745	-	-	-	11,745	12,992	-	-
Auto	7,807	461	173	27	8,468	10,237	2	2
Business banking	11,485	673	122	453	12,733	12,351	12	12
Student and other	107	1	-	29	137	142	-	-
Total consumer, excluding credit card	35,391	4,713	1,330	13,363	54,797	58,478	26	14
Credit card	553,891	-	-	-	553,891	515,518	_	-
Total consumer ^(b)	589,282	4,713	1,330	13,363	608,688	573,996	26	14
Wholesale:								
Other unfunded commitments to extend credit ^(c)	69,307	116,716	135,663	6,811	328,497	323,325	905	649
Standby letters of credit and other financial guarantees ^(c)	15,738	12,654	6,577	978	35,947	39,133	586	548
Other letters of credit ^(c)	3,354	86	129	1	3,570	3,941	2	2
Total wholesale ^(d)	88,399	129,456	142,369	7,790	368,014	366,399	1,493	1,199
Total lending-related	\$ 677,681	\$ 134,169	\$ 143,699	\$ 21,153	\$ 976,702	\$ 940,395	\$ 1,519	\$ 1,213
Other guarantees and commitments								
Securities lending indemnification agreements and guarantees ^(e)	\$ 137,209	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 137,209	\$ 183,329	\$ -	\$ -
Derivatives qualifying as guarantees	1,061	450	10,930	39,525	51,966	53,784	80	222
Unsettled reverse repurchase and securities borrowing agreements	50,722	-	-	_	50,722	42,482	-	_
Unsettled repurchase and securities lending agreements	26,948	-	-	-	26,948	21,798	-	-
Loan sale and securitization-related indemnifications:								
Mortgage repurchase liability	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	133	148
Loans sold with recourse	NA	NA	NA	NA	2,730	4,274	64	82
Other guarantees and commitments ^(f)	383	2,662	1,017	1,653	5,715	5,580	(118)	(94)

(a) Includes certain commitments to purchase loans from correspondents.

(b) Predominantly all consumer lending-related commitments are in the U.S.

(c) At December 31, 2016 and 2015, reflected the contractual amount net of risk participations totaling \$328 million and \$385 million, respectively, for other unfunded commitments to extend credit; \$11.1 billion and \$11.2 billion, respectively, for standby letters of credit and other financial guarantees; and \$265 million and \$341 million, respectively, for other letters of credit. In regulatory filings with the Federal Reserve these commitments are shown gross of risk participations.

(d) At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the U.S. portion of the contractual amount of total wholesale lending-related commitments was 79% and 77%, respectively.
(e) At December 31, 2016 and 2015, collateral held by the Firm in support of securities lending indemnification agreements was \$143.2 billion and \$190.6 billion, respectively. Securities lending collateral consist of primarily cash and securities issued by governments that are members of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development ("OECD") and U.S. government agencies.

(f) At December 31, 2016 and 2015, included unfunded commitments of \$48 million and \$50 million, respectively, to third-party private equity funds; and \$1.0 billion and \$871 million, respectively, to other equity investments. These commitments included \$34 million and \$73 million, respectively, related to investments that are generally fair valued at net asset value as discussed in Note 3. In addition, at December 31, 2016 and 2015, included letters of credit hedged by derivative transactions and managed on a market risk basis of \$4.6 billion and \$4.6 billion, respectively.

(g) For lending-related products, the carrying value represents the allowance for lending-related commitments and the guarantee liability; for derivative-related products, the carrying value represents the fair value.

Other unfunded commitments to extend credit

Other unfunded commitments to extend credit generally consist of commitments for working capital and general corporate purposes, extensions of credit to support commercial paper facilities and bond financings in the event that those obligations cannot be remarketed to new investors, as well as committed liquidity facilities to clearing organizations. The Firm also issues commitments under multipurpose facilities which could be drawn upon in several forms, including the issuance of a standby letter of credit.

The Firm acts as a settlement and custody bank in the U.S. tri-party repurchase transaction market. In its role as settlement and custody bank, the Firm is exposed to the intra-day credit risk of its cash borrower clients, usually broker-dealers. This exposure arises under secured clearance advance facilities that the Firm extends to its clients (i.e. cash borrowers); these facilities contractually limit the Firm's intra-day credit risk to the facility amount and must be repaid by the end of the day. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the secured clearance advance facility maximum outstanding commitment amount was \$2.4 billion and \$2.9 billion, respectively.

Guarantees

U.S. GAAP requires that a guarantor recognize, at the inception of a guarantee, a liability in an amount equal to the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee. U.S. GAAP defines a guarantee as a contract that contingently requires the guarantor to pay a guaranteed party based upon: (a) changes in an underlying asset, liability or equity security of the guaranteed party; or (b) a third party's failure to perform under a specified agreement. The Firm considers the following off-balance sheet lending-related arrangements to be guarantees under U.S. GAAP: standby letters of credit and other financial guarantees, securities lending indemnifications, certain indemnification agreements and certain derivative contracts.

As required by U.S. GAAP, the Firm initially records guarantees at the inception date fair value of the obligation

assumed (e.g., the amount of consideration received or the net present value of the premium receivable). For certain types of guarantees, the Firm records this fair value amount in other liabilities with an offsetting entry recorded in cash (for premiums received), or other assets (for premiums receivable). Any premium receivable recorded in other assets is reduced as cash is received under the contract, and the fair value of the liability recorded at inception is amortized into income as lending and deposit-related fees over the life of the guarantee contract. For indemnifications provided in sales agreements, a portion of the sale proceeds is allocated to the guarantee, which adjusts the gain or loss that would otherwise result from the transaction. For these indemnifications, the initial liability is amortized to income as the Firm's risk is reduced (i.e., over time or when the indemnification expires). Any contingent liability that exists as a result of issuing the guarantee or indemnification is recognized when it becomes probable and reasonably estimable. The contingent portion of the liability is not recognized if the estimated amount is less than the carrying amount of the liability recognized at inception (adjusted for any amortization). The recorded amounts of the liabilities related to guarantees and indemnifications at December 31, 2016 and 2015, excluding the allowance for credit losses on lending-related commitments, are discussed below.

Standby letters of credit and other financial guarantees Standby letters of credit and other financial guarantees are conditional lending commitments issued by the Firm to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party under certain arrangements, such as commercial paper facilities, bond financings, acquisition financings, trade and similar transactions. The carrying values of standby and other letters of credit were \$588 million and \$550 million at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, which were classified in accounts payable and other liabilities on the Consolidated balance sheets; these carrying values included \$147 million and \$123 million, respectively, for the allowance for lending-related commitments, and \$441 million and \$427 million, respectively, for the guarantee liability and corresponding asset.

The following table summarizes the types of facilities under which standby letters of credit and other letters of credit arrangements are outstanding by the ratings profiles of the Firm's customers, as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Standby letters of credit, other financial guarantees and other letters of credit

	2016		2015						
December 31, (in millions)	letters of credit and nancial guarantees	er letters f credit		letters of credit and nancial guarantees		er letters f credit			
Investment-grade ^(a)	\$ 28,245	\$ 2,781	\$	31,751	\$	3,290			
Noninvestment-grade ^(a)	7,702	789		7,382		651			
Total contractual amount	\$ 35,947	\$ 3,570	\$	39,133	\$	3,941			
Allowance for lending-related commitments	\$ 145	\$ 2	\$	121	\$	2			
Guarantee liability	441	_		427		-			
Total carrying value	\$ 586	\$ 2	\$	548	\$	2			
Commitments with collateral	\$ 19,346	\$ 940	\$	18,825	\$	996			

(a) The ratings scale is based on the Firm's internal ratings, which generally correspond to ratings as defined by S&P and Moody's.

Securities lending indemnifications

Through the Firm's securities lending program, customers' securities, via custodial and non-custodial arrangements, may be lent to third parties. As part of this program, the Firm provides an indemnification in the lending agreements which protects the lender against the failure of the borrower to return the lent securities. To minimize its liability under these indemnification agreements, the Firm obtains cash or other highly liquid collateral with a market value exceeding 100% of the value of the securities on loan from the borrower. Collateral is marked to market daily to help assure that collateralization is adequate. Additional collateral is called from the borrower if a shortfall exists, or collateral may be released to the borrower in the event of overcollateralization. If a borrower defaults, the Firm would use the collateral held to purchase replacement securities in the market or to credit the lending customer with the cash equivalent thereof.

Derivatives qualifying as guarantees

The Firm transacts certain derivative contracts that have the characteristics of a guarantee under U.S. GAAP. These contracts include written put options that require the Firm to purchase assets upon exercise by the option holder at a specified price by a specified date in the future. The Firm may enter into written put option contracts in order to meet client needs, or for other trading purposes. The terms of written put options are typically five years or less. Derivatives deemed to be guarantees also include contracts such as stable value derivatives that require the Firm to make a payment of the difference between the market value and the book value of a counterparty's reference portfolio of assets in the event that market value is less than book value and certain other conditions have been met. Stable value derivatives, commonly referred to as "stable value wraps," are transacted in order to allow investors to realize investment returns with less volatility than an unprotected portfolio and are typically longer-term or may have no stated maturity, but allow the Firm to elect to terminate the contract under certain conditions.

Derivatives deemed to be guarantees are recorded on the Consolidated balance sheets at fair value in trading assets and trading liabilities. The total notional value of the derivatives that the Firm deems to be guarantees was \$52.0 billion and \$53.8 billion at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The notional amount generally represents the Firm's maximum exposure to derivatives qualifying as guarantees. However, exposure to certain stable value contracts is contractually limited to a substantially lower percentage of the notional amount; the notional amount on these stable value contracts was \$28.7 billion and \$28.4 billion at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and the maximum exposure to loss was \$3.0 billion at both December 31, 2016 and 2015. The fair values of the contracts reflect the probability of whether the Firm will be required to perform under the contract. The fair value related to derivatives that the Firm deems to be guarantees were derivative payables of \$96 million and \$236 million and derivative receivables of \$16 million and \$14 million at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The Firm reduces exposures to these contracts by entering

into offsetting transactions, or by entering into contracts that hedge the market risk related to the derivative guarantees.

In addition to derivative contracts that meet the characteristics of a guarantee, the Firm is both a purchaser and seller of credit protection in the credit derivatives market. For a further discussion of credit derivatives, see Note 6.

Unsettled reverse repurchase and securities borrowing agreements, and unsettled repurchase and securities lending agreements

In the normal course of business, the Firm enters into reverse repurchase agreements and securities borrowing agreements, which are secured financing agreements. Such agreements settle at a future date. At settlement, these commitments result in the Firm advancing cash to and receiving securities collateral from the counterparty. The Firm also enters into repurchase agreements and securities lending agreements. At settlement, these commitments result in the Firm receiving cash from and providing securities collateral to the counterparty. These agreements generally do not meet the definition of a derivative, and therefore, are not recorded on the Consolidated balance sheets until settlement date. These agreements predominantly consist of agreements with regular-way settlement periods. For a further discussion of securities purchased under resale agreements and securities borrowed, and securities sold under repurchase agreements and securities loaned. see Note 13.

Loan sales- and securitization-related indemnifications

Mortgage repurchase liability

In connection with the Firm's mortgage loan sale and securitization activities with GSEs, as described in Note 16. the Firm has made representations and warranties that the loans sold meet certain requirements that may require the Firm to repurchase mortgage loans and/or indemnify the loan purchaser. Further, although the Firm's securitizations are predominantly nonrecourse, the Firm does provide recourse servicing in certain limited cases where it agrees to share credit risk with the owner of the mortgage loans. To the extent that repurchase demands that are received relate to loans that the Firm purchased from third parties that remain viable, the Firm typically will have the right to seek a recovery of related repurchase losses from the third party. Generally, the maximum amount of future payments the Firm would be required to make for breaches of these representations and warranties would be equal to the unpaid principal balance of such loans that are deemed to have defects that were sold to purchasers (including securitization-related SPEs) plus, in certain circumstances. accrued interest on such loans and certain expense.

Private label securitizations

The liability related to repurchase demands associated with private label securitizations is separately evaluated by the Firm in establishing its litigation reserves.

For additional information regarding litigation, see Note 31.

Loans sold with recourse

The Firm provides servicing for mortgages and certain commercial lending products on both a recourse and nonrecourse basis. In nonrecourse servicing, the principal credit risk to the Firm is the cost of temporary servicing advances of funds (i.e., normal servicing advances). In recourse servicing, the servicer agrees to share credit risk with the owner of the mortgage loans, such as Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac or a private investor, insurer or guarantor. Losses on recourse servicing predominantly occur when foreclosure sales proceeds of the property underlying a defaulted loan are less than the sum of the outstanding principal balance, plus accrued interest on the loan and the cost of holding and disposing of the underlying property. The Firm's securitizations are predominantly nonrecourse, thereby effectively transferring the risk of future credit losses to the purchaser of the mortgage-backed securities issued by the trust. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the unpaid principal balance of loans sold with recourse totaled \$2.7 billion and \$4.3 billion, respectively. The carrying value of the related liability that the Firm has recorded, which is representative of the Firm's view of the likelihood it will have to perform under its recourse obligations, was \$64 million and \$82 million at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Other off-balance sheet arrangements

Indemnification agreements - general

In connection with issuing securities to investors, the Firm may enter into contractual arrangements with third parties that require the Firm to make a payment to them in the event of a change in tax law or an adverse interpretation of tax law. In certain cases, the contract also may include a termination clause, which would allow the Firm to settle the contract at its fair value in lieu of making a payment under the indemnification clause. The Firm may also enter into indemnification clauses in connection with the licensing of software to clients ("software licensees") or when it sells a business or assets to a third party ("third-party purchasers"), pursuant to which it indemnifies software licensees for claims of liability or damages that may occur subsequent to the licensing of the software, or third-party purchasers for losses they may incur due to actions taken by the Firm prior to the sale of the business or assets. It is difficult to estimate the Firm's maximum exposure under these indemnification arrangements, since this would require an assessment of future changes in tax law and future claims that may be made against the Firm that have not yet occurred. However, based on historical experience, management expects the risk of loss to be remote.

Card charge-backs

Commerce Solutions, Card's merchant services business, is a global leader in payment processing and merchant acquiring.

Under the rules of Visa USA, Inc., and MasterCard International, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., is primarily liable for the amount of each processed card sales transaction that is the subject of a dispute between a cardmember and a merchant. If a dispute is resolved in the cardmember's favor, Commerce Solutions will (through the cardmember's issuing bank) credit or refund the amount to the cardmember and will charge back the transaction to the merchant. If Commerce Solutions is unable to collect the amount from the merchant, Commerce Solutions will bear the loss for the amount credited or refunded to the cardmember. Commerce Solutions mitigates this risk by withholding future settlements, retaining cash reserve accounts or by obtaining other security. However, in the unlikely event that: (1) a merchant ceases operations and is unable to deliver products, services or a refund; (2) Commerce Solutions does not have sufficient collateral from the merchant to provide customer refunds; and (3) Commerce Solutions does not have sufficient financial resources to provide customer refunds, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., would recognize the loss.

Commerce Solutions incurred aggregate losses of \$85 million, \$12 million, and \$10 million on \$1,063.4 billion, \$949.3 billion, and \$847.9 billion of aggregate volume processed for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Incurred losses from merchant charge-backs are charged to other expense, with the offset recorded in a valuation allowance against accrued interest and accounts receivable on the Consolidated balance sheets. The carrying value of the valuation allowance was \$45 million and \$20 million at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, which the Firm believes, based on historical experience and the collateral held by Commerce Solutions of \$125 million and \$136 million at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, is representative of the payment or performance risk to the Firm related to charge-backs.

Clearing Services - Client Credit Risk

The Firm provides clearing services for clients by entering into securities purchases and sales and derivative transactions with CCPs, including ETDs such as futures and options, as well as OTC-cleared derivative contracts. As a clearing member, the Firm stands behind the performance of its clients, collects cash and securities collateral (margin) as well as any settlement amounts due from or to clients, and remits them to the relevant CCP or client in whole or part. There are two types of margin: variation margin is posted on a daily basis based on the value of clients' derivative contracts and initial margin is posted at inception of a derivative contract, generally on the basis of the potential changes in the variation margin requirement for the contract.

As clearing member, the Firm is exposed to the risk of nonperformance by its clients, but is not liable to clients for the performance of the CCPs. Where possible, the Firm seeks to mitigate its risk to the client through the collection of appropriate amounts of margin at inception and throughout the life of the transactions. The Firm can also cease providing clearing services if clients do not adhere to their obligations under the clearing agreement. In the event of nonperformance by a client, the Firm would close out the client's positions and access available margin. The CCP would utilize any margin it holds to make itself whole, with any remaining shortfalls required to be paid by the Firm as a clearing member.

The Firm reflects its exposure to nonperformance risk of the client through the recognition of margin payables or receivables to clients and CCPs; the clients' underlying securities or derivative contracts are not reflected in the Firm's Consolidated Financial Statements.

It is difficult to estimate the Firm's maximum possible exposure through its role as a clearing member, as this would require an assessment of transactions that clients may execute in the future. However, based upon historical experience, and the credit risk mitigants available to the Firm, management believes it is unlikely that the Firm will have to make any material payments under these arrangements and the risk of loss is expected to be remote.

For information on the derivatives that the Firm executes for its own account and records in its Consolidated Financial Statements, see Note 6.

Exchange & Clearing House Memberships

The Firm is a member of several securities and derivative exchanges and clearing houses, both in the U.S. and other countries, and it provides clearing services. Membership in some of these organizations requires the Firm to pay a pro rata share of the losses incurred by the organization as a result of the default of another member. Such obligations vary with different organizations. These obligations may be limited to members who dealt with the defaulting member or to the amount (or a multiple of the amount) of the Firm's contribution to the guarantee fund maintained by a clearing house or exchange as part of the resources available to cover any losses in the event of a member default. Alternatively, these obligations may include a pro rata share of the residual losses after applying the guarantee fund. Additionally, certain clearing houses require the Firm as a member to pay a pro rata share of losses that may result from the clearing house's investment of guarantee fund contributions and initial margin, unrelated to and independent of the default of another member. Generally a payment would only be required should such losses exceed the resources of the clearing house or exchange that are contractually required to absorb the losses in the first instance. It is difficult to estimate the Firm's maximum possible exposure under these membership agreements, since this would require an assessment of future claims that may be made against the Firm that have not yet occurred. However, based on historical experience, management expects the risk of loss to be remote.

Guarantees of subsidiaries

In the normal course of business, JPMorgan Chase & Co. ("Parent Company") may provide counterparties with guarantees of certain of the trading and other obligations of its subsidiaries on a contract-by-contract basis, as negotiated with the Firm's counterparties. The obligations of the subsidiaries are included on the Firm's Consolidated balance sheets or are reflected as off-balance sheet commitments; therefore, the Parent Company has not recognized a separate liability for these guarantees. The Firm believes that the occurrence of any event that would trigger payments by the Parent Company under these guarantees is remote.

The Parent Company has guaranteed certain long-term debt and structured notes of its subsidiaries, including JPMorgan Chase Financial Company LLC ("JPMFC"), a 100%-owned finance subsidiary. All securities issued by JPMFC are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Parent Company. These guarantees, which rank on a parity with the Firm's unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness, are not included in the table on page 256 of this Note. For additional information, see Note 21.

Note 30 - Commitments, pledged assets and collateral

Lease commitments

At December 31, 2016, JPMorgan Chase and its subsidiaries were obligated under a number of noncancelable operating leases for premises and equipment used primarily for banking purposes, and for energy-related tolling service agreements. Certain leases contain renewal options or escalation clauses providing for increased rental payments based on maintenance, utility and tax increases, or they require the Firm to perform restoration work on leased premises. No lease agreement imposes restrictions on the Firm's ability to pay dividends, engage in debt or equity financing transactions or enter into further lease agreements.

The following table presents required future minimum rental payments under operating leases with noncancelable lease terms that expire after December 31, 2016.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	
2017	\$ 1,598
2018	1,479
2019	1,301
2020	1,151
2021	885
After 2021	3,701
Total minimum payments required	10,115
Less: Sublease rentals under noncancelable subleases	(1,379)
Net minimum payment required	\$ 8,736

Total rental expense was as follows.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Gross rental expense	\$ 1,860	\$ 2,015	\$ 2,255
Sublease rental income	(241)	(411)	(383)
Net rental expense	\$ 1,619	\$ 1,604	\$ 1,872

Pledged assets

The Firm may pledge financial assets that it owns to maintain potential borrowing capacity with central banks and for other purposes, including to secure borrowings and public deposits, and to collateralize repurchase and other securities financing agreements, and to cover customer short sales. Certain of these pledged assets may be sold or repledged or otherwise used by the secured parties and are identified as financial instruments owned (pledged to various parties) on the Consolidated balance sheets. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Firm had pledged assets of \$441.9 billion and \$385.6 billion, respectively, at Federal Reserve banks and FHLBs. In addition, as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Firm had pledged \$53.5 billion and \$50.7 billion, respectively, of financial assets that may not be sold or repledged or otherwise used by the secured parties. Total assets pledged do not include assets of consolidated VIEs; these assets are used to settle the liabilities of those entities. See Note 16 for additional information on assets and liabilities of consolidated VIEs. For additional information on the Firm's securities financing activities and long-term debt, see Note 13 and Note 21, respectively. The significant components of the Firm's pledged assets were as follows.

December 31, (in billions)	2016	2015
Securities	\$ 101.1	\$ 124.3
Loans	374.9	298.6
Trading assets and other	153.0	144.9
Total assets pledged	\$ 629.0	\$ 567.8

Collateral

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Firm had accepted financial assets as collateral that it could sell or repledge, deliver or otherwise use with a fair value of approximately \$914.1 billion and \$748.5 billion, respectively. This collateral was generally obtained under resale agreements, securities borrowing agreements, customer margin loans and derivative agreements. Of the collateral received, approximately \$746.6 billion and \$580.9 billion, respectively, were sold, repledged, delivered or otherwise used. Collateral was generally used under repurchase agreements, securities lending agreements or to cover customer short sales and to collateralize deposits and derivative agreements.

Note 31 - Litigation

Contingencies

As of December 31, 2016, the Firm and its subsidiaries and affiliates are defendants or putative defendants in numerous legal proceedings, including private, civil litigations and regulatory/government investigations. The litigations range from individual actions involving a single plaintiff to class action lawsuits with potentially millions of class members. Investigations involve both formal and informal proceedings, by both governmental agencies and self-regulatory organizations. These legal proceedings are at varying stages of adjudication, arbitration or investigation, and involve each of the Firm's lines of business and geographies and a wide variety of claims (including common law tort and contract claims and statutory antitrust, securities and consumer protection claims), some of which present novel legal theories.

The Firm believes the estimate of the aggregate range of reasonably possible losses, in excess of reserves established, for its legal proceedings is from \$0 to approximately \$3.0 billion at December 31, 2016. This estimated aggregate range of reasonably possible losses was based upon currently available information for those proceedings in which the Firm believes that an estimate of reasonably possible loss can be made. For certain matters. the Firm does not believe that such an estimate can be made, as of that date. The Firm's estimate of the aggregate range of reasonably possible losses involves significant judgment, given the number, variety and varying stages of the proceedings (including the fact that many are in preliminary stages), the existence in many such proceedings of multiple defendants (including the Firm) whose share of liability has yet to be determined, the numerous yet-unresolved issues in many of the proceedings (including issues regarding class certification and the scope of many of the claims) and the attendant uncertainty of the various potential outcomes of such proceedings, including where the Firm has made assumptions concerning future rulings by the court or other adjudicator, or about the behavior or incentives of adverse parties or regulatory authorities, and those assumptions prove to be incorrect. In addition, the outcome of a particular proceeding may be a result which the Firm did not take into account in its estimate because the Firm had deemed the likelihood of that outcome to be remote. Accordingly, the Firm's estimate of the aggregate range of reasonably possible losses will change from time to time, and actual losses may vary significantly.

Set forth below are descriptions of the Firm's material legal proceedings.

CIO Litigation. The Firm has been sued in a consolidated shareholder class action, and in a consolidated putative class action brought under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA"), relating to 2012 losses in the synthetic credit portfolio formerly managed by the Firm's Chief Investment Office ("CIO"). A settlement of the

shareholder class action, under which the Firm paid \$150 million, has received full and final approval from the Court. The putative ERISA class action has been dismissed. That dismissal was affirmed by the appellate court, and a request by the plaintiffs for rehearing by the full appellate court was denied.

Foreign Exchange Investigations and Litigation. The Firm previously reported settlements with certain government authorities relating to its foreign exchange ("FX") sales and trading activities and controls related to those activities. FXrelated investigations and inquiries by government authorities, including competition authorities, are ongoing, and the Firm is cooperating with those matters. In May 2015, the Firm pleaded guilty to a single violation of federal antitrust law, and in January 2017, the Firm was sentenced, with judgment entered shortly thereafter. The Department of Labor granted the Firm a temporary oneyear waiver, which was effective upon entry of judgment, to allow the Firm and its affiliates to continue to qualify for the Qualified Professional Asset Manager exemption under ERISA. The Firm's application for a lengthier exemption is pending. Separately, in February 2017 the South Africa Competition Commission announced that it had referred its FX investigation of the Firm and other banks to the South Africa Competition Tribunal to commence civil proceedings.

The Firm is also one of a number of foreign exchange dealers defending a class action filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York by U.S.based plaintiffs, principally alleging violations of federal antitrust laws based on an alleged conspiracy to manipulate foreign exchange rates (the "U.S. class action"). In January 2015, the Firm entered into a settlement agreement in the U.S. class action. Following this settlement, a number of additional putative class actions were filed seeking damages for persons who transacted FX futures and options on futures (the "exchanged-based actions"), consumers who purchased foreign currencies at allegedly inflated rates (the "consumer action"), participants or beneficiaries of qualified ERISA plans (the "ERISA actions"), and purported indirect purchasers of FX instruments (the "indirect purchaser action"). Since then, the Firm has entered into a revised settlement agreement to resolve the consolidated U.S. class action, including the exchange-based actions, and that agreement has been preliminarily approved by the Court. The District Court has dismissed one of the ERISA actions, and the plaintiffs have filed an appeal. The consumer action, a second ERISA action and the indirect purchaser action remain pending in the District Court.

In September 2015, two class actions were filed in Canada against the Firm as well as a number of other FX dealers, principally for alleged violations of the Canadian Competition Act based on an alleged conspiracy to fix the prices of currency purchased in the FX market. The first action was filed in the province of Ontario, and seeks to represent all persons in Canada who transacted any FX instrument. The second action was filed in the province of Quebec, and seeks authorization to represent only those persons in Quebec who engaged in FX transactions. In late 2016, the Firm settled the Canadian class actions; those settlements are subject to Court approval.

General Motors Litigation. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. participated in, and was the Administrative Agent on behalf of a syndicate of lenders on, a \$1.5 billion syndicated Term Loan facility ("Term Loan") for General Motors Corporation ("GM"). In July 2009, in connection with the GM bankruptcy proceedings, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of Motors Liquidation Company ("Creditors Committee") filed a lawsuit against JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., in its individual capacity and as Administrative Agent for other lenders on the Term Loan, seeking to hold the underlying lien invalid based on the filing of a UCC-3 termination statement relating to the Term Loan. In January 2015, following several court proceedings, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit reversed the Bankruptcy Court's dismissal of the Creditors Committee's claim and remanded the case to the Bankruptcy Court with instructions to enter partial summary judgment for the Creditors Committee as to the termination statement. The proceedings in the Bankruptcy Court continue with respect to, among other things, additional defenses asserted by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and the value of additional collateral on the Term Loan that was unaffected by the filing of the termination statement at issue. In addition, certain Term Loan lenders filed cross-claims against JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. in the Bankruptcy Court seeking indemnification and asserting various claims.

Interchange Litigation. A group of merchants and retail associations filed a series of class action complaints alleging that Visa and MasterCard, as well as certain banks, conspired to set the price of credit and debit card interchange fees, enacted respective rules in violation of antitrust laws, and engaged in tying/bundling and exclusive dealing. The parties entered into an agreement to settle the cases for a cash payment of \$6.1 billion to the class plaintiffs (of which the Firm's share is approximately 20%) and an amount equal to ten basis points of credit card interchange for a period of eight months to be measured from a date within 60 days of the end of the opt-out period. The agreement also provided for modifications to each credit card network's rules, including those that prohibit surcharging credit card transactions. In December 2013, the District Court granted final approval of the settlement.

A number of merchants appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, which, in June 2016, vacated the District Court's certification of the class action and reversed the approval of the class settlement. The case has been remanded to the District Court for further proceedings consistent with the appellate decision. Both the plaintiffs and the defendants have filed petitions seeking review by the U.S. Supreme Court of the Second Circuit's decision. In addition, certain merchants have filed individual actions against Visa and MasterCard, as well as against the Firm and other banks, and those actions are proceeding.

Investment Management Litigation. The Firm is defending two pending cases that are coordinated for pre-trial and trial purposes, alleging that investment portfolios managed by J.P. Morgan Investment Management ("JPMIM") were inappropriately invested in securities backed by residential real estate collateral. Plaintiffs Assured Guaranty (U.K.) and Ambac Assurance UK Limited claim that JPMIM is liable for total losses of more than \$1 billion in market value of these securities. Discovery has been completed. In January 2016, plaintiffs filed a joint partial motion for summary judgment in the coordinated actions. In February 2017, the Court ruled in plaintiffs' favor as to the interpretation of an applicable statutory provision and the rejection of a certain defense, but otherwise preserved for trial the determination of whether JPMIM breached the governing contract and is liable for plaintiffs' claimed losses under the standard of gross negligence. The trial is scheduled to begin in March 2017.

Lehman Brothers Bankruptcy Proceedings. In January 2016, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. ("LBHI") and several of LBHI's subsidiaries reached an agreement, approved by the Bankruptcy Court, resolving several disputes between the parties. The January 2016 settlement did not resolve the following remaining matters: In the Bankruptcy Court proceedings, LBHI and its Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors filed an objection to the claims asserted by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. against LBHI with respect to clearing advances made to Lehman Brothers Inc., principally on the grounds that the Firm had not conducted the sale of the securities collateral held for its claims in a commercially reasonable manner. LBHI also brought two claims objections relating to securities lending claims and a group of other smaller claims. In January 2017, the Firm entered into an agreement to settle all of these remaining claims, and this settlement has been approved by the Bankruptcy Court.

LIBOR and Other Benchmark Rate Investigations and Litigation. JPMorgan Chase has received subpoenas and requests for documents and, in some cases, interviews, from federal and state agencies and entities, including the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ"), the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC"), the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and various state attorneys general, as well as the European Commission ("EC"), the U.K. Financial Conduct Authority ("FCA"), the Canadian Competition Bureau, the Swiss Competition Commission ("ComCo") and other regulatory authorities and banking associations around the world relating primarily to the process by which interest rates were submitted to the British Bankers Association ("BBA") in connection with the setting of the BBA's London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") for various currencies, principally in 2007 and 2008. Some of the inquiries also relate to similar processes by which information on rates is submitted to the European

Banking Federation ("EBF") in connection with the setting of the EBF's Euro Interbank Offered Rates ("EURIBOR") and to the Japanese Bankers' Association for the setting of Tokyo Interbank Offered Rates ("TIBOR"), as well as processes for the setting of U.S. dollar ISDAFIX rates and other reference rates in various parts of the world during similar time periods. The Firm is responding to and continuing to cooperate with these inquiries. As previously reported, the Firm has resolved EC inquiries relating to Yen LIBOR and Swiss Franc LIBOR. In December 2016, the Firm resolved ComCo inquiries relating to these same rates. ComCo's investigation relating to EURIBOR, to which the Firm and other banks are subject, continues. In December 2016, the EC issued a decision against the Firm and other banks finding an infringement of European antitrust rules relating to EURIBOR. The Firm has filed an appeal with the European General Court. In June 2016, the DOJ informed the Firm that the DOJ had closed its inquiry into LIBOR and other benchmark rates with respect to the Firm without taking action. Other inquiries have been discontinued without any action against JPMorgan Chase, including by the SEC, FCA and the Canadian Competition Bureau.

In addition, the Firm has been named as a defendant along with other banks in a series of individual and putative class actions filed in various United States District Courts. These actions have been filed, or consolidated for pre-trial purposes, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. In these actions, plaintiffs make varying allegations that in various periods, starting in 2000 or later, defendants either individually or collectively manipulated the U.S. dollar LIBOR, Yen LIBOR, Swiss franc LIBOR, Euroven TIBOR, EURIBOR, Singapore Interbank Offered Rate ("SIBOR"), Singapore Swap Offer Rate ("SOR") and/or the Bank Bill Swap Reference Rate ("BBSW") by submitting rates that were artificially low or high. Plaintiffs allege that they transacted in loans, derivatives or other financial instruments whose values are affected by changes in U.S. dollar LIBOR, Yen LIBOR, Swiss franc LIBOR, Euroyen TIBOR, EURIBOR, SIBOR, SOR or BBSW and assert a variety of claims including antitrust claims seeking treble damages. These matters are in various stages of litigation.

In the U.S. dollar LIBOR-related actions, the District Court dismissed certain claims, including the antitrust claims, and permitted other claims under the Commodity Exchange Act and common law to proceed. In May 2016, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit vacated the dismissal of the antitrust claims and remanded the case to the District Court to consider, among other things, whether the plaintiffs have standing to assert antitrust claims. In July 2016, JPMorgan Chase and other defendants again moved in the District Court to dismiss the antitrust claims, and in December 2016, the District Court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motion, finding that certain plaintiffs lacked standing to assert antitrust claims. Separately, in October 2016, JPMorgan Chase and other defendants filed a petition to the U.S. Supreme Court seeking review of the Second Circuit's decision that vacated

the dismissal of plaintiffs' antitrust claims. That petition was denied.

The Firm is one of the defendants in a number of putative class actions alleging that defendant banks and ICAP conspired to manipulate the U.S. dollar ISDAFIX rates. Plaintiffs primarily assert claims under the federal antitrust laws and Commodity Exchange Act. In April 2016, the Firm settled the ISDAFIX litigation, along with certain other banks. Those settlements have been preliminarily approved by the Court.

Madoff Litigation. A putative class action was filed in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey by investors who were net winners (i.e., Madoff customers who had taken more money out of their accounts than had been invested) in Madoff's Ponzi scheme and were not included in a prior class action settlement. These plaintiffs allege violations of the federal securities law, as well as other state and federal claims. A similar action was filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida. although it was not styled as a class action, and included claims pursuant to Florida statutes. The Florida court granted the Firm's motion to dismiss the case, and in August 2016, the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit affirmed the dismissal. The plaintiffs have filed a petition for writ of certiorari with the United States Supreme Court. In addition, the same plaintiffs have re-filed their dismissed state claims in Florida state court, where the Firm's motion to dismiss is pending. The New Jersey court granted a transfer motion to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, which granted the Firm's motion to dismiss, and the plaintiffs have filed an appeal of that dismissal.

Mortgage-Backed Securities and Repurchase Litigation and Related Regulatory Investigations. The Firm and affiliates (together, "JPMC"), Bear Stearns and affiliates (together, "Bear Stearns") and certain Washington Mutual affiliates (together, "Washington Mutual") have been named as defendants in a number of cases in their various roles in offerings of mortgage-backed securities ("MBS"). Following the settlements referred to below, the remaining civil cases include one investor action, one action by a monoline insurer relating to Bear Stearns' role solely as underwriter, and actions for repurchase of mortgage loans. The Firm and certain of its current and former officers and Board members have also been sued in shareholder derivative actions relating to the Firm's MBS activities, and one action remains pending.

<u>Issuer Litigation - Individual Purchaser Actions</u>. With the exception of one remaining action, the Firm has settled all of the individual actions brought against JPMC, Bear Stearns and Washington Mutual as MBS issuers (and, in some cases, also as underwriters of their own MBS offerings).

<u>Underwriter Actions</u>. The Firm is defending one remaining action by a monoline insurer relating to Bear Stearns' role solely as underwriter for another issuer's MBS offering. The issuer is defunct. Repurchase Litigation. The Firm is defending a number of actions brought by trustees, securities administrators and/ or master servicers of various MBS trusts on behalf of purchasers of securities issued by those trusts. These cases generally allege breaches of various representations and warranties regarding securitized loans and seek repurchase of those loans or equivalent monetary relief, as well as indemnification of attorneys' fees and costs and other remedies. The Firm has reached a settlement with Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, acting as trustee for various MBS trusts, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC") in connection with the litigation related to a significant number of MBS issued by Washington Mutual; that case is described in the Washington Mutual Litigations section below. Other repurchase actions, each specific to one or more MBS transactions issued by JPMC and/or Bear Stearns, are in various stages of litigation.

In addition, the Firm and a group of 21 institutional MBS investors made a binding offer to the trustees of MBS issued by JPMC and Bear Stearns providing for the payment of \$4.5 billion and the implementation of certain servicing changes by JPMC, to resolve all repurchase and servicing claims that have been asserted or could have been asserted with respect to 330 MBS trusts created between 2005 and 2008. The offer does not resolve claims relating to Washington Mutual MBS. The trustees (or separate and successor trustees) for this group of 330 trusts have accepted the settlement for 319 trusts in whole or in part and excluded from the settlement 16 trusts in whole or in part. The trustees' acceptance has received final approval from the court.

Additional actions have been filed against third-party trustees that relate to loan repurchase and servicing claims involving trusts sponsored by JPMC, Bear Stearns and Washington Mutual.

The Firm has entered into agreements with a number of MBS trustees or entities that purchased MBS that toll applicable statute of limitations periods with respect to their claims, and has settled, and in the future may settle, tolled claims. There is no assurance that the Firm will not be named as a defendant in additional MBS-related litigation.

<u>Derivative Actions</u>. A shareholder derivative action against the Firm, as nominal defendant, and certain of its current and former officers and members of its Board of Directors relating to the Firm's MBS activities is pending in California federal court. Defendants have filed a motion to dismiss the action.

Government Enforcement Investigations and Litigation. The Firm is responding to an ongoing investigation being conducted by the DOJ's Criminal Division and two United States Attorney's Offices relating to MBS offerings securitized and sold by the Firm and its subsidiaries.

Mortgage-Related Investigations and Litigation. In January 2017, a Consent Judgment was entered by the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York

resolving allegations by the Civil Division of the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York that the Firm violated the Fair Housing Act and Equal Credit Opportunity Act by giving pricing discretion to independent mortgage brokers in its wholesale lending distribution channel which, according to the government's model, may have charged higher fees and interest rates to African-American and Hispanic borrowers than non-Hispanic White borrowers during the period between 2006 and 2009. The Firm denied liability but agreed to pay a total of approximately \$55 million to resolve this matter. In addition, three municipalities have commenced litigation against the Firm alleging violations of an unfair competition law or the Fair Housing Act. The municipalities seek, among other things, civil penalties for the unfair competition claim, and, for the Fair Housing Act claims, damages resulting from lost tax revenue and increased municipal costs associated with foreclosed properties. The municipal actions are stayed pending an appeal by the City of Los Angeles to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, as well as the United States Supreme Court's review of decisions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit which held, among other things, that the City of Miami has standing under the Fair Housing Act to pursue similar claims against other banks.

Municipal Derivatives Litigation. Several civil actions were commenced in New York and Alabama courts against the Firm relating to certain Jefferson County, Alabama (the "County") warrant underwritings and swap transactions. The claims in the civil actions generally alleged that the Firm made payments to certain third parties in exchange for being chosen to underwrite more than \$3 billion in warrants issued by the County and to act as the counterparty for certain swaps executed by the County. The County filed for bankruptcy in November 2011. In June 2013, the County filed a Chapter 9 Plan of Adjustment, as amended (the "Plan of Adjustment"), which provided that all the above-described actions against the Firm would be released and dismissed with prejudice. In November 2013, the Bankruptcy Court confirmed the Plan of Adjustment, and in December 2013, certain sewer rate payers filed an appeal challenging the confirmation of the Plan of Adjustment. All conditions to the Plan of Adjustment's effectiveness, including the dismissal of the actions against the Firm, were satisfied or waived and the transactions contemplated by the Plan of Adjustment occurred in December 2013. Accordingly, all the above-described actions against the Firm have been dismissed pursuant to the terms of the Plan of Adjustment. The appeal of the Bankruptcy Court's order confirming the Plan of Adjustment remains pending.

Petters Bankruptcy and Related Matters. JPMorgan Chase and certain of its affiliates, including One Equity Partners ("OEP"), have been named as defendants in several actions filed in connection with the receivership and bankruptcy proceedings pertaining to Thomas J. Petters and certain affiliated entities (collectively, "Petters") and the Polaroid

Corporation. The principal actions against JPMorgan Chase and its affiliates have been brought by a court-appointed receiver for Petters and the trustees in bankruptcy proceedings for three Petters entities. These actions generally seek to avoid certain putative transfers in connection with (i) the 2005 acquisition by Petters of Polaroid, which at the time was majority-owned by OEP; (ii) two credit facilities that JPMorgan Chase and other financial institutions entered into with Polaroid; and (iii) a credit line and investment accounts held by Petters. In January 2017, the Court denied the defendants' motion to dismiss an amended complaint filed by the plaintiffs.

Proprietary Products Investigations and Litigation. In December 2015, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC agreed to a settlement with the SEC, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. agreed to a settlement with the CFTC, regarding disclosures to clients concerning conflicts associated with the Firm's sale and use of proprietary products, such as J.P. Morgan mutual funds, in the Firm's CCB and AWM wealth management businesses, and the U.S. Private Bank's disclosures concerning the use of hedge funds that pay placement agent fees to JPMorgan Chase broker-dealer affiliates. The Firm settled with an additional government authority in July 2016, and continues to cooperate with inquiries from other government authorities concerning disclosure of conflicts associated with the Firm's sale and use of proprietary products. A putative class action, which was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on behalf of financial advisory clients from 2007 to the present whose funds were invested in proprietary funds and who were charged investment management fees, was dismissed by the Court. The dismissal has been affirmed on appeal.

Referral Hiring Practices Investigations. In November 2016, the Firm entered into settlements with DOJ, the SEC and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve") to resolve those agencies' respective investigations relating to a former hiring program for candidates referred by clients, potential clients and government officials in the Asia Pacific region. Other related investigations are ongoing, and the Firm continues to cooperate with these investigations.

Washington Mutual Litigations. Proceedings related to Washington Mutual's failure are pending before the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and include a lawsuit brought by Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, initially against the FDIC and amended to include JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as a defendant, asserting an estimated \$6 billion to \$10 billion in damages based upon alleged breaches of certain representations and warranties given by certain Washington Mutual affiliates in connection with mortgage securitization agreements. The case includes assertions that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. may have assumed liabilities for the alleged breaches of representations and warranties in the mortgage securitization agreements. In June 2015, the court ruled in favor of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. on the question of whether the Firm or the FDIC bears responsibility for Washington Mutual Bank's repurchase obligations, holding that JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. assumed only those liabilities that were reflected on Washington Mutual Bank's financial accounting records as of September 25, 2008, and only up to the amount of the book value reflected therein. The FDIC has appealed that ruling.

JPMorgan Chase has also filed complaints in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia against the FDIC, in its corporate capacity as well as in its capacity as receiver for Washington Mutual Bank, asserting multiple claims for indemnification under the terms of the Purchase & Assumption Agreement between JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and the FDIC relating to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s purchase of substantially all of the assets and certain liabilities of Washington Mutual Bank (the "Purchase & Assumption Agreement").

The Firm, Deutsche Bank National Trust Company and the FDIC have signed a settlement agreement to resolve (i) pending litigation brought by Deutsche Bank National Trust Company against the FDIC and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as defendants, relating to alleged breaches of certain representations and warranties given by certain Washington Mutual affiliates in connection with mortgage securitization agreements and (ii) JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s outstanding indemnification claims pursuant to the terms of the Purchase & Assumption Agreement. The settlement is subject to certain judicial approval procedures, and both matters are stayed pending approval of the settlement.

Wendel. Since 2012, the French criminal authorities have been investigating a series of transactions entered into by senior managers of Wendel Investissement ("Wendel") during the period from 2004 through 2007 to restructure their shareholdings in Wendel. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Paris branch provided financing for the transactions to a number of managers of Wendel in 2007. JPMorgan Chase has cooperated with the investigation. The investigating judges issued an ordonnance de renvoi on November 30, 2016, referring JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. to the French tribunal correctionnel for alleged complicity in tax fraud. No date for trial has been set by the court. The Firm has been successful in legal challenges made to the Court of Cassation, France's highest court, which have been referred back to and remain pending before the Paris Court of Appeal. In addition, civil proceedings have been commenced against JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. by a number of the managers. The claims are separate, involve different allegations and are at various stages of proceedings.

* * *

In addition to the various legal proceedings discussed above, JPMorgan Chase and its subsidiaries are named as defendants or are otherwise involved in a substantial number of other legal proceedings. The Firm believes it has meritorious defenses to the claims asserted against it in its currently outstanding legal proceedings and it intends to defend itself vigorously in all such matters. Additional legal proceedings may be initiated from time to time in the future.

The Firm has established reserves for several hundred of its currently outstanding legal proceedings. In accordance with the provisions of U.S. GAAP for contingencies, the Firm accrues for a litigation-related liability when it is probable that such a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. The Firm evaluates its outstanding legal proceedings each quarter to assess its litigation reserves, and makes adjustments in such reserves, upwards or downward, as appropriate, based on management's best judgment after consultation with counsel. During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Firm's legal expense was a benefit of \$(317) million and an expense of \$3.0 billion and \$2.9 billion, respectively. There is no assurance that the Firm's litigation reserves will not need to be adjusted in the future.

In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of legal proceedings, particularly where the claimants seek very large or indeterminate damages, or where the matters present novel legal theories, involve a large number of parties or are in early stages of discovery, the Firm cannot state with confidence what will be the eventual outcomes of the currently pending matters, the timing of their ultimate resolution or the eventual losses, fines, penalties or impact related to those matters. JPMorgan Chase believes, based upon its current knowledge, after consultation with counsel and after taking into account its current litigation reserves. that the legal proceedings currently pending against it should not have a material adverse effect on the Firm's consolidated financial condition. The Firm notes, however, that in light of the uncertainties involved in such proceedings, there is no assurance that the ultimate resolution of these matters will not significantly exceed the reserves it has currently accrued or that a matter will not have material reputational consequences. As a result, the outcome of a particular matter may be material to JPMorgan Chase's operating results for a particular period, depending on, among other factors, the size of the loss or liability imposed and the level of JPMorgan Chase's income for that period.

Note 32 - International operations

The following table presents income statement- and balance sheet-related information for JPMorgan Chase by major international geographic area. The Firm defines international activities for purposes of this footnote presentation as business transactions that involve clients residing outside of the U.S., and the information presented below is based predominantly on the domicile of the client, the location from which the client relationship is managed, or the location of the trading desk. However, many of the Firm's U.S. operations serve international businesses. As the Firm's operations are highly integrated, estimates and subjective assumptions have been made to apportion revenue and expense between U.S. and international operations. These estimates and assumptions are consistent with the allocations used for the Firm's segment reporting as set forth in Note 33.

The Firm's long-lived assets for the periods presented are not considered by management to be significant in relation to total assets. The majority of the Firm's long-lived assets are located in the U.S.

As of or for the year ended December 31, (in millions)	Re	evenue ^(b)	E	xpense ^(c)	ir	ome before Icome tax expense	N	et income	Total assets	
2016										-
Europe/Middle East and Africa	\$	13,842	\$	8,550	\$	5,292	\$	3,783	\$ 394,134	(d)
Asia and Pacific		6,112		4,213		1,899		1,212	156,946	
Latin America and the Caribbean		1,959		1,632		327		208	42,971	
Total international		21,913		14,395		7,518		5,203	594,051	-
North America ^(a)		73,755		46,737		27,018		19,530	1,896,921	
Total	\$	95,668	\$	61,132	\$	34,536	\$	24,733	\$ 2,490,972	-
2015										•
Europe/Middle East and Africa	\$	14,206	\$	8,871	\$	5,335	\$	4,158	\$ 347,647	(d)
Asia and Pacific		6,151		4,241		1,910		1,285	138,747	
Latin America and the Caribbean		1,923		1,508		415		253	48,185	
Total international		22,280		14,620		7,660		5,696	534,579	•
North America ^(a)		71,263		48,221		23,042		18,746	1,817,119	
Total	\$	93,543	\$	62,841	\$	30,702	\$	24,442	\$ 2,351,698	•
2014										•
Europe/Middle East and Africa	\$	16,013	\$	10,123	\$	5,890	\$	3,935	\$ 481,328	(d)
Asia and Pacific		6,083		4,478		1,605		1,051	147,357	
Latin America and the Caribbean		2,047		1,626		421		269	44,567	
Total international		24,143		16,227		7,916		5,255	673,252	•
North America ^(a)		70,969		48,186		22,783		16,490	1,899,022	
Total	\$	95,112	\$	64,413	\$	30,699	\$	21,745	\$ 2,572,274	•

(a) Substantially reflects the U.S.

(b) Revenue is composed of net interest income and noninterest revenue.

(c) Expense is composed of noninterest expense and the provision for credit losses.

(d) Total assets for the U.K. were approximately \$310 billion, \$306 billion, and \$434 billion at December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Note 33 - Business segments

The Firm is managed on a line of business basis. There are four major reportable business segments – Consumer & Community Banking, Corporate & Investment Bank, Commercial Banking and Asset & Wealth Management. In addition, there is a Corporate segment. The business segments are determined based on the products and services provided, or the type of customer served, and they reflect the manner in which financial information is currently evaluated by management. Results of these lines of business are presented on a managed basis. For a further discussion concerning JPMorgan Chase's business segments, see Segment results of this footnote.

The following is a description of each of the Firm's business segments, and the products and services they provide to their respective client bases.

Consumer & Community Banking

CCB offers services to consumers and businesses through bank branches, ATMs, online, mobile and telephone banking. CCB is organized into Consumer & Business Banking (including Consumer Banking/Chase Wealth Management and Business Banking), Mortgage Banking (including Mortgage Production, Mortgage Servicing and Real Estate Portfolios) and Card, Commerce Solutions & Auto. Consumer & Business Banking offers deposit and investment products and services to consumers, and lending, deposit, and cash management and payment solutions to small businesses. Mortgage Banking includes mortgage origination and servicing activities, as well as portfolios consisting of residential mortgages and home equity loans. Card, Commerce Solutions & Auto issues credit cards to consumers and small businesses, offers payment processing services to merchants, originates and services auto loans and leases, and services student loans.

Corporate & Investment Bank

The CIB, which consists of Banking and Markets & Investor Services, offers a broad suite of investment banking, market-making, prime brokerage, and treasury and securities products and services to a global client base of corporations, investors, financial institutions, government and municipal entities. Banking offers a full range of investment banking products and services in all major capital markets, including advising on corporate strategy and structure, capital-raising in equity and debt markets, as well as loan origination and syndication. Banking also includes Treasury Services, which provides transaction services, consisting of cash management and liquidity solutions. Markets & Investor Services is a global marketmaker in cash securities and derivative instruments, and also offers sophisticated risk management solutions, prime brokerage, and research. Markets & Investor Services also includes Securities Services, a leading global custodian that provides custody, fund accounting and administration, and securities lending products principally for asset managers, insurance companies and public and private investment funds.

Commercial Banking

CB delivers extensive industry knowledge, local expertise and dedicated service to U.S. and U.S. multinational clients, including corporations, municipalities, financial institutions and nonprofit entities with annual revenue generally ranging from \$20 million to \$2 billion. In addition, CB provides financing to real estate investors and owners. Partnering with the Firm's other businesses, CB provides comprehensive financial solutions, including lending, treasury services, investment banking and asset management to meet its clients' domestic and international financial needs.

Asset & Wealth Management

AWM, with client assets of \$2.5 trillion, is a global leader in investment and wealth management. AWM clients include institutions, high-net-worth individuals and retail investors in many major markets throughout the world. AWM offers investment management across most major asset classes including equities, fixed income, alternatives and money market funds. AWM also offers multi-asset investment management, providing solutions for a broad range of clients' investment needs. For Wealth Management clients, AWM also provides retirement products and services, brokerage and banking services, including trusts and estates, loans, mortgages and deposits. The majority of AWM's client assets are in actively managed portfolios.

Corporate

The Corporate segment consists of Treasury and CIO and Other Corporate, which includes corporate staff units and expense that is centrally managed. Treasury and CIO are predominantly responsible for measuring, monitoring, reporting and managing the Firm's liquidity, funding and structural interest rate and foreign exchange risks, as well as executing the Firm's capital plan. The major Other Corporate units include Real Estate, Enterprise Technology, Legal, Compliance, Finance, Human Resources, Internal Audit, Risk Management, Oversight & Control, Corporate Responsibility and various Other Corporate groups.

Segment results

The following tables provide a summary of the Firm's segment results as of or for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 on a managed basis. The Firm's definition of managed basis starts with the reported U.S. GAAP results and includes certain reclassifications to present total net revenue (noninterest revenue and net interest income) for each of the reportable business segments on a FTE basis. Accordingly, revenue from

investments receiving tax credits and tax-exempt securities is presented in the managed results on a basis comparable to taxable investments and securities. This allows management to assess the comparability of revenue from year-to-year arising from both taxable and tax-exempt sources. The corresponding income tax impact related to tax-exempt items is recorded within income tax expense/ (benefit).

Segment results and reconciliation

	Consumer	Consumer & Community Banking Corporate & Investment Bank Commercial Banking							imercial Bar	ıking		Asset &	Wea	alth Man	age	ement
As of or for the year ended December 31, (in millions, except ratios)	2016	2015	2014	2016	2015	2014		2016	2015	2014		2016		2015		2014
Noninterest revenue	\$ 15,255	\$ 15,592	\$ 15,937	\$ 24,325	\$ 23,693	\$ 23,420	\$	2,320	\$ 2,365	\$ 2,349	\$	9,012	\$	9,563	\$	9,588
Net interest income	29,660	28,228	28,431	10,891	9,849	11,175		5,133	4,520	4,533		3,033		2,556		2,440
Total net revenue	44,915	43,820	44,368	35,216	33,542	34,595		7,453	6,885	6,882		12,045		12,119		12,028
Provision for credit losses	4,494	3,059	3,520	563	332	(161)		282	442	(189)		26		4		4
Noninterest expense	24,905	24,909	25,609	18,992	21,361	23,273		2,934	2,881	2,695		8,478		8,886		8,538
Income/(loss) before income tax expense/(benefit)	15,516	15,852	15,239	15,661	11,849	11,483		4,237	3,562	4,376		3,541		3,229		3,486
Income tax expense/(benefit)	5,802	6,063	6,054	4,846	3,759	4,575		1,580	1,371	1,741		1,290		1,294		1,333
Net income/(loss)	\$ 9,714	\$ 9,789	\$ 9,185	\$ 10,815	\$ 8,090	\$ 6,908	\$	2,657	\$ 2,191	\$ 2,635	\$	2,251	\$	1,935	\$	2,153
Average common equity	\$ 51,000	\$ 51,000	\$ 51,000	\$ 64,000	\$ 62,000	\$ 61,000	\$	16,000	\$ 14,000	\$ 14,000	\$	9,000	\$	9,000	\$	9,000
Total assets	535,310	502,652	455,634	803,511	748,691	861,466		214,341	200,700	195,267	:	138,384	1	31,451		128,701
Return on common equity	18%	b 18%	b 18%	16%	12%	10%		16%	15%	18%		24%	5	21%	5	23%
Overhead ratio	55	57	58	54	64	67		39	42	39		70		73		71

(table continued from above)

As of or for the year ended	_	C	Corporate		Reconciling Items ^(a)				Total						
December 31, (in millions, except ratios)		2016	2015	2014		2016	2015	2014	2016		2015	20	014		
Noninterest revenue	\$	938 \$	800 \$	1,972	\$	(2,265) \$	(1,980) \$	(1,788)	\$ 49,5	85	\$ 50,033	\$	51,478		
Net interest income		(1,425)	(533)	(1,960)		(1,209)	(1,110)	(985)	46,0	33	43,510		43,634		
Total net revenue		(487)	267	12		(3,474)	(3,090)	(2,773)	95,6	68	93,543		95,112		
Provision for credit losses		(4)	(10)	(35)		-	-	-	5,3	51	3,827		3,139		
Noninterest expense		462	977	1,159		-	-	-	55,7	1	59,014		61,274		
Income/(loss) before income tax expense/(benefit)		(945)	(700)	(1,112)		(3,474)	(3,090)	(2,773)	34,5	86	30,702	:	30,699		
Income tax expense/(benefit)		(241)	(3,137)	(1,976)		(3,474)	(3,090)	(2,773)	9,8)3	6,260		8,954		
Net income/(loss)	\$	(704) \$	2,437 \$	864	\$	- \$	- \$	-	\$ 24,7	3	\$ 24,442	\$	21,745		
Average common equity	\$	84,631 \$	79,690 \$	72,400	\$	- \$	- \$	-	\$ 224,6	81	\$ 215,690	\$ 2	07,400		
Total assets		799,426	768,204	931,206		NA	NA	NA	2,490,9	2	2,351,698	2,5	72,274		
Return on common equity		NM	NM	NM		NM	NM	NM	:	.0%	11%	b	10%		
Overhead ratio		NM	NM	NM		NM	NM	NM	:	8	63		64		

(a) Segment managed results reflect revenue on a FTE basis with the corresponding income tax impact recorded within income tax expense/(benefit). These adjustments are eliminated in reconciling items to arrive at the Firm's reported U.S. GAAP results.

Note 34 - Parent Company

The following tables present Parent Company-only financial statements.

Statements of income and comprehensive income^(a)

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Income			
Dividends from subsidiaries and affiliates:			
Bank and bank holding company	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,653	\$ -
Nonbank ^(b)	3,873	8,172	14,716
Interest income from subsidiaries	794	443	378
Other interest income	207	234	284
Other income from subsidiaries, primarily fees:			
Bank and bank holding company	852	1,438	779
Nonbank	1,165	(1,402)	52
Other income	(846)	1,773	508
Total income	16,045	21,311	16,717
Expense			
Interest expense to subsidiaries and affiliates ^(b)	105	98	169
Other interest expense	4,413	3,720	3,645
Noninterest expense	1,643	2,611	827
Total expense	6,161	6,429	4,641
Income before income tax benefit and undistributed net income of subsidiaries	9,884	14,882	12,076
Income tax benefit	876	1,640	1,430
Equity in undistributed net income of subsidiaries	13,973	7,920	8,239
Net income	\$ 24,733	\$ 24,442	\$ 21,745
Other comprehensive income, net	(1,521)	(1,997)	990
Comprehensive income	\$ 23,212	\$ 22,445	\$ 22,735

Balance sheets^(a)

Balance sheets ^(a)			
December 31, (in millions)	2016		2015
Assets			
Cash and due from banks	\$ 113	\$	74
Deposits with banking subsidiaries	5,450	(65,799
Trading assets	10,326		13,830
Available-for-sale securities	2,694		3,154
Loans	77		1,887
Advances to, and receivables from, subsidiaries:			
Bank and bank holding company	524	3	32,454
Nonbank	46	Į	58,674
Investments (at equity) in subsidiaries and affiliates:			
Bank and bank holding company	422,028	22	25,613
Nonbank ^(b)	13,103	3	34,205
Other assets	10,257	-	18,088
Total assets	\$ 464,618	\$ 4	53,778
Liabilities and stockholders' equity			
Borrowings from, and payables to, subsidiaries and affiliates ^(b)	\$ 13,584	\$ 3	11,310
Other borrowed funds	3,831		3,722
Other liabilities	11,224		11,940
Long-term debt ^{(c)(d)}	181,789	17	79,233
Total liabilities ^(d)	210,428	20	06,205
Total stockholders' equity	254,190	24	47,573
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 464,618	\$ 4	53,778

Statements of cash flows ^(a)			
Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Operating activities			
Net income	\$ 24,733	\$ 24,442	\$ 21,74
Less: Net income of subsidiaries and affiliates ^(b)	27,846	26,745	22,97
Parent company net loss	(3,113)	(2,303)	(1,22
Cash dividends from subsidiaries and affiliates ^(b)	13,873	17,023	14,71
Other operating adjustments	(18,166)	2,483	(1,68
Net cash provided by operating activities	(7,406)	17,203	11,80
Investing activities			
Net change in:			
Deposits with banking subsidiaries	60,349	30,085	(31,04
Available-for-sale securities:			
Proceeds from paydowns and maturities	353	120	12,07
Other changes in loans, net	1,793	321	(31
Advances to and investments in subsidiaries and affiliates, net	(51,967)	(81)	3,30
All other investing activities, net	114	153	3
Net cash provided by/(used in) investing activities	10,642	30,598	(15,94
Financing activities			
Net change in:			
Borrowings from subsidiaries and affiliates ^(b)	2,957	(4,062)	4,45
Other borrowed funds	109	(47,483)	(5,77
Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt	41,498	42,121	40,28
Payments of long-term debt	(29,298)	(30,077)	(31,05
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock	-	5,893	8,84
Treasury stock and warrants repurchased	(9,082)	(5,616)	(4,76
Dividends paid	(8,476)	(7,873)	(6,99
All other financing activities, net	 (905)	(840)	(92
Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities	(3,197)	(47,937)	4,08
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and due from banks	 39	 (137)	 (5
Cash and due from banks at the beginning of the year	74	211	 26
Cash and due from banks at the end of the year	\$ 113	\$ 74	\$ 21
Cash interest paid	\$ 4,550	\$ 3,873	\$ 3,92
Cash income taxes paid, net	1,053	8,251	20

(a) On September 1, 2016, in connection with the Firm's 2016 Resolution Submission, the Parent Company established the IHC, and during the fourth quarter of 2016 contributed substantially all of its direct subsidiaries, other than JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (totaling \$55.4 billion), as well as most of its other assets (totaling \$160.5 billion) and intercompany indebtedness to the IHC. Total noncash assets contributed were \$62.3 billion.

(b) Affiliates include trusts that issued guaranteed capital debt securities ("issuer trusts"). For further discussion on these issuer trusts, see Note 21.

(c) At December 31, 2016, long-term debt that contractually matures in 2017 through 2021 totaled \$26.9 billion, \$21.2 billion, \$13.0 billion, \$21.9 billion and \$17.9 billion, respectively.

(d) For information regarding the Parent Company's guarantees of its subsidiaries' obligations, see Notes 21 and 29.

Supplementary information

Selected quarterly financial data (unaudited)

(Table continued on next page)

(Table continued on next page)																	
As of or for the period ended	_			20	016				2015								
(in millions, except per share, ratio, headcount data and where otherwise noted)	4	th quarter	3	ord quarter	2	nd quarter	1	1st quarter	4	th quarter	3	rd quarter	2	nd quarter	1	st quarter	
Selected income statement data																	
Total net revenue	\$	23,376	\$	24,673	\$	24,380	\$	23,239	\$	22,885	\$	22,780	\$	23,812	\$	24,066	
Total noninterest expense		13,833		14,463		13,638		13,837		14,263		15,368		14,500		14,883	
Pre-provision profit		9,543		10,210		10,742		9,402		8,622		7,412		9,312		9,183	
Provision for credit losses		864		1,271		1,402		1,824		1,251		682		935		959	
Income before income tax expense		8,679		8,939		9,340		7,578		7,371		6,730		8,377		8,224	
Income tax expense		1,952		2,653		3,140		2,058		1,937		(74)		2,087		2,310	
Net income	\$	6,727	\$	6,286	\$	6,200	\$	5,520	\$	5,434	\$	6,804	\$	6,290	\$	5,914	
Per common share data																	
Net income: Basic	\$	1.73	\$	1.60	\$	1.56	\$	1.36	\$	1.34	\$	1.70	\$	1.56	\$	1.46	
Diluted		1.71		1.58		1.55		1.35		1.32		1.68		1.54		1.45	
Average shares: Basic		3,570.7		3,597.4		3,635.8		3,669.9		3,674.2		3,694.4		3,707.8		3,725.3	
Diluted		3,606.0		3,629.6		3,666.5		3,696.9		3,704.6		3,725.6		3,743.6		3,757.5	
Market and per common share data																	
Market capitalization	\$	307,295	\$	238,277	\$	224,449	\$	216,547	\$	241,899	\$	224,438	\$	250,581	\$	224,818	
Common shares at period-end		3,561.2		3,578.3		3,612.0		3,656.7		3,663.5		3,681.1		3,698.1		3,711.1	
Share price: ^(a)																	
High	\$	87.39	\$	67.90	\$	66.20	\$	64.13	\$	69.03	\$	70.61	\$	69.82	\$	62.96	
Low		66.10		58.76		57.05		52.50		58.53		50.07		59.65		54.27	
Close		86.29		66.59		62.14		59.22		66.03		60.97		67.76		60.58	
Book value per share		64.06		63.79		62.67		61.28		60.46		59.67		58.49		57.77	
Tangible book value per share ("TBVPS") ^(b)		51.44		51.23		50.21		48.96		48.13		47.36		46.13		45.45	
Cash dividends declared per share		0.48		0.48		0.48		0.44		0.44		0.44		0.44		0.40	
Selected ratios and metrics																	
Return on common equity ("ROE")		119	6	10%	6	10%	6	9%		9%)	12%	•	11%)	11%	
Return on tangible common equity ("ROTCE") $^{(b)}$		14		13		13		12		11		15		14		14	
Return on assets ("ROA")		1.06		1.01		1.02		0.93		0.90		1.11		1.01		0.94	
Overhead ratio		59		59		56		60		62		67		61		62	
Loans-to-deposits ratio		65		65		66		64		65		64		61		56	
HQLA (in billions) ^(c)	\$	524	\$	539	\$	516	\$	505	\$	496	\$	505	\$	532	\$	614	
CET1 capital ratio ^(d)		12.4%	6	12.0%	6	12.0%	6	11.9%		11.8%)	11.5%)	11.2%)	10.7%	
Tier 1 capital ratio ^(d)		14.1		13.6		13.6		13.5		13.5		13.3		12.8		12.1	
Total capital ratio ^(d)		15.5		15.1		15.2		15.1		15.1		14.9		14.4		13.6	
Tier 1 leverage ratio		8.4		8.5		8.5		8.6		8.5		8.4		8.0		7.5	
Selected balance sheet data (period-end)																	
Trading assets	\$	372,130	\$	374,837	\$	380,793	\$	366,153	\$	343,839	\$	361,708	\$	377,870	\$	398,981	
Securities		289,059		272,401		278,610		285,323		290,827		306,660		317,795		331,136	
Loans		894,765		888,054		872,804		847,313		837,299		809,457		791,247		764,185	
Core loans		806,152		795,077		775,813		746,196		732,093		698,988		674,767		641,285	
Average core loans		799,698		779,383		760,721		737,297		715,282		680,224		654,551		631,955	
Total assets		2,490,972		2,521,029		2,466,096		2,423,808		2,351,698		2,416,635		2,449,098		,576,619	
Deposits	1	L,375,179		1,376,138		1,330,958		1,321,816	1	1,279,715		1,273,106		1,287,332	1	,367,887	
Long-term debt ^(e)		295,245		309,418		295,627		290,754		288,651		292,503		286,240		280,123	
Common stockholders' equity		228,122		228,263		226,355		224,089		221,505		219,660		216,287		214,371	
Total stockholders' equity		254,190		254,331		252,423		250,157		247,573		245,728		241,205		235,864	
Headcount		243,355		242,315		240,046		237,420		234,598		235,678		237,459		241,145	

(Table continued from previous page)

As of or for the period ended		20						2015									
(in millions, except ratio data)	41	4th quarter		3rd quarter 2nd quarte			1st quarter		4th quarter		3rd quarter		2r	2nd quarter		st quarter	
Credit quality metrics																	
Allowance for credit losses	\$	14,854	\$	15,304	\$	15,187	\$	15,008	\$	14,341	\$	14,201	\$	14,535	\$	14,658	
Allowance for loan losses to total retained loans		1.55%		1.61%		1.64%		1.66%		1.63%	,	1.67%	1.78%		5	1.86%	
Allowance for loan losses to retained loans excluding purchased credit-impaired loans ^(f)		1.34		1.37		1.40		1.40		1.37		1.40		1.45		1.52	
Nonperforming assets	\$	7,535	\$	7,779	\$	7,757	\$	8,023	\$	7,034	\$	7,294	\$	7,588	\$	7,714	
Net charge-offs		1,280		1,121		1,181		1,110		1,064		963		1,007		1,052	
Net charge-off rate		0.58%)	0.51%	b b	0.56%	b	0.53%		0.52%	,	0.49%)	0.53%	5	0.57%	

Note: Effective January 1, 2016, the Firm adopted new accounting guidance related to (1) the recognition and measurement of DVA on financial liabilities where the fair value option has been elected, and (2) the accounting for employee stock-based incentive payments. For additional information, see Accounting and Reporting Developments on pages 135-137 and Notes 3, 4, and 25.

 (a) Share prices are from the New York Stock Exchange.
 (b) TBVPS and ROTCE are non-GAAP financial measures. For further discussion of these measures, see Explanation and Reconciliation of the Firm's Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures and Key Financial Performance Measures on pages 48-50.

(c)

HQLA represents the amount of assets that qualify for inclusion in the liquidity coverage ratio under the U.S. rule ("U.S. LCR"). For additional information, see HQLA on page 111. Ratios presented are calculated under the Basel III Transitional rules and for the capital ratios represent the Collins Floor. See Capital Risk Management on pages 76-85 for additional information on Basel III. Included unsecured long-term debt of \$212.6 billion, \$226.8 billion, \$226.1 billion, \$216.1 billion, \$214.6 billion, \$209.1 billion, \$209.0 billion respectively, for the periods presented. (d) (e) (f) Excludes the impact of residential real estate PCI loans, a non-GAAP financial measure. For further discussion of these measures, see Explanation and Reconciliation of the Firm's Use of Non-GAAP Financial

Measures and Key Performance Measures on pages 48-50. For further discussion, see Allowance for credit losses on pages 105-107.

Distribution of assets, liabilities and stockholders' equity; interest rates and interest differentials

Consolidated average balance sheet, interest and rates

Provided below is a summary of JPMorgan Chase's consolidated average balances, interest rates and interest differentials on a taxable-equivalent basis for the years 2014 through 2016. Income computed on a taxableequivalent basis is the income reported in the Consolidated statements of income, adjusted to present interest income and average rates earned on assets exempt from income taxes (primarily federal taxes) on a basis comparable with other taxable investments. The incremental tax rate used for calculating the taxable-equivalent adjustment was approximately 38% in 2016, 2015 and 2014.

(Table continued on next page))
--------------------------------	---

		2016	
Year ended December 31, (Taxable-equivalent interest and rates; in millions, except rates)	Average balance	Interest ^(e)	Average rate
Assets			
Deposits with banks	\$ 392,160	\$ 1,863	0.48%
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	205,368	2,265	1.10
Securities borrowed	102,964	(332) ^(f)	(0.32)
Trading assets	215,565	7,373	3.42
Taxable securities	235,211	5,538	2.35
Non-taxable securities ^(a)	44,176	2,662	6.03
Total securities	279,387	8,200	2.94 (h
Loans	866,378	36,866 ^(g)	4.26
Other assets ^(b)	 39,782	875	2.20
Total interest-earning assets	2,101,604	57,110	2.72
Allowance for loan losses	(13,965)		
Cash and due from banks	18,660		
Trading assets - equity instruments	95,528		
Trading assets - derivative receivables	70,897		
Goodwill	47,310		
Mortgage servicing rights	5,520		
Other intangible assets:			
Purchased credit card relationships	17		
Other intangibles	905		
Other assets	135,143		
Total assets	\$ 2,461,619		
Liabilities			
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ 925,270	\$ 1,356	0.15%
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements	178,720	1,089	0.61
Commercial paper	15,001	135	0.90
Trading liabilities - debt, short-term and other liabilities ^(c)	198,904	1,170	0.59
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs	40,180	504	1.25
Long-term debt	 295,573	5,564	1.88
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,653,648	9,818	0.59
Noninterest-bearing deposits	402,698		
Trading liabilities - equity instruments	20,737		
Trading liabilities - derivative payables	55,927		
All other liabilities, including the allowance for lending-related commitments	77,910		
Total liabilities	2,210,920		
Stockholders' equity			
Preferred stock	26,068		
Common stockholders' equity	224,631	 	
Total stockholders' equity	250,699 ^(d)		
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 2,461,619		
Interest rate spread	 	 	2.13%
Net interest income and net yield on interest-earning assets	 	\$ 47,292	2.25

(a) Represents securities that are tax exempt for U.S. Federal income tax purposes.

(b) Includes margin loans.

(c) Includes brokerage customer payables.

(d) The ratio of average stockholders' equity to average assets was 10.2% for 2016, 9.7% for 2015, and 9.2% for 2014. The return on average stockholders' equity, based on net income, was 9.9% for 2016, 10.2% for 2015, and 9.7% for 2014.

(e) Interest includes the effect of related hedging derivatives. Taxable-equivalent amounts are used where applicable.

(f) Securities borrowed's negative interest income and yield, for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, are a result of client-driven demand for certain securities combined with the impact of low interest rates; the offset of this stock borrow activity is reflected as lower net interest expense reported within short-term and other liabilities.

(g) Fees and commissions on loans included in loan interest amounted to \$808 million in 2016, \$936 million in 2015, and \$1.1 billion in 2014.

(h) The annualized rate for securities based on amortized cost was 2.99% in 2016, 2.94% in 2015, and 2.82% in 2014, and does not give effect to changes in fair value that are reflected in AOCI.

(i) Reflects a benefit from the favorable market environments for dollar-roll financings.

Within the Consolidated average balance sheets, interest and rates summary, the principal amounts of nonaccrual loans have been included in the average loan balances used to determine the average interest rate earned on loans. For additional information on nonaccrual loans, including interest accrued, see Note 14.

			2015					2014	
	Average balance	Ir	nterest ^(e)	Average rate		Average balance	Ir	nterest ^(e)	Average rate
5	427,963	\$	1,250	0.29%	\$	358,072	\$	1,157	0.32%
	206,637	4	1,592	0.77	Ψ	230,489	4	1,642	0.71
	105,273		(532) ^(f)	(0.50)		116,540		(501) ^(f)	(0.43)
	206,385		6,694	3.24		210,609		7,386	3.51
	273,730		6,550	2.39		318,970		7,617	2.39
	42,125		2,556	6.07		34,359		2,158	6.28
	315,855		9,106	2.88 ^(h)		353,329		9,775	2.77
	787,318		33,321 ^(g)	4.23		739,175		32,394 ^(g)	4.38
	38,811		652	1.68		40,879		663	1.62
	2,088,242		52,083	2.49		2,049,093		52,516	2.56
	(13,885)					(15,418)			
	22,042					25,650			
	105,489					116,650			
	73,290					67,123			
	47,445					48,029			
	6,902					8,387			
	25					62			
	1,067					1,316			
	138,792					146,343			
	2,469,409				\$	2,447,235			
	876,840	\$	1,252	0.14%	\$	872,893	\$	1,633	0.19%
	192,510		609	0.32		208,560		604 ⁽ⁱ⁾	0.29
	38,140		110	0.29		59,916		134	0.22
	207,810		622	0.30		220,137		712	0.32
	49,200		435	0.88		47,974		405	0.84
	284,940		4,435	1.56		269,814		4,409	1.63
	1,649,440		7,463	0.45		1,679,294		7,897	0.47
	418,948					391,408			
	17,282					16,246			
	64,716					54,758			
	79,293					81,111			
_	2,229,679					2,222,817			
	24,040					17,018			
	215,690					207,400			
	239,730 ^(d)					224,418 ^{(d})		
	2,469,409				\$	2,447,235			
				2.04%					2.099
		\$	44,620	2.14			\$	44,619	2.18

Interest rates and interest differential analysis of net interest income - U.S. and non-U.S.

Presented below is a summary of interest rates and interest differentials segregated between U.S. and non-U.S. operations for the years 2014 through 2016. The segregation of U.S. and non-U.S. components is based on

the location of the office recording the transaction. Intercompany funding generally consists of dollardenominated deposits originated in various locations that are centrally managed by Treasury and CIO.

(Table continued on next page)

Non-U.S. 63,329 155 0.25 Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements: 112,902 1,166 1.03 Non-U.S. 92,466 1,099 1.19 Securities borrowed: 73,297 (341) (0.46) Non-U.S. 29,667 9 0.03 Trading assets - debt instruments: 29,667 9 0.03 U.S. 116,211 3,825 3.29 Non-U.S. 29,354 3,548 3.57 Securities: 116,211 3,825 3.29 Non-U.S. 216,726 6,971 3.22 Non-U.S. 216,726 6,971 3.22 Non-U.S. 78,161 1,756 2.25 Other assets, predominantly U.S. 78,165 1,756 2.25 Other assets, predominantly U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 Non-U.S. 703,738 0,6			2016	
Deposits with banks: \$ 328,831 \$ 1,708 0.524 U.S. 63,329 155 0.25 Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements: 112,202 1,166 1.03 Non-U.S. 92,466 1,099 1.19 Securities borrowed: 73,297 (341) 61 (0,03) Non-U.S. 29,667 9 0.03 Trading assets - debt instruments: 116,211 3,825 3,29 Non-U.S. 29,667 9 0.03 Securities: 116,211 3,825 3,29 Non-U.S. 29,667 9 0.03 Securities: 116,211 3,825 3,29 Non-U.S. 29,867 1,229 1,97 Coans: 116,211 3,825 3,29 Non-U.S. 788,213 35,110 4,45 Non-U.S. 788,213 35,110 4,45 Non-U.S. 78,165 1,756 2,25 Otch interest-bearing inabilities 10,29 1,15	Year ended December 31, (Taxable-equivalent interest and rates; in millions, except rates)	Average balance	Interest	Average rate
LS. \$ 328,831 \$ 1,708 0,529 NON-U.S. 63,329 155 0,25 Ederal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements: 112,902 1,166 1.03 Non-U.S. 92,466 1,099 1,19 Securities borrowed: 22,667 9 0.03 Trading assets - debt instruments: 29,657 9,033 3,548 3,579 Non-U.S. 99,354 3,548 3,579 3,548 3,579 Securities: 116,211 3,825 3,299 Non-U.S. 99,354 3,548 3,579 Securities: 116,211 3,825 3,299 Non-U.S. 10,211 3,825 3,299 Non-U.S. 16,2661 1,229 1,97 10,32<	Interest-earning assets			
Non-U.S. 63,329 155 0.25 Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements: 112,902 1,166 1.03 Non-U.S. 92,466 1,099 1.19 Securities borrowed: 73,297 (341) (0.46) Non-U.S. 73,297 (341) (0.46) Non-U.S. 29,667 9 0.03 Trading assets - debt instruments: 116,211 3,825 3.29 Non-U.S. 93,54 3,548 3.57 Securities: 116,211 3,825 3.29 Non-U.S. 216,726 6,971 3.22 Non-U.S. 216,726 6,971 3.22 Non-U.S. 78,161 1,756 2.25 Other assets, predominantly U.S. 78,161 1,756 2.25 Other assets, predominantly U.S. 703,738 1,029 0,15 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0,15 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0,15 Non-U.S. 133,788	Deposits with banks:			
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements: 112,902 1,166 1.03 Non-U.S. 92,466 1,099 1.19 Securities borrowed: 73,297 (341) (0,46) Non-U.S. 73,297 (341) (0,46) Non-U.S. 73,297 (341) (0,46) Non-U.S. 73,297 (341) (0,46) Non-U.S. 79,267 9 0.03 Trading assets - debt instruments: 116,211 3,825 3,299 Non-U.S. 99,354 3,548 3,57 Securities: 116,211 3,825 3,299 Non-U.S. 216,726 6,971 3,222 Non-U.S. 2216,726 6,971 3,222 Non-U.S. 78,851 3,5,110 4,45 Non-U.S. 78,165 1,756 2,225 Ottal interest-earing assets 2,010,604 5,110 2,72 Interest-bearing idabilities 1,010 2,72 2,01 Total interest-earing iassets	U.S.	\$ 328,831 \$	1,708	0.52%
U.S. 112,902 1,166 1.03 Non-U.S. 92,466 1,099 1.19 Securities borrowed: 23,667 9 0.03 Tading assets - debt instruments: 329,667 9 0.03 Tading assets - debt instruments: 116,211 3,825 3.29 Non-U.S. 99,354 3,548 3.57 Securities: 112,902 6,971 3.22 Non-U.S. 62,661 1,229 1.97 Loans: 62,661 1,229 1.97 Loans: 788,213 35,110 4.45 Non-U.S. 788,213 35,110 4.45 Non-U.S. 781,165 1,756 2.20 Otal interest-earing assets 210,160 57,110 2.72 Interest-bearing deposits: U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 Non-U.S. 221,532 327 0.15 15 Non-U.S. 221,532 327 0.15 Non-U.S. 121,945 773	Non-U.S.	63,329	155	0.25
Non-U.S. 92,466 1,099 1.19 Securities borrowed:	Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements:			
Securities borrowed: 73,297 (341) (0,0,6) U.S. 73,297 (341) (0,0,6) Non-U.S. 29,667 9 0.03 Trading assets - debt instruments: 116,211 3,825 3.29 Non-U.S. 99,354 3,548 3.57 Securities: 216,726 6,971 3.22 Non-U.S. 62,661 1,229 1.97 Loans: 216,726 6,971 3.22 Non-U.S. 62,661 1,229 1.97 Loans: 39,782 875 2.20 Otter assets, predominantly U.S. 78,8,213 35,110 4.45 Non-U.S. 78,8165 1,756 2.25 Otter assets, predominantly U.S. 78,738 1,029 0.15 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 Non-U.S. 221,532 327 0.15 Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements: U.S. Non-U.S. 6,675 316 0.56	U.S.	112,902	1,166	1.03
U.S. 73,297 (341) (0.46) Non-U.S. 29,667 9 0.03 Trading assets - debt instruments: 3,825 3,29 0.03 U.S. 116,211 3,825 3,29 Non-U.S. 99,354 3,548 3,57 Securities: 2 116,211 3,825 3,29 U.S. 216,726 6,971 3,22 Non-U.S. 62,661 1,229 1,97 Loans: U.S. 78,8213 35,110 4,45 Non-U.S. 78,165 1,756 2,25 Other assets, predominantly U.S. 39,782 875 2,20 Total interest-earning assets 2,101,604 57,110 2,72 Interest-bearing deposits: 221,532 327 0,15 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0,15 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0,15 Non-U.S. 56,775 316 0,60 Non-U.S. 56,775 316 0,56 <td>Non-U.S.</td> <td>92,466</td> <td>1,099</td> <td>1.19</td>	Non-U.S.	92,466	1,099	1.19
Non-U.S. 29,667 9 0.03 Trading assets - debt instruments:	Securities borrowed:			
Trading assets - debt instruments: 116,211 3,825 3.29 Non-U.S. 99,354 3,548 3.57 Securities: 116,211 3,825 3.29 Non-U.S. 99,354 3,548 3.57 Securities: 120,726 6,971 3.22 Non-U.S. 62,661 1,229 1,97 U.S. 788,213 35,110 4.45 Non-U.S. 78,165 1,756 2.20 Other assets, predominantly U.S. 39,782 875 2.20 Total interest-earning assets 2,101,604 57,110 2.72 Interest-bearing idabilities 111,1064 57,110 2.72 Interest-bearing idabilities 112,1945 773 0.63 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 Non-U.S. 121,945 773 0.63 Non-U.S. 56,775 316 0.56 Trading liabilities - debt, short-term and other liabilities. ⁶⁰ 133,788 86 ⁶⁰ U.S. 133,788 86 ⁶⁰ 0.06 Non-U.S. 124,04	U.S.	73,297	(341) ^(c)	(0.46)
U.S. 116,211 3,825 3.29 Non-U.S. 99,354 3,548 3.578 Securities: 216,726 6,971 3.222 Non-U.S. 62,661 1,229 1,97 Loans: 788,213 35,110 4.45 Non-U.S. 788,213 35,110 4.45 Non-U.S. 788,213 35,110 4.45 Other assets, predominantly U.S. 78,165 1,756 2.25 Other assets, predominantly U.S. 78,165 1,756 2.25 Interest-earning assets 2,101,604 57,110 2.72 Interest-bearing liabilities 1 1.10,29 0.15 0.15 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 0.15 0.15 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 0.63 0.56 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 0.63 0.66 0.60 Non-U.S. 133,788 86 60 0.66 Non-U.S. 133,788 86 60 0.66 Non-U.S. 12,404 31 0.25 1.25 </td <td>Non-U.S.</td> <td>29,667</td> <td>9</td> <td>0.03</td>	Non-U.S.	29,667	9	0.03
Non-U.S. 99,354 3,548 3.57 Securities: 216,726 6,971 3.22 Non-U.S. 62,661 1,229 1.97 Loans: 10,5,0 788,213 35,110 4.45 Non-U.S. 781,165 1,776 2.220 Other assets, predominantly U.S. 39,782 875 2.200 Total interest-earing assets 2,101,604 57,110 2.722 Interest-bearing liabilities 10,004 57,110 2.722 Interest-bearing deposits: 21,1532 327 0.15 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 Pederal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements: 21,1945 773 0.63 Non-U.S. 121,945 773 0.63 0.06	Trading assets - debt instruments:			
Securities: 216,726 6,971 3.22 Non-U.S. 62,661 1,229 1,97 Loans: 1,229 1,97 U.S. 788,213 35,110 4,45 Non-U.S. 788,213 35,110 4,45 Other assets, predominantly U.S. 78,165 1,756 2.25 Other assets, predominantly U.S. 39,782 875 2.20 Interest-tearning assets 2,101,604 57,110 2.72 Interest-bearing liabilities 21,532 327 0.15 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 Non-U.S. Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements: 0.56 U.S. 133,788 86 60 0.06 Non-U.S. 133,788	U.S.	116,211	3,825	3.29
U.S. 216,726 6,971 3.22 Non-U.S. 62,661 1,229 1,97 Loans: 35,110 4,45 Non-U.S. 78,8,213 35,110 4,45 Non-U.S. 78,165 1,756 2.25 Other assets, predominantly U.S. 78,165 1,756 2.20 Total interest-bearing labilities 39,782 875 2.20 Interest-bearing deposits: 2,101,604 57,110 2.72 U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements: U.S. 121,945 773 0.63 Non-U.S. 121,945 773 0.63 0.06 Non-U.S. 133,788 86 60 0.06 Non-U.S. 133,788 86 60 0.06 Non-U.S. 1.52 Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs, predominantly U.S. 40,180 504 1.25 Long-term debt: U.S. 124,004 31 0.25	Non-U.S.	99,354	3,548	3.57
Non-U.S. 62,661 1,229 1,97 Loans: 788,213 35,110 4.45 Non-U.S. 788,615 1,756 2,20 Other assets, predominantly U.S. 39,782 875 2,20 Total interest-earning assets 2,101,604 57,110 2,72 Interest-bearing liabilities 1 1,029 0.15 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 Non-U.S. 221,532 327 0.63 Non-U.S. 56,775 316 0.56 Trading liabilities - debt, short-term and other liabilities: ⁶⁰ 0.06 Non-U.S. 133,788 86 ⁶⁰ 0.06 Non-U.S. 133,788 86 ⁶⁰ 0.06 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25	Securities:			
Loans: 788,213 35,110 4.45 Non-U.S. 78,165 1,756 2.25 Other assets, predominantly U.S. 39,782 875 2.20 Interest-earning assets 2,101,604 57,110 2.722 Interest-bearing liabilities 703,738 1,029 0.15 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements: 221,532 327 0.63 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 0.63 0.66 Non-U.S. 56,775 316 0.56 0.66 0.06	U.S.	216,726	6,971	3.22
U.S. 788,213 35,110 4.45 Non-U.S. 78,165 1,756 2.25 Other assets, predominantly U.S. 39,782 875 2.20 Total interest-bearing labilities 2,101,604 57,110 2.72 Interest-bearing labilities 703,738 1,029 0.15 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 Non-U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 Non-U.S. 773 0.63 0.63 Non-U.S. 56,775 316 0.56 Trading liabilities - debt, short-term and other liabilities: ^(a) 133,788 86 (^{o)} U.S. 133,788 86 (^{o)} 0.63 Non-U.S. 80,117 1,219 1.52 Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs, predominantly U.S. 40,180 504 1.25 Long-term debt: U.S. 12,404 31 0.25 Intercompany funding: U.S. 12,404 31 </td <td>Non-U.S.</td> <td>62,661</td> <td>1,229</td> <td>1.97</td>	Non-U.S.	62,661	1,229	1.97
Non-U.S. 78,165 1,756 2.25 Other assets, predominantly U.S. 39,782 875 2.20 Total interest-earning assets 2,101,604 57,110 2.72 Interest-bearing liabilities	Loans:			
Other assets, predominantly U.S. 39,782 875 2.20 Total interest-earning assets 2,101,604 57,110 2.72 Interest-bearing liabilities	U.S.	788,213	35,110	4.45
Total interest-earning assets 2,101,604 57,110 2.72 Interest-bearing liabilities Interest-bearing liabilities Interest-bearing deposits: Interest-bearing deposits:	Non-U.S.	78,165	1,756	2.25
Interest-bearing liabilities Interest-bearing deposits: U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 Non-U.S. 221,532 327 0.15 Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements: 221,945 773 0.63 Non-U.S. 121,945 773 0.63 Non-U.S. 56,775 316 0.56 Trading liabilities - debt, short-term and other liabilities: ^(a) 133,788 86 (c) U.S. 133,788 86 0.06 Non-U.S. 133,788 86 0.06 Non-U.S. 133,788 86 0.06 Non-U.S. 1.25 0.50 1.25 Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs, predominantly U.S. 40,180 504 1.25 Long-term debt: U.S. 283,169 5,533 1.95 U.S. 283,169 5,533 1.95 Non-U.S. 20,405 10 - U.S. (20,405) 10 - U.S. 20,405 <t< td=""><td>Other assets, predominantly U.S.</td><td>39,782</td><td>875</td><td>2.20</td></t<>	Other assets, predominantly U.S.	39,782	875	2.20
Interest-bearing deposits: 703,738 1,029 0.15 Non-U.S. 221,532 327 0.15 Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements: 121,945 773 0.63 Non-U.S. 121,945 773 0.63 Non-U.S. 133,788 86 (°) U.S. 133,788 86 (°) 0.066 Non-U.S. 40,180 504 1.25 Long-term debt: U.S. 40,180 504 1.25 Long-term debt: U.S. 12,404 31 0.25 Intercompany funding: U.S. (20,405) 10 - U.S. (20,405) 10 - - Non-U.S. (20,405) (10) - - U.S. (20,405) (1	Total interest-earning assets	2,101,604	57,110	2.72
U.S. 703,738 1,029 0.15 Non-U.S. 221,532 327 0.15 Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements: 121,945 773 0.63 Non-U.S. 56,775 316 0.56 Trading liabilities - debt, short-term and other liabilities: ⁽ⁱⁱ⁾ 133,788 86 (ⁱⁱ⁾ U.S. 133,788 86 (ⁱⁱ⁾ 0.06 Non-U.S. 80,117 1,219 1.52 Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs, predominantly U.S. 40,180 504 1.25 Long-term debt: 12,404 31 0.25 Intercompany funding: U.S. 12,404 31 0.25 Intercompany funding: U.S. 1,653,648 9,818 0.59 Non-U.S. 1,653,648 9,818 0.59 Non-U.S. 1,653,648 9,818 0.47%	Interest-bearing liabilities			
Non-U.S. 221,532 327 0.15 Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements:	Interest-bearing deposits:			
Federal funds purchase and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements: U.S. 121,945 773 0.63 Non-U.S. 56,775 316 0.56 Trading liabilities - debt, short-term and other liabilities: ^(a) 133,788 86 (c) U.S. 133,788 86 (c) 0.06 Non-U.S. 80,117 1,219 1.52 Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs, predominantly U.S. 40,180 504 1.25 Long-term debt: 283,169 5,533 1.95 Non-U.S. 12,404 31 0.25 Intercompany funding: U.S. (20,405) 10 - Non-U.S. 20,405 (10) - Intercompany funding: U.S. (20,405) 10 - Non-U.S. 20,405 (10) - Non-U.S. 1,653,648 9,818 0.59 Noninterest-bearing liabilities ^(h) 447,956 Noninter	U.S.	703,738	1,029	0.15
U.S. 121,945 773 0.63 Non-U.S. 56,775 316 0.56 Trading liabilities - debt, short-term and other liabilities: ^(a) 133,788 86 (^{c)} 0.06 Non-U.S. 133,788 86 (^{c)} 0.06 Non-U.S. 80,117 1,219 1.52 Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs, predominantly U.S. 40,180 504 1.25 Long-term debt: 12,404 31 0.25 Intercompany funding: 12,404 31 0.25 Intercompany funding: 10 - U.S. 20,405 10 - Non-U.S. 1,653,648 9,818 0.59 Non-U.S. 1,653,648 9,818 0.59 Noninterest-bearing liabilities ^(b) 447,956 - - Total investable funds \$ 2,101,604 \$ 9,818 0.47%	Non-U.S.	221,532	327	0.15
Non-U.S. 56,775 316 0.56 Trading liabilities - debt, short-term and other liabilities: ^(a) 133,788 86 (c) 0.06 Non-U.S. 133,788 86 (c) 0.06 Non-U.S. 80,117 1,219 1.52 Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs, predominantly U.S. 40,180 504 1.25 Long-term debt: 1 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 U.S. 283,169 5,533 1.95	Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements:			
Trading liabilities - debt, short-term and other liabilities: ^(a) 133,788 86 (c) 0.06 Non-U.S. 80,117 1,219 1.52 Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs, predominantly U.S. 40,180 504 1.25 Long-term debt: 1 1.219 1.52 U.S. 283,169 5,533 1.95 Non-U.S. 12,404 31 0.25 Intercompany funding: 1 1.25 1.25 U.S. (20,405) 10 - Non-U.S. 20,405 (10) - Total interest-bearing liabilities ^(b) 447,956 447,956 5 Total investable funds \$ 2,101,604 9,818 0.47%	U.S.	121,945	773	0.63
U.S. 133,788 86 (°) 0.06 Non-U.S. 80,117 1,219 1.52 Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs, predominantly U.S. 40,180 504 1.25 Long-term debt: 12,404 31 0.25 Non-U.S. 12,404 31 0.25 Intercompany funding: 10 - U.S. 20,405 (10) - Total interest-bearing liabilities ^(b) 447,956 447,956 - Total investable funds \$ 2,101,604 9,818 0.47%	Non-U.S.	56,775	316	0.56
Non-U.S. 80,117 1,219 1.52 Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs, predominantly U.S. 40,180 504 1.25 Long-term debt: 12,404 31 0.25 Non-U.S. 12,404 31 0.25 Intercompany funding: 10 - U.S. 20,405 10 - Non-U.S. 1,653,648 9,818 0.59 Nonitterest-bearing liabilities ^(b) 447,956 - Total investable funds \$ 2,101,604 9,818 0.47%	Trading liabilities - debt, short-term and other liabilities: ^(a)			
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs, predominantly U.S. 40,180 504 1.25 Long-term debt: 283,169 5,533 1.95 U.S. 283,169 5,533 1.95 Non-U.S. 12,404 31 0.25 Intercompany funding:	U.S.	133,788	86 ^(c)	0.06
Long-term debt: 283,169 5,533 1.95 U.S. 283,169 5,533 1.95 Non-U.S. 12,404 31 0.25 Intercompany funding:	Non-U.S.	80,117	1,219	1.52
U.S. 283,169 5,533 1.95 Non-U.S. 12,404 31 0.25 Intercompany funding:	Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs, predominantly U.S.	40,180	504	1.25
Non-U.S. 12,404 31 0.25 Intercompany funding:	Long-term debt:			
Intercompany funding: (20,405) 10 – Non-U.S. 20,405 (10) – Total interest-bearing liabilities 1,653,648 9,818 0.59 Noninterest-bearing liabilities ^(b) 447,956 – Total investable funds \$ 2,101,604 \$ 9,818 0.47%	U.S.	283,169	5,533	1.95
U.S. (20,405) 10 - Non-U.S. 20,405 (10) - Total interest-bearing liabilities 1,653,648 9,818 0.59 Noninterest-bearing liabilities ^(b) 447,956 - Total investable funds \$ 2,101,604 \$ 9,818 0.47%	Non-U.S.	12,404	31	0.25
Non-U.S. 20,405 (10) - Total interest-bearing liabilities 1,653,648 9,818 0.59 Noninterest-bearing liabilities ^(b) 447,956 - - Total investable funds \$ 2,101,604 \$ 9,818 0.47%	Intercompany funding:			
Total interest-bearing liabilities 1,653,648 9,818 0.59 Noninterest-bearing liabilities ^(b) 447,956 447,956 7000 9,818 0.47% Total investable funds \$ 2,101,604 \$ 9,818 0.47% 0.47%	U.S.	(20,405)	10	-
Noninterest-bearing liabilities ^(b) 447,956 Total investable funds \$ 2,101,604 \$ 9,818 0.47%	Non-U.S.	20,405	(10)	
Total investable funds \$ 2,101,604 \$ 9,818 0.47%	Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,653,648	9,818	0.59
	Noninterest-bearing liabilities ^(b)	447,956		
	Total investable funds	\$ 2,101,604 \$	9,818	0.47%
Net interest income and net yield: \$ 47,292 2.25%	Net interest income and net yield:	\$	47,292	2.25%
U.S. 40,705 2.49	U.S.		40,705	2.49
Non-U.S. 6,587 1.42	Non-U.S.		6,587	1.42
Percentage of total assets and liabilities attributable to non-U.S. operations:	Percentage of total assets and liabilities attributable to non-U.S. operations:			
Assets 23.1	Assets			23.1
Liabilities 20.7	Liabilities			20.7

(a) Includes commercial paper.

(b) Represents the amount of noninterest-bearing liabilities funding interest-earning assets.

(c) Securities borrowed's negative interest income and yield, for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, are a result of client-driven demand for certain securities combined with the impact of low interest rates; the offset of this stock borrow activity is reflected as lower net interest expense reported within trading liabilities - debt, short-term and other liabilities.

(d) Reflects a benefit from the favorable market environments for dollar-roll financings.

For further information, see the "Net interest income" discussion in Consolidated Results of Operations on pages 40-42.

	2015				2014	
Average balance	Interest	Average rate	Ave	rage balance	Interest	Average rate
388,833 \$	1 021	0.2(0)	¢	220.145 ¢	0.25	0.250
	1,021 229	0.26%	\$	328,145 \$	825	0.25%
39,130	229	0.59		29,927	332	1.11
118,945	900	0.76		125,812	719	0.57
87,692	692	0.79		104,677	923	0.88
				,		
78,815	(562) ^(c)	(0.71)		77,228	(573) ^(c)	(0.74)
26,458	30	0.11		39,312	72	0.18
106,465	3,572	3.35		109,678	4,045	3.69
99,920	3,122	3.12		100,931	3,341	3.31
	-,	•			-,	
200,240	6,676	3.33		193,856	6,586	3.40
115,615	2,430	2.10		159,473	3,189	2.00
699,664	31,468	4.50		635,846	30,165	4.74
87,654	1,853	2.11		103,329	2,229	2.16
38,811	652	1.68		40,879	663	1.62
2,088,242	52,083	2.49		2,049,093	52,516	2.56
638,756	761	0.12		620,708	813	0.13
238,084	491	0.21		252,185	820	0.33
140,609	366	0.26		146,025	130 ^(d)	0.09
51,901	243	0.47		62,535	474	0.76
166,838	(394) ^(c)	(0.24)		194,771	(284) ^(c)	(0.15)
79,112	1,126	1.42		85,282	1,130	1.33
49,200	435	0.88		47,974	405	0.84
,				,		
273,033	4,386	1.61		256,726	4,366	1.70
11,907	49	0.41		13,088	43	0.33
(50,517)	7			(122,467)	(176)	_
50,517	(7)	_		122,467	176	_
1,649,440	7,463	0.45		1,679,294	7,897	0.47
438,802	7,100	0110		369,799	,,,,,,,,	
5 2,088,242 \$	7,463	0.36%	\$	2,049,093 \$	7,897	0.39%
\$	44,620	2.14%		\$	44,619	2.189
	38,033	2.34			37,018	2.46
	6,587	1.42			7,601	1.39
		24.7				28.9
		24.7 21.1				28.9

Changes in net interest income, volume and rate analysis

The table below presents an analysis of the effect on net interest income from volume and rate changes for the periods 2016 versus 2015 and 2015 versus 2014. In this analysis, when the change cannot be isolated to either volume or rate, it has been allocated to volume.

		20)16 v	versus 201	15			20	015	versus 201	4	
	Inci	rease/(de to chai					Increase/(decrease) due to change in:					
Year ended December 31, (On a taxable-equivalent basis; in millions)	Vo	olume		Rate		Net change	Vc	olume		Rate		et Inge
Interest-earning assets												
Deposits with banks:												
U.S.	\$	(324)	\$	1,011	\$	687	\$	163	\$	33	\$	196
Non-U.S.		59		(133)		(74)		53		(156)		(103)
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements:												
U.S.		(55)		321		266		(58)		239		181
Non-U.S.		56		351		407		(137)		(94)		(231)
Securities borrowed:												
U.S.		24		197		221		(12)		23		11
Non-U.S.		-		(21)		(21)		(14)		(28)		(42)
Trading assets - debt instruments:												
U.S.		317		(64)		253		(100)		(373)		(473)
Non-U.S.		(24)		450		426		(27)		(192)		(219)
Securities:												
U.S.		515		(220)		295		226		(136)		90
Non-U.S.		(1,051)		(150)		(1,201)		(918)		159		(759)
Loans:												
U.S.		3,992		(350)		3,642		2,829		(1,526)		1,303
Non-U.S.		(220)		123		(97)		(324)		(52)		(376)
Other assets, predominantly U.S.		21		202		223		(36)		25		(11)
Change in interest income		3,310		1,717		5,027		1,645		(2,078)		(433)
Interest-bearing liabilities												
Interest-bearing deposits:												
U.S.		76		192		268		10		(62)		(52)
Non-U.S.		(21)		(143)		(164)		(26)		(303)		(329)
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements:												
U.S.		(113)		520		407		(12)		248		236
Non-U.S.		26		47		73		(50)		(181)		(231)
Trading liabilities - debt, short-term and other liabilities: ${}^{(a)}$												
U.S.		(24)		504		480		66		(176)		(110)
Non-U.S.		14		79		93		(81)		77		(4)
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs, predominantly U.S.		(113)		182		69		11		19		30
Long-term debt:												
U.S.		219		928		1,147		251		(231)		20
Non-U.S.		1		(19)		(18)		(4)		10		6
Intercompany funding:												
U.S.		(17)		20		3		(1)		184		183
Non-U.S.		17		(20)		(3)		1		(184)		(183)
Change in interest expense		65		2,290		2,355		165		(599)		(434)
Change in net interest income	\$	3,245	\$	(573)	\$	2,672	\$	1,480	\$	(1,479)	\$	1

(a) Includes commercial paper.

2016 Annual Report or 2016 Form 10-K: Annual report on Form 10-K for year ended December 31, 2016, filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

ABS: Asset-backed securities

Active foreclosures: Loans referred to foreclosure where formal foreclosure proceedings are ongoing. Includes both judicial and non-judicial states.

AFS: Available-for-sale

ALCO: Asset Liability Committee

Allowance for loan losses to total loans: Represents period-end allowance for loan losses divided by retained loans.

Alternative assets: The following types of assets constitute alternative investments – hedge funds, currency, real estate, private equity and other investment funds designed to focus on nontraditional strategies.

AWM: Asset & Wealth Management

AOCI: Accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss)

ARM: Adjustable rate mortgage(s)

AUC: Assets under custody

AUM: "Assets under management": Represent assets managed by AWM on behalf of its Private Banking, Institutional and Retail clients. Includes "Committed capital not Called," on which AWM earns fees.

Auto loan and lease origination volume: Dollar amount of auto loans and leases originated.

Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs: Represents the interest of third-party holders of debt, equity securities, or other obligations, issued by VIEs that JPMorgan Chase consolidates.

Benefit obligation: Refers to the projected benefit obligation for pension plans and the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation for OPEB plans.

BHC: Bank holding company

Card Services includes the Credit Card and Commerce Solutions businesses.

CB: Commercial Banking

CBB: Consumer & Business Banking

CCAR: Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review

CCB: Consumer & Community Banking

CCO: Chief Compliance Officer

CCP: "Central counterparty" is a clearing house that interposes itself between counterparties to contracts traded in one or more financial markets, becoming the buyer to every seller and the seller to every buyer and thereby ensuring the future performance of open contracts. A CCP becomes counterparty to trades with market participants through novation, an open offer system, or another legally binding arrangement.

CDS: Credit default swaps

CEO: Chief Executive Officer

CET1 Capital: Common Equity Tier 1 Capital

CFTC: Commodity Futures Trading Commission

CFO: Chief Financial Officer

Chase Bank USA, N.A.: Chase Bank USA, National Association

CIB: Corporate & Investment Bank

CIO: Chief Investment Office

Client assets: Represent assets under management as well as custody, brokerage, administration and deposit accounts.

Client deposits and other third-party liabilities: Deposits, as well as deposits that are swept to on-balance sheet liabilities (e.g., commercial paper, federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements) as part of client cash management programs. During the third quarter 2015 the Firm completed the discontinuation of its commercial paper customer sweep cash management program.

CLO: Collateralized loan obligations

CLTV: Combined loan-to-value

Collateral-dependent: A loan is considered to be collateraldependent when repayment of the loan is expected to be provided solely by the underlying collateral, rather than by cash flows from the borrower's operations, income or other resources.

Commerce Solutions is a business that primarily processes transactions for merchants.

Commercial Card: provides a wide range of payment services to corporate and public sector clients worldwide through the commercial card products. Services include procurement, corporate travel and entertainment, expense management services, and business-to-business payment solutions.

COO: Chief Operating Officer

Core loans: Represents loans considered central to the Firm's ongoing businesses; core loans exclude loans classified as trading assets, runoff portfolios, discontinued portfolios and portfolios the Firm has an intent to exit.

Credit cycle: A period of time over which credit quality improves, deteriorates and then improves again (or vice versa). The duration of a credit cycle can vary from a couple of years to several years.

Credit derivatives: Financial instruments whose value is derived from the credit risk associated with the debt of a third-party issuer (the reference entity) which allow one party (the protection purchaser) to transfer that risk to

another party (the protection seller). Upon the occurrence of a credit event by the reference entity, which may include, among other events, the bankruptcy or failure to pay its obligations, or certain restructurings of the debt of the reference entity, neither party has recourse to the reference entity. The protection purchaser has recourse to the protection seller for the difference between the face value of the CDS contract and the fair value at the time of settling the credit derivative contract. The determination as to whether a credit event has occurred is generally made by the relevant International Swaps and Derivatives Association ("ISDA") Determinations Committee.

Criticized: Criticized loans, lending-related commitments and derivative receivables that are classified as special mention, substandard and doubtful categories for regulatory purposes and are generally consistent with a rating of CCC+/Caa1 and below, as defined by S&P and Moody's.

CRO: Chief Risk Officer

CTC: CIO, Treasury and Corporate

CVA: Credit valuation adjustments

Debit and credit card sales volume: Dollar amount of card member purchases, net of returns.

Deposit margin/deposit spread: Represents net interest income expressed as a percentage of average deposits.

Distributed denial-of-service attack: The use of a large number of remote computer systems to electronically send a high volume of traffic to a target website to create a service outage at the target. This is a form of cyberattack.

DFAST: Dodd-Frank Act Stress Test

Dodd-Frank Act: Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act

DOJ: U.S. Department of Justice

DOL: U.S. Department of Labor

DRPC: Directors' Risk Policy Committee

DVA: Debit valuation adjustment

E&P: Exploration & Production

EC: European Commission

Eligible LTD: Long-term debt satisfying certain eligibility criteria

Embedded derivatives: are implicit or explicit terms or features of a financial instrument that affect some or all of the cash flows or the value of the instrument in a manner similar to a derivative. An instrument containing such terms or features is referred to as a "hybrid." The component of the hybrid that is the non-derivative instrument is referred to as the "host." For example, callable debt is a hybrid instrument that contains a plain vanilla debt instrument (i.e., the host) and an embedded option that allows the issuer to redeem the debt issue at a specified date for a specified amount (i.e., the embedded derivative). However, a floating rate instrument is not a hybrid composed of a fixed-rate instrument and an interest rate swap.

ERISA: Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974

EPS: Earnings per share

ETD: "Exchange-traded derivatives": Derivative contracts that are executed on an exchange and settled via a central clearing house.

EU: European Union

Fannie Mae: Federal National Mortgage Association

FASB: Financial Accounting Standards Board

FCA: Financial Conduct Authority

FCC: Firmwide Control Committee

FDIA: Federal Depository Insurance Act

FDIC: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

Federal Reserve: The Board of the Governors of the Federal Reserve System

Fee share: Proportion of fee revenue based on estimates of investment banking fees generated across the industry from investment banking transactions in M&A, equity and debt underwriting, and loan syndications. Source: Dealogic, a third-party provider of investment banking fee competitive analysis and volume-based league tables for the above noted industry products.

FFELP: Federal Family Education Loan Program

FFIEC: Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council

FHA: Federal Housing Administration

FHLB: Federal Home Loan Bank

FICO score: A measure of consumer credit risk provided by credit bureaus, typically produced from statistical models by Fair Isaac Corporation utilizing data collected by the credit bureaus.

Firm: JPMorgan Chase & Co.

Forward points: Represents the interest rate differential between two currencies, which is either added to or subtracted from the current exchange rate (i.e., "spot rate") to determine the forward exchange rate.

FRC: Firmwide Risk Committee

Free standing derivatives: a derivative contract entered into either separate and apart from any of the Firms other financial instruments or equity transactions. Or, in conjunction with some other transaction and is legally detachable and separately exercisable.

FSB: Financial Stability Board

FTE: Fully taxable equivalent

FVA: Funding valuation adjustment

FX: Foreign exchange

G7: Group of Seven nations: Countries in the G7 are Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, the U.K. and the U.S.

G7 government bonds: Bonds issued by the government of one of the G7 nations.

Ginnie Mae: Government National Mortgage Association

GSE: Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac

GSIB: Global systemically important banks

HAMP: Home affordable modification program

Headcount-related expense: Includes salary and benefits (excluding performance-based incentives), and other noncompensation costs related to employees.

HELOAN: Home equity loan

HELOC: Home equity line of credit

Home equity - senior lien: Represents loans and commitments where JPMorgan Chase holds the first security interest on the property.

Home equity – junior lien: Represents loans and commitments where JPMorgan Chase holds a security interest that is subordinate in rank to other liens.

Households: A household is a collection of individuals or entities aggregated together by name, address, tax identifier and phone. Reported on a one-month lag.

HQLA: High quality liquid assets

HTM: Held-to-maturity

ICAAP: Internal capital adequacy assessment process

IDI: Insured depository institutions

IHC: JPMorgan Chase Holdings LLC, an intermediate holding company

Impaired loan: Impaired loans are loans measured at amortized cost, for which it is probable that the Firm will be unable to collect all amounts due, including principal and interest, according to the contractual terms of the agreement. Impaired loans include the following:

- All wholesale nonaccrual loans
- All TDRs (both wholesale and consumer), including ones that have returned to accrual status

Interchange income: A fee paid to a credit card issuer in the clearing and settlement of a sales or cash advance transaction.

Investment-grade: An indication of credit quality based on JPMorgan Chase's internal risk assessment system. "Investment grade" generally represents a risk profile similar to a rating of a "BBB-"/"Baa3" or better, as defined by independent rating agencies.

ISDA: International Swaps and Derivatives Association

JPMorgan Chase: JPMorgan Chase & Co.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.: JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association

JPMorgan Clearing: J.P. Morgan Clearing Corp.

JPMorgan Securities: J.P. Morgan Securities LLC

Loan-equivalent: Represents the portion of the unused commitment or other contingent exposure that is expected, based on average portfolio historical experience, to become drawn prior to an event of a default by an obligor.

LCR: Liquidity coverage ratio

LDA: Loss Distribution Approach

LGD: Loss given default

LIBOR: London Interbank Offered Rate

LLC: Limited Liability Company

LOB: Line of business

Loss emergence period: Represents the time period between the date at which the loss is estimated to have been incurred and the realization of that loss.

LTIP: Long-term incentive plan

LTV: "Loan-to-value": For residential real estate loans, the relationship, expressed as a percentage, between the principal amount of a loan and the appraised value of the collateral (i.e., residential real estate) securing the loan.

Origination date LTV ratio

The LTV ratio at the origination date of the loan. Origination date LTV ratios are calculated based on the actual appraised values of collateral (i.e., loan-level data) at the origination date.

Current estimated LTV ratio

An estimate of the LTV as of a certain date. The current estimated LTV ratios are calculated using estimated collateral values derived from a nationally recognized home price index measured at the metropolitan statistical area ("MSA") level. These MSA-level home price indices consist of actual data to the extent available and forecasted data where actual data is not available. As a result, the estimated collateral values used to calculate these ratios do not represent actual appraised loan-level collateral values; as such, the resulting LTV ratios are necessarily imprecise and should therefore be viewed as estimates.

Combined LTV ratio

The LTV ratio considering all available lien positions, as well as unused lines, related to the property. Combined LTV ratios are used for junior lien home equity products.

Managed basis: A non-GAAP presentation of financial results that includes reclassifications to present revenue on a fully taxable-equivalent basis. Management uses this non-GAAP financial measure at the segment level, because it

believes this provides information to enable investors to understand the underlying operational performance and trends of the particular business segment and facilitates a comparison of the business segment with the performance of competitors.

Master netting agreement: An agreement between two counterparties who have multiple contracts with each other that provides for the net settlement of all contracts, as well as cash collateral, through a single payment, in a single currency, in the event of default on or termination of any one contract.

MBS: Mortgage-backed securities

MD&A: Management's discussion and analysis

MMDA: Money Market Deposit Accounts

Moody's: Moody's Investor Services

Mortgage origination channels:

Retail - Borrowers who buy or refinance a home through direct contact with a mortgage banker employed by the Firm using a branch office, the Internet or by phone. Borrowers are frequently referred to a mortgage banker by a banker in a Chase branch, real estate brokers, home builders or other third parties.

Correspondent - Banks, thrifts, other mortgage banks and other financial institutions that sell closed loans to the Firm.

Mortgage product types:

Alt-A

Alt-A loans are generally higher in credit quality than subprime loans but have characteristics that would disqualify the borrower from a traditional prime loan. Alt-A lending characteristics may include one or more of the following: (i) limited documentation; (ii) a high CLTV ratio; (iii) loans secured by non-owner occupied properties; or (iv) a debt-to-income ratio above normal limits. A substantial proportion of the Firm's Alt-A loans are those where a borrower does not provide complete documentation of his or her assets or the amount or source of his or her income.

Option ARMs

The option ARM real estate loan product is an adjustablerate mortgage loan that provides the borrower with the option each month to make a fully amortizing, interest-only or minimum payment. The minimum payment on an option ARM loan is based on the interest rate charged during the introductory period. This introductory rate is usually significantly below the fully indexed rate. The fully indexed rate is calculated using an index rate plus a margin. Once the introductory period ends, the contractual interest rate charged on the loan increases to the fully indexed rate and adjusts monthly to reflect movements in the index. The minimum payment is typically insufficient to cover interest accrued in the prior month, and any unpaid interest is deferred and added to the principal balance of the loan. Option ARM loans are subject to payment recast, which converts the loan to a variable-rate fully amortizing loan upon meeting specified loan balance and anniversary date triggers.

Prime

Prime mortgage loans are made to borrowers with good credit records who meet specific underwriting requirements, including prescriptive requirements related to income and overall debt levels. New prime mortgage borrowers provide full documentation and generally have reliable payment histories.

Subprime

Subprime loans are loans that, prior to mid-2008, were offered to certain customers with one or more high risk characteristics, including but not limited to: (i) unreliable or poor payment histories; (ii) a high LTV ratio of greater than 80% (without borrower-paid mortgage insurance); (iii) a high debt-to-income ratio; (iv) an occupancy type for the loan is other than the borrower's primary residence; or (v) a history of delinquencies or late payments on the loan.

MSA: Metropolitan statistical areas

MSR: Mortgage servicing rights

Multi-asset: Any fund or account that allocates assets under management to more than one asset class.

NA: Data is not applicable or available for the period presented.

NAV: Net Asset Value

Net Capital Rule: Rule 15c3-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Net charge-off/(recovery) rate: Represents net charge-offs/(recoveries) (annualized) divided by average retained loans for the reporting period.

Net mortgage servicing revenue includes the following components:

- Operating revenue predominantly represents the return on Mortgage Servicing's MSR asset and includes:
 - Actual gross income earned from servicing third-party mortgage loans, such as contractually specified servicing fees and ancillary income; and
 - The change in the fair value of the MSR asset due to the collection or realization of expected cash flows.

Risk management represents the components of

Mortgage Servicing's MSR asset that are subject to ongoing risk management activities, together with derivatives and other instruments used in those risk management activities.

Net production revenue: Includes net gains or losses on originations and sales of mortgage loans, other production-related fees and losses related to the repurchase of previously sold loans.

Net revenue rate: Represents Card Services net revenue (annualized) expressed as a percentage of average loans for the period.

Net yield on interest-earning assets: The average rate for interest-earning assets less the average rate paid for all sources of funds.

NM: Not meaningful

NOL: Net operating loss

Nonaccrual loans: Loans for which interest income is not recognized on an accrual basis. Loans (other than credit card loans and certain consumer loans insured by U.S. government agencies) are placed on nonaccrual status when full payment of principal and interest is not expected, regardless of delinquency status, or when principal and interest have been in default for a period of 90 days or more unless the loan is both well-secured and in the process of collection. Collateral-dependent loans are typically maintained on nonaccrual status.

Nonperforming assets: Nonperforming assets include nonaccrual loans, nonperforming derivatives and certain assets acquired in loan satisfaction, predominantly real estate owned and other commercial and personal property.

NOW: Negotiable Order of Withdrawal

NSFR: Net stable funding ratio

OAS: Option-adjusted spread

OCC: Office of the Comptroller of the Currency

OCI: Other comprehensive income/(loss)

OEP: One Equity Partners

OIS: Overnight index swap

OPEB: Other postretirement employee benefit

ORMF: Operational Risk Management Framework

OTTI: Other-than-temporary impairment

Over-the-counter ("OTC") derivatives: Derivative contracts that are negotiated, executed and settled bilaterally between two derivative counterparties, where one or both counterparties is a derivatives dealer.

Over-the-counter cleared ("OTC-cleared") derivatives: Derivative contracts that are negotiated and executed bilaterally, but subsequently settled via a central clearing house, such that each derivative counterparty is only exposed to the default of that clearing house.

Overhead ratio: Noninterest expense as a percentage of total net revenue.

Parent Company: JPMorgan Chase & Co.

Participating securities: Represents unvested stock-based compensation awards containing nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (collectively, "dividends"), which are included in the earnings per share calculation

using the two-class method. JPMorgan Chase grants restricted stock and RSUs to certain employees under its stock-based compensation programs, which entitle the recipients to receive nonforfeitable dividends during the vesting period on a basis equivalent to the dividends paid to holders of common stock. These unvested awards meet the definition of participating securities. Under the two-class method, all earnings (distributed and undistributed) are allocated to each class of common stock and participating securities, based on their respective rights to receive dividends.

PCA: Prompt corrective action

PCI: "Purchased credit-impaired" loans represents loans that were acquired in the Washington Mutual transaction and deemed to be credit-impaired on the acquisition date in accordance with the guidance of the FASB. The guidance allows purchasers to aggregate credit-impaired loans acquired in the same fiscal quarter into one or more pools, provided that the loans have common risk characteristics (e.g., product type, LTV ratios, FICO scores, past due status, geographic location). A pool is then accounted for as a single asset with a single composite interest rate and an aggregate expectation of cash flows.

PD: Probability of default

PRA: Prudential Regulatory Authority

Pre-provision profit/(loss): Represents total net revenue less noninterest expense. The Firm believes that this financial measure is useful in assessing the ability of a lending institution to generate income in excess of its provision for credit losses.

Pretax margin: Represents income before income tax expense divided by total net revenue, which is, in management's view, a comprehensive measure of pretax performance derived by measuring earnings after all costs are taken into consideration. It is one basis upon which management evaluates the performance of AWM against the performance of their respective competitors.

Principal transactions revenue: Principal transactions revenue is driven by many factors, including the bid-offer spread, which is the difference between the price at which the Firm is willing to buy a financial or other instrument and the price at which the Firm is willing to sell that instrument. It also consists of realized (as a result of closing out or termination of transactions, or interim cash payments) and unrealized (as a result of changes in valuation) gains and losses on financial and other instruments (including those accounted for under the fair value option) primarily used in client-driven market-making activities and on private equity investments. In connection with its client-driven marketmaking activities, the Firm transacts in debt and equity instruments, derivatives and commodities (including physical commodities inventories and financial instruments that reference commodities).

Principal transactions revenue also includes certain realized

and unrealized gains and losses related to hedge accounting and specified risk-management activities, including: (a) certain derivatives designated in qualifying hedge accounting relationships (primarily fair value hedges of commodity and foreign exchange risk), (b) certain derivatives used for specific risk management purposes, primarily to mitigate credit risk, foreign exchange risk and commodity risk, and (c) other derivatives.

PSU(s): Performance share units

RCSA: Risk and Control Self-Assessment

Real assets: Real assets include investments in productive assets such as agriculture, energy rights, mining and timber properties and exclude raw land to be developed for real estate purposes.

REIT: "Real estate investment trust": A special purpose investment vehicle that provides investors with the ability to participate directly in the ownership or financing of realestate related assets by pooling their capital to purchase and manage income property (i.e., equity REIT) and/or mortgage loans (i.e., mortgage REIT). REITs can be publicly or privately held and they also qualify for certain favorable tax considerations.

Receivables from customers: Primarily represents margin loans to brokerage customers that are collateralized through assets maintained in the clients' brokerage accounts, as such no allowance is held against these receivables. These receivables are reported within accrued interest and accounts receivable on the Firm's Consolidated balance sheets.

Regulatory VaR: Daily aggregated VaR calculated in accordance with regulatory rules.

REO: Real estate owned

Reported basis: Financial statements prepared under U.S. GAAP, which excludes the impact of taxable-equivalent adjustments.

Retained loans: Loans that are held-for-investment (i.e., excludes loans held-for-sale and loans at fair value).

Revenue wallet: Proportion of fee revenue based on estimates of investment banking fees generated across the industry (i.e., the revenue wallet) from investment banking transactions in M&A, equity and debt underwriting, and loan syndications. Source: Dealogic, a third-party provider of investment banking competitive analysis and volumebased league tables for the above noted industry products.

RHS: Rural Housing Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture

ROA: Return on assets

ROE: Return on equity

ROTCE: Return on tangible common equity

RSU(s): Restricted stock units

RWA: "Risk-weighted assets": Basel III establishes two comprehensive methodologies for calculating RWA (a Standardized approach and an Advanced approach) which include capital requirements for credit risk, market risk, and in the case of Basel III Advanced, also operational risk. Key differences in the calculation of credit risk RWA between the Standardized and Advanced approaches are that for Basel III Advanced, credit risk RWA is based on risk-sensitive approaches which largely rely on the use of internal credit models and parameters, whereas for Basel III Standardized, credit risk RWA is generally based on supervisory riskweightings which vary primarily by counterparty type and asset class. Market risk RWA is calculated on a generally consistent basis between Basel III Standardized and Basel III Advanced, both of which incorporate the requirements set forth in Basel 2.5.

S&P: Standard and Poor's 500 Index

SAR(s): Stock appreciation rights

SCCL: single-counterparty credit limits

SEC: Securities and Exchange Commission

Seed capital: Initial JPMorgan capital invested in products, such as mutual funds, with the intention of ensuring the fund is of sufficient size to represent a viable offering to clients, enabling pricing of its shares, and allowing the manager to develop a track record. After these goals are achieved, the intent is to remove the Firm's capital from the investment.

Short sale: A short sale is a sale of real estate in which proceeds from selling the underlying property are less than the amount owed the Firm under the terms of the related mortgage, and the related lien is released upon receipt of such proceeds.

Single-name: Single reference-entities

SLR: Supplementary leverage ratio

SMBS: Stripped mortgage-backed securities

SOA: Society of Actuaries

SPEs: Special purpose entities

Structural interest rate risk: Represents interest rate risk of the non-trading assets and liabilities of the Firm.

Structured notes: Structured notes are predominantly financial instruments containing embedded derivatives.

Suspended foreclosures: Loans referred to foreclosure where formal foreclosure proceedings have started but are currently on hold, which could be due to bankruptcy or loss mitigation. Includes both judicial and non-judicial states.

Taxable-equivalent basis: In presenting managed results, the total net revenue for each of the business segments and the Firm is presented on a tax-equivalent basis. Accordingly, revenue from investments that receive tax credits and tax-exempt securities is presented in the managed results on a basis comparable to taxable investments and securities; the

corresponding income tax impact related to tax-exempt items is recorded within income tax expense.

TBVPS: Tangible book value per share

TCE: Tangible common equity

TDR: "Troubled debt restructuring" is deemed to occur when the Firm modifies the original terms of a loan agreement by granting a concession to a borrower that is experiencing financial difficulty.

TLAC: Total Loss Absorbing Capacity

U.K.: United Kingdom

Unaudited: Financial statements and information that have not been subjected to auditing procedures sufficient to permit an independent certified public accountant to express an opinion.

U.S.: United States of America

U.S. GAAP: Accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S.

U.S. government-sponsored enterprises ("U.S. GSEs") and U.S. GSE obligations: In the U.S., GSEs are quasigovernmental, privately held entities established by Congress to improve the flow of credit to specific sectors of the economy and provide certain essential services to the public. U.S. GSEs include Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, but do not include Ginnie Mae, which is directly owned by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. U.S. GSE obligations are not explicitly guaranteed as to the timely payment of principal and interest by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government.

U.S. LCR: Liquidity coverage ratio under the final U.S. rule.

U.S. Treasury: U.S. Department of the Treasury

VA: U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs

VaR: "Value-at-risk" is a measure of the dollar amount of potential loss from adverse market moves in an ordinary market environment.

VCG: Valuation Control Group

VGF: Valuation Governance Forum

VIEs: Variable interest entities

Warehouse loans: Consist of prime mortgages originated with the intent to sell that are accounted for at fair value and classified as trading assets.

Washington Mutual transaction: On September 25, 2008, JPMorgan Chase acquired certain of the assets of the banking operations of Washington Mutual Bank ("Washington Mutual") from the FDIC.